

Representation received from 'other persons'

Representation 1.

Cllr Martin Smart, Cambridge City Council - Received 22/08/2025

Additional outlet for alcohol purchase in cumulative impact zone that I do not support.

Further information provided later:

From: Cllr Martin Smart (Cambridge City - Kings Hedges)

Sent: 02 September 2025 16:36

To: Taxi <taxi@cambridge.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: Premises licences new applications and variation to existing

Wangari

Thanks for that.

My reasons are as follows.

For the prevention of crime and disorder

To encourage public safety

To avoid any public nuisance

To avoid any chance of harm to children remembering this is a main route to and from St Matthew's Primary School

Regards

Martin

Representation 2

From: Cllr Tim Bick (Cambridge City - Market)

Sent: 16 September 2025 13:01

To: licensing (CCity) <licensing@cambridge.gov.uk>

Subject: Representation on Application PRECAM 000986

PREMISES LICENCE FOR 34 BURLEIGH STREET

As ward councillor, I would like to table an objection to this premises licence application for 34 Burleigh Street.

I do not consider the application does enough to discharge the applicant's responsibility to demonstrate that a licence would not accentuate issues in this sensitive part of the city centre cumulative impact area which is close to a primary school and a homelessness hostel, with high general public footfall.

The application does not recognise this in the key matters of limits to the strength of cheap alcohol, hours of sale or location of product within the store - all of which are important to the licensing objectives of public safety, the protection of children from harm and the avoidance of public nuisance and specifically to the problem of street drinking. The application does offer presence of a SIA guard (which would be essential), but it is hard to see that this could be viable for a business of this size.

Cllr Tim Bick