



Joint Development Management Committee

Date: Wednesday, 15 July 2026

Time: 10.00 am

Venue: Small Hall, First Floor, The Guildhall, Market Square, Cambridge, CB2 3QJ
[access the building via Peashill entrance]

Contact: democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk, tel 01223 457000

Agenda

- 1 Apologies
- 2 Declarations of interest
- 3 Minutes (PAGES 5 - 12)

Applications

- 4 26/01066/REM Land South of Dame Mary Archer (PAGES 13 - 70)
Way, Cambridge Biomedical Campus
Reserved Matters Application pursuant to 16/0176/OUT for all matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) relating to the development of a mixed-use laboratory and office building (4000 Discovery Drive) including associated plant, access, car parking, cycle parking, landscaping, public open space, and other works, and the discharge of Conditions 8 (Transport spurs), 10 (Energy Demand), 14 (EV Charging), 31 (On Plot Cycle and Pedestrian Facilities), 33 (Car Parking Spaces), 36 (Disabled Car Parking Spaces), 37 (Cycle Parking Spaces), 39 (Ecological Conservation Management Plan), 41 (Drainage), 43 (Sustainability), 48 (Waste), and 49 (Landscape) of Planning Permission 16/0176/OUT.
- 5 23/04643/OUT Former NIAB Site, Huntingdon Road (PAGES 71 - 126)
Outline application (all matters reserved except for layout, scale and access arrangements on to Laurence Weaver Road) for the

demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for laboratory / office (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and café use (class E(b)), and associated facilities and infrastructure, along with the relocation of existing facilities serving the retained Chapter House that are currently accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking.

Pre-Application Developer Briefings

- 6 Springstead Village, Cherry Hinton, Cambridge
Reserved Matters Application for a 66-bedroom care home.

Applicant: LNT Care Developments

- 7 William James House, Cowley Road, Cambridge
Demolition of existing buildings on site and redevelopment to provide a commercial building(s) of circa 7,000 sqm with floorspace to be used flexibly - commercial/mid-tech/industrial/storage & distribution (Use classes E(g)(ii), E(g)(iii), B2, B8).

Applicant: Realis Cambridge Propco Ltd.

- 8 Darwin Green 2/3
Reserved Matters Application for a Country Park.

Applicant: BDHW

Joint Development Management Committee Members:

Cambridge City Council: Cllrs Porrer (Chair), Fisher, Flaubert, Griffin, Illingworth and Smart, Alternates: Bennett, Blackburn-Horgan, Clough, Grimwood and Nestor

South Cambridgeshire District Council: Cllrs Bradnam (Vice-Chair), Bostanci, Cahn, Sandford, Sebastian and R.Williams, Alternates: Rixon, Stobart and H.Williams

Emergency Evacuation Procedure

In the event of the fire alarm sounding all persons should vacate the building by way of the nearest escape route and proceed directly to the assembly point in front St Mary's Church. The duty Officer will assume overall control during any evacuation, however in the unlikely event the duty Officer is unavailable, this responsibility will be assumed by the Committee Chair.

Information for the public

The public may record (e.g. film, audio, tweet, blog) meetings which are open to the public.

For full information about committee meetings, committee reports, councillors and the democratic process:

- Website: <http://democracy.cambridge.gov.uk>
- Email: democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk
- Phone: 01223 457000

Cambridge City Council is committed to being transparent and open when the Council and its members are involved in taking decisions. The Council will endeavour to live stream/record the Joint Development Management Committee. Public speakers are reminded that by speaking/appearing in this meeting you are giving consent to being recorded. The livestream can be watched online as the meeting happens or the recording can be watched after the meeting via the Council's YouTube page [<https://www.youtube.com/@camcitco/streams>].

On some occasions this may not be possible. Whilst this is frustrating it is important to note that there is no legal requirement to record or livestream meetings. The meeting may proceed in person only should there be an ICT failure on the day. Democratic Services aim to live stream this meeting on the Council's YouTube channel. However, please note that live streaming may not always be possible. If the service cannot be provided, this will be clearly stated on the agenda page of the City Council's website. Regardless of whether the meeting is streamed, members of the public are welcome to attend the meeting in person.

Those wishing to address the meeting will be able to do so virtually via Microsoft Teams, or by attending to speak in person. You must contact Democratic Services democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk by 12 noon two working days before the meeting.

Information for Councillors

After the publication of the agenda, if any committee members have any questions, they should be sent to officers up to 12 noon 2 days in advance of the meeting – these will be responded to as part of officer presentation (together with any queries raised by Members at the committee site visit).

The site visit protocol and public speaking scheme can be found at the below link.

[Planning Committee guidance](#)

JOINT DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

17 June 2026
10.00 am - 2.00 pm

Present: Councillors Porrer (Chair), Bradnam (Vice-Chair), Flaubert, Griffin, Illingworth, Smart, Bostanci, Sandford, R.Williams, Rixon and Stobart

Councillors Flaubert, Rixon, Sandford and Williams left the meeting after the vote on item 26/24/JDMC.

Officers Present:

Delivery Manager (Strategic Sites and NSIP/Major Infrastructure Projects):
Philippa Kelly
Principal Planner: Cuma Ahmet
Principal Planner (Strategic Sites): Janine Richardson
Senior Planner: Melissa Reynolds
Legal Adviser: Vanessa Blane
Committee Manager: Sarah Michael
Meeting Producer: Claire Tunnicliffe

Developer Representatives:

(Stanhope), Ron German
(Hilson Moran), Marie-Louise Schembri
(Robert Myers Associates), Robert Myers
(ShedKM), Alex Flint
(Marengo), Nick Vose
(Bidwells), Guy Kaddish
(Bidwells), Claire Galilee

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE COUNCIL

26/17/JDMC Re-ordering Agenda

Under paragraph 4.13.2 of the Council Procedure Rules, the Chair used her discretion to alter the order of the agenda items. However, for ease of the reader, these minutes will follow the order of the published agenda.

26/18/JDMC Election of Chair and Vice-Chair

The Delivery Manager for Strategic Sites took the Chair whilst the Joint Development Management Committee elected a Chair.

Councillor Illingworth proposed, and Councillor Stobart seconded, the nomination of Councillor Porrer as Chair.

Councillor Bennett proposed, and Councillor Flaubert seconded, the nomination of Councillor Flaubert as Chair.

As more than one nomination was received, Members proceeded to vote on each candidate. The results were as follows:

Councillor Porrer	10 votes
Councillor Flaubert	2 votes

Resolved by 10 votes to 2 that Councillor Porrer be elected as Chair for the ensuing year.

Councillor Porrer took over as Chair of the meeting and called for nominations for Vice-Chair of the Joint Development Management Committee.

Councillor Sandford proposed, and Councillor Illingworth seconded, the nomination of Councillor Bradnam as Vice-Chair.

Unanimously resolved that Councillor Bradnam be elected as Vice-Chair for the ensuing year.

26/19/JDMC Apologies

Apologies were received from Councillor Cahn, with Councillor Stobart attending as the alternate.

Apologies were received from Councillor Sebastian, with Councillor Rixon attending as the alternate.

Apologies were received from Councillor Fisher, with Councillor Bennett attending as the alternate.

26/20/JDMC Declarations of interest

Name	Item	Interest
Councillor Bradnam	26/22/JDMC	Site adjoins Milton Parish and she has received briefings but has not expressed a view. Discretion unfettered.
Councillor Smart	26/25/JDMC	Family member works on the science park. Discretion unfettered.

26/21/JDMC Minutes

Councillor Stobart requested an amendment to item 26/16/JDMC to include a question on whether there would be nursery provision on the new development.

The minutes of the meeting held on 15 April 2026 were then approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

26/22/JDMC 26/00884/OUT Land North of Cowley Road and adjacent to Wild Park

The Committee received an application for outline planning permission for the construction of a Multi-Storey Commercial Car Park including pedestrian and vehicular access and a standalone amenity kiosk on land to the north of Cowley Road, adjacent to the Wild Park, approved as part of planning permission ref. 22/02771/OUT.

The Principal Planner updated his report to make reference to the amendment sheet and the amended proposed development description: Outline planning application for the construction of a Multi-Storey Commercial Car Park including pedestrian and vehicular access and a standalone amenity kiosk on land to the north of Cowley Road, adjacent to the Wild Park.

Mike Derbyshire (Applicant's Agent) addressed the committee speaking in support of the application.

In accordance with information provided by the applicant at committee, Condition 5 would be updated to ensure provision of 10% of car parking

spaces with active electric vehicle charge points prior to first use of the MSCCP.

Councillor Stobart asked that the car park be included in the wider public art scheme as it comes forward for the whole development.

Councillor Stobart proposed, and Councillor Griffin seconded, an amendment to condition 14, to be expanded to include details of how harvested rainwater will be used in the wider surroundings.

This amendment was carried by **11 votes to 0, with 1 abstention**.

Councillor Bennett proposed, and Councillor Flaubert seconded, an amendment to condition 9 to require the construction ecological management plan to identify the impact on adjacent sites.

This amendment was carried by **11 votes to 0, with 1 abstention**.

The Committee

Unanimously resolved to grant the application for planning permission in accordance with the Officer recommendation, for the reasons set out in the Officer's report, subject to:

- i. The planning conditions and informatives as set out in Section 21 of the Report with delegated authority to officers to carry through amendments to those conditions and informatives (including additional / revised conditions as appropriate and necessary) in consultation with Chair and Vice Chair of Committee prior to the issuing of the planning permission.
- ii. Delegated authority to Officers to draft and include the following amendments to conditions:
 - a. An amendment to condition 5 (Electric Vehicle Implementation Plan) to increase the provision of electric vehicle parking spaces from 5% to 10%.
 - b. An amendment to condition 9 (Construction Ecological Management Plan) to require the construction ecological management plan to identify the impact on adjacent sites.
 - c. An amendment to condition 14 (Rainwater Harvesting) to include details of how harvested rainwater will be used in the wider surroundings.

26/23/JDMC 25/04604/FUL 1 Kings Meadow, Cambridge

The Chair made reference to the amendment sheet which noted that after the publication of the agenda, the agent, on behalf of the applicant, requested that the change of use to a large-HMO (sui generis use) be withdrawn from the application. The change altered the nature of the planning application in a way which was not reflected in the officer report as the report only addressed the principle of development/use of the house as a large HMO, with all other matters having been covered in the committee report that went before JDMC on 15 April 2026. In order to ensure that the current committee had all of the relevant information on this application before it to allow proper consideration of the application, and Officers having sought the advice of the Councils' Legal Officer, it was proposed that this application was deferred.

Councillor Porrer proposed and Councillor Bradnam seconded deferring the application to:

- i. Provide the Committee with the relevant information on the application to allow proper consideration.

The Committee:

Unanimously resolved to defer the application.

26/24/JDMC Planning Committee Review - Scheme of Delegation 12 Month Review

The Delivery Manager presented the report on the Scheme of Delegation 12 Month Review. Members discussed the item and provided feedback to the Delivery Manager.

The Committee

Unanimously resolved to note the contents of the report and:

- i. confirm that no changes are made to the scheme of delegation dated 22 May 2025; and
- ii. notes the potential for regulations (and accompanying guidance implementing the Planning and Infrastructure Act 2025) to mandate a national scheme of delegation for planning decisions.

26/25/JDMC 163 Cambridge Science Park Pre-Application Developer Briefing

Members raised the comments/questions as listed below. Answers were supplied, and comments from Officers but as this was a pre-application presentation, none of the answers or comments are binding on either the intended applicant or the local planning authority so consequently are not recorded in these minutes.

1. Where on Milton Road would this building be located?
2. Would the building be nine storeys in total with the mezzanine and plant enclosure?
3. Were the glass reinforced concrete (GRC) panels related to reinforced autoclaved aerated concrete (RAAC)?
4. Would the roof be green or would it have solar panels?
5. Would car parking be underground or on the ground floor?
6. How much would the proposed planting reduce the cooling load?
7. Consider whether native species of trees would be appropriate for potential future heatwaves or droughts.
8. What would the overall height be, with and without the rooftop terrace?
9. Was there provision in the car park plans for the increasing size of cars?
10. Would there be parking provision and charging points for e-bikes and mobility scooters, including appropriate fire safety provision?
11. Had the Designing Out Crime panel been consulted, particularly around lone employees working late and CCTV requirements?
12. Queried the need to raise the floor to make it safe from flooding, while also installing underfloor pits in the car park.
13. Noted that previous proposed stacker car parks elsewhere had been deemed expensive and impractical.
14. How would stacker car parks work?
15. What colour would the surface of the building be?
16. What was the current number of car and cycle parking spaces, and how many had been proposed for the new building?
17. How flexible would the design be – how easy would it be to convert the workspace into dry lab in future, and vice versa?
18. Was the intention that trees would last forever?
19. The angled front was interesting.
20. Would the GRC material hang on a steel reinforced concrete frame? Had timber been considered?
21. Were there any current examples of stacker car parks already in the UK?

22. Would like more information on the safety of the concrete at planning application stage.
23. How would the material weather?
24. How did the height compare with buildings being constructed on the southeast side of the A14/A10?
25. Had fewer storeys been considered?
26. Could there be more spaces for cargo bikes?
27. Could there be a Changing Places toilet?
28. Would the café be publicly accessible?
29. Plans could aim to be BREEAM outstanding.
30. Was the water reduction of 55% from what it is now or from what would be expected once constructed?
31. Cycleways should be segregated to manage conflict.

The meeting ended at 2.00 pm

CHAIR

This page is intentionally left blank



26/01066/REM – Land South Of Dame Mary Archer Way, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge

Application details

Report to: Joint Development Management Committee

Lead Officer: Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development

Ward/parish: Queen Ediths

Proposal: Reserved Matters Application pursuant to 16/0176/OUT for all matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) relating to the development of a mixed-use laboratory and office building (4000 Discovery Drive) including associated plant, access, car parking, cycle parking, landscaping, public open space, and other works, and the discharge of Conditions 8 (Transport spurs), 10 (Energy Demand), 14 (EV Charging), 31 (On Plot Cycle and Pedestrian Facilities), 33 (Car Parking Spaces), 36 (Disabled Car Parking Spaces), 37 (Cycle Parking Spaces), 39 (Ecological Conservation Management Plan), 41 (Drainage), 43 (Sustainability), 48 (Waste), and 49 (Landscape) of Planning Permission 16/0176/OUT.

Applicant: Prologis UK

Presenting officer: James Truett

Reason presented to committee: The provision of a non-residential building where the GIA floor space to be created by the development is more than 1,000m

Member site visit date: N/A

Key issues: 1. Principle of development and parameter plans

2. Design, layout, scale and landscaping

3.Other Matters

Recommendation:

- (i) **Approve** subject to conditions and informatives as detailed in this report, with delegated authority to officers to carry through minor amendments to those conditions and informatives.
- (ii) **Part discharge outline planning conditions on the outline consent reference 16/0176/OUT in relation to this reserved matters parcel only:**
- Condition 8 (Transport spurs),
 - Condition 10 (Energy Demand),
 - Condition 14 (EV Charging),
 - Condition 31 (On Plot Cycle and Pedestrian Facilities),
 - Condition 33 (Car Parking Spaces),
 - Condition 36 (Disabled Car Parking Spaces),
 - Condition 37 (Cycle Parking Spaces),
 - Condition 39 (Ecological Conservation Management Plan),
 - Condition 41 (Surface water Drainage),
 - Condition 43 (Sustainability),
 - Condition 48 (Waste),
 - Condition 49 (Landscape)

Report contents

Document section	Document heading
1	Executive summary
2	Site description and context
3	The proposal
4	Relevant site history
5	Policy
6	Consultations
7	Third Party Representations
8	Planning background
9	Cambridge Biomedical Campus SPD
10	Pre-application Engagement
11	Assessment
12	Principle of development
13	Outline Permission and Parameter Plans
14	Environmental Statement
15	Design, layout, scale and landscaping
16	Carbon reduction and sustainable design
17	Biodiversity

18	Water management and flood risk
19	Highway safety and transport
20	Cycle and car parking provision
21	Environmental Impact
22	Other matters
23	Conditional matters pursuant to outline permission
24	Recommendation
25	Planning conditions

Table 1 Contents of report

1. Executive summary

- 1.1 The application seeks Reserved Matters approval pursuant to outline planning application reference 16/0176/OUT for all matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) relating to the development of a mixed-use laboratory and office building (including associated plant, access, car parking, cycle parking, landscaping, public open space, and other works) at 4000 Discovery Drive.
- 1.2 The application also seeks the discharge of a number of planning conditions associated with the outline planning permission reference 16/0176/OUT: Condition 8 (Transport spurs), Condition 10 (Energy Demand), Condition 14 (EV Charging), Condition 31 (On Plot Cycle and Pedestrian Facilities), Condition 33 (Car Parking Spaces), Condition 36 (Disabled Car Parking Spaces), Condition 37 (Cycle Parking Spaces), Condition 39 (Ecological Conservation Management Plan), Condition 41 (Drainage), Condition 43 (Sustainability), Condition 48 (Waste), and Condition 49 (Landscape).
- 1.3 The proposal is on the final phase of the commercial element of the Phase 2 Cambridge Biomedical Campus (CBC) expansion (outline permission ref: 16/0176/OUT). The scheme is consistent with the outline permission 16/0176/OUT and is in accordance with the five Parameter Plans approved under that permission.
- 1.4 The proposal includes a 6-storey building, with plant and bio-solar roof, and would have a gross internal floor area of 9,610 sqm. The scheme includes a rain garden to the south-west side of the building that continues the landscaping approach secured under Condition 11 of 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive (24/01529/COND11).
- 1.5 The proposal is considered to provide a high-quality and well designed office/ laboratory provision that would support the expansion of the biomedical campus. It is supported by a well-considered landscape

scheme.

- 1.6 Officers recommend that the Joint Development Management Committee approves the application subject to the conditions and informatives as detailed in Section 24 of this report.

2. Site description and context

- 2.1 The application site is within phase 2 of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus (CBC) on land south of Dame Mary Archer Way, on the southern fringe of the city. The site currently consists of a temporary gravel car park primarily for construction traffic associated with the 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive buildings which are situated immediately to the north of the site and are currently under construction (planning application reference 24/01529/REM).
- 2.2 To the West of the site is the under construction semi-sunken cycle park (the below ground cycling parking facility) associated with 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive. To the East of the site is the remaining undeveloped part of the Phase 2 site which is allocated for clinical use, and currently being used as a temporary car park.. To the south is a drainage ditch and the National Cycleway NCN11.
- 2.3 To the south of the site, is vacant arable land which is allocated to Phase 3 of the biomedical campus expansion. To the north is Papworth Road which leads down to Papworth Hospital, with staff car parking and the moved helipad either side. The site is reasonably prominent, being positioned on the southern edge of the site, and forming part of the key views when travelling into the campus over Addenbrookes Road bridge.
- 2.4 The Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire District administrative boundary is immediately to the south of the NCN11, further to the south of which is land which is allocated in the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 for development as Phase 3 CBC.
- 2.5 The site is within the Waste Consultation Area, and a Mineral and Waste Area of Search. It is also within the Cambridge Airport Safeguarding Zone for consultation on any structure greater than 15 metres above ground level.
- 2.6 The site is within Flood Zone 1 - there is one area at risk of surface water flooding, which is considered low (between 0.1% and 1%). It does not fall within a Conservation Area and there are no listed buildings on site or adjacent to the site. There are no Tree Preservation Orders on the site.

3. The proposal

- 3.1 This is a Reserved Matters Application (RMA) pursuant to 16/0176/OUT for all matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) relating to the development of a mixed-use laboratory and office building (4000 Discovery Drive) including associated plant, access, car parking, cycle parking, landscaping, public open space, and other works.
- 3.2 The application proposals also seek the discharge of Conditions 8 (Transport spurs), 10 (Energy Demand), 14 (EV Charging), 31 (On Plot Cycle and Pedestrian Facilities), 33 (Car Parking Spaces), 36 (Disabled Car Parking Spaces), 37 (Cycle Parking Spaces), 39 (Ecological Conservation Management Plan), 41 (Drainage), 43 (Sustainability), 48 (Waste), and 49 (Landscape) associated with the outline planning permission reference 16/0176/OUT.
- 3.3 The proposed 6-storey building would have a gross internal floor area of 9,610 sqm. It is proposed that the building would consist of 6 floors of mixed office and laboratory space, with the ground floor providing an arrival space and facilities for employees, plant and storage, and laboratory space. The roof space consists of a combined plant and bio-solar roof enclosed by a crown feature with discrete integrated lighting which frames the upper elements of the building. The proposed building is designed to be either single or multi-let with a floorplate design to allow future flexibility.
- 3.4 The proposed 4000DD building has a maximum building height of 46.5m excluding flues, a width of 35m, and a length of around 53m (including a colonnade).
- 3.5 The proposed scheme includes hard and soft landscaping details. This includes a colonnade to the west of the building; a paved area adjacent to the Central Access Road and taxi drop-off area; servicing areas to the north of the building; and pavements which run parallel to Discovery Drive to the south and east of the building. The scheme also includes a rain garden to the south-west side of the building that continues the landscaping approach secured under Condition 11 of 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive (24/01529/COND11
- 3.6 Cycle and pedestrian routes are proposed throughout the site, with vehicular access provided by a central servicing road to support the needs of the laboratory and offices uses of the buildings. It is proposed that liquid

nitrogen tanks and refuse stores will be located adjacent to the service road to the north of the proposed 4000DD building. This is consistent with the approach taken for the approved 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive buildings.

3.7 The application is accompanied by the following supporting reports and plans

- Architectural Plans
- Landscape Drawings
- Planting Plan
- Landscape Specification
- Planning Statement
- EIA Statement of Conformity
- Design and Access Statement
- Phasing and Logistics Plan
- Landscape Design Report
- Landscape Management and Maintenance Plan
- Transport Statement (including BREEAM)
- Travel Plan (including BREEAM)
- Phase 3 Spur Connection Technical Memo
- Surface Water Drainage Strategy
- Wind Microclimate Report
- Ecological Conservation Management Plan Statement
- Biodiversity Net Gain Report (and BNG Metric)
- Energy Strategy
- Sustainability Strategy
- BREEAM Pre-Assessment Report
- Air Quality Assessment
- Noise Impact Assessment
- MEP Engineering Report
- External Lighting Strategy

3.8 The proposals have been amended during the course of the application to address representations received, and further consultations have been carried out as appropriate. These amendments relate to design revisions as the scheme evolved as part of the RIBA stage 3, and in response to advice from the Lead Local Flood Authority and Council's Ecologist.

4. Relevant site history

Reference	Description	Outcome
16/0176/OUT	Development of up to 75,000 sqm floorspace (excluding plant areas) of Research and Development (B1b) and Clinical (C2 and/or D1), sui generis and higher education uses, including related support activities within use class B1; ancillary uses in addition (A1, A3, A4, A5, D1 and/or D2); up to two multi storey car parks; open space and landscaping and all other associated supporting infrastructure.	Permission granted 5th September 2017
16/0176/NMA1	Non material amendment on application 16/0176/OUT to amend detailed text on Parameter Plan 5 (PP5) relating to landscape.	Permission granted 5 th April 2024
16/0165/FUL	Erection of a building for Biotech and Biomedical research and development and production together with associated supporting Headquarters and Logistics function along with associated infrastructure to include; access, services, drainage, electric and gas infrastructure, external ancillary structures, car and cycle parking and hard and soft landscaping.	Permission granted 22nd November 2016
20/03950/REM	Reserved Matters application for the erection of a five-storey mixed use laboratory and office building and associated plant, internal roads, car parking, cycle parking, landscaping and public open space. The Reserved Matters include access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale.	Permission granted 27 th January 2021
24/01589/REM	Reserved matters application pursuant to 16/0176/OUT for all matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) relating to the development of a multi-storey car park and a temporary surface car park as part	Permission granted 10 th October 2024

	of the phased development and the discharge of conditions 8 (transport spurs), 10 (energy demand), 14 (EV Charging), 31 (on plot cycle and pedestrian facilities), 33 (car parking spaces), 36 (disabled car parking spaces), 37 (cycle parking spaces), 39 (ecological conservation management plan), 41 (drainage), 43 (sustainability), 48 (waste), 49 (landscape) of planning permission 16/0176/OUT.	
24/01529/REM	Reserved matters application pursuant to 16/0176/OUT for all matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) relating to the development of 2no. mixed-use laboratory and office buildings (2000 Discovery Drive and 3000 Discovery Drive) including associated plant, internal access roads, car parking, cycle parking, landscaping, public open space, and other works and the discharge of conditions 8 (transport spurs), 10 (energy demand), 14 (EV Charging), 31 (on plot cycle and pedestrian facilities), 33 (carparking spaces), 36 (disabled car parking spaces), 37 (cycle parking spaces), 39 (ecological conservation management plan), 41 (drainage), 43 (sustainability), 48 (waste), 49 (landscape) of planning permission 16/0176/OUT.	Permission granted 10 th October 2024
16/0176/NMA3	Non-material amendment on outline application 16/0176/OUT for Parameter Plan 2 - Maximum Building Heights	Granted permission 2 nd December 2025

Table 2 Relevant site history

5. Policy

5.1 National policy

National Planning Policy Framework 2024

National Planning Practice Guidance

National Design Guide 2021

Local Transport Note 1/20 (LTN 1/20) Cycle Infrastructure Design

Environment Act 2021

Draft Greater Cambridge Local Plan 2024-2045 (Regulation 18 Stage Consultation - December 2025 to January 2026)

The Regulation 18 Draft Greater Cambridge Local Plan (the draft 'Joint Local Plan' (JLP)) represents the latest stage of preparing a new joint Local Plan for Greater Cambridge. Once it is adopted, it will become the statutory development plan for the Greater Cambridge area, replacing the current (adopted) Local Plans for Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire District. The draft JLP was published for formal public consultation (under Regulation 18 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) between 1 December 2025 and 30 January 2026.

In line with paragraph 49 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), local planning authorities may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to several factors. The draft JLP is consistent with policies in the current NPPF, but represents an earlier stage of the plan making process. Therefore, at this stage, the draft JLP and its policies can only be afforded limited weight as a material consideration in decision making

Consultation on a Regulation 19 draft plan is anticipated in Summer/Autumn 2026.

5.2 Cambridge Local Plan (2018)

Policy 1: The presumption in favour of sustainable development

Policy 2: Spatial strategy for the location of employment development

Policy 4: The Cambridge Green Belt

Policy 5: Strategic transport infrastructure

Policy 14: Areas of major change and opportunity areas – general principles

Policy 17: Cambridge Biomedical Campus (including Addenbrooke's Hospital) Area of Major Change

Policy 28: Carbon reduction, community energy networks, sustainable design and construction, and water use

Policy 29: Renewable and low carbon energy generation

Policy 31: Integrated water management and the water cycle

Policy 32: Flood risk

Policy 33: Contaminated land

Policy 34: Light pollution control

Policy 35: Protection of human health from noise and vibration

Policy 36: Air quality, odour and dust

Policy 40: Development and expansion of business space

Policy 42: Connecting new developments to digital infrastructure
Policy 55: Responding to context
Policy 56: Creating successful places
Policy 57: Designing new buildings
Policy 59: Designing landscape and the public realm
Policy 60: Tall buildings and the skyline in Cambridge
Policy 68: Open space and recreation provision through new development
Policy 69: Protection of sites of biodiversity and geodiversity importance
Policy 70: Protection of priority species and habitats
Policy 71: Trees
Policy 80: Supporting sustainable access to development
Policy 81: Mitigating the transport impact of development
Policy 82: Parking management
Policy 85: Infrastructure delivery, planning obligations and the Community Infrastructure Levy

5.3 Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD)

Biodiversity SPD – Adopted February 2022

Sustainable Design and Construction SPD – Adopted January 2020

Cambridgeshire Flood and Water SPD – Adopted November 2016

Public Art SPD – Adopted January 2009

Cambridge Biomedical Campus SPD – Adopted March 2025

6. Consultations

Anglian Water - No Objection

- 6.1 Comments. The details submitted relating to the discharge of condition 41 (surface water) do not relate to the foul water strategy. The surface water drainage report which has been submitted in respect of condition 41 has been reviewed. The proposed surface water does not relate to the Anglian Water network and it is stated the surface water from the site will be managed via SUDS features with an outfall into the South Ditch. On this basis unable to make comments on the suitability of surface water management - recommends that the Lead Local Flood Authority is consulted.

Cambridge City Airport - No Objection

- 6.2 No aerodrome safeguarding objection provided condition regarding Glint and Glare assessment is applied.

Cambridge Constabulary - No Objection

- 6.3 Comments. Dame Mary Archer Way is at very low risk to the vulnerability of crime. The principles of Secured by Design have been applied throughout the design of the scheme. Provides comments for consideration of the future security of the scheme.

County Archaeology - No Objection

- 6.4 The archaeological works for this development have been completed. No comment or objection.

County Highways Development Management - No Objection

- 6.5 No significant adverse effect upon the Public Highway should result from this proposal should it gain benefit of Planning Permission

County Transport Assessment Team - No Objection

- 6.6 The provision of car and cycle parking for this building is appropriate and in line with the outline approval. The pedestrian and cycle facilities are appropriate, and the trip rates for this building conform with the outline permission.

Ecology Officer- No Objection

- 6.7 The submitted Ecological Conservation Management Plan is considered acceptable following the updated Water Vole survey information. Support the application subject to the recommended precautionary measures and pre-commencement checks, and outstanding lighting information secured via condition.

Environment Agency- No Objection

- 6.8 No objection.

Environmental Health- No Objection

- 6.9 Comments. The information submitted within the application is sufficient to discharge Conditions 10 (Energy Demand) and 14 (EV Charging) of Planning Permission 16/0176/OUT with respect to the proposed development.

6.10 The proposed development is acceptable subject to conditions and informatives for details regarding:

- Operational noise mitigation/ Insulation Scheme – Compliance
- Operational noise mitigation/ Insulation Scheme – Post Construction / Installation Verification and Completion Report
- Standby Emergency / Back up Generator Operation (Noise & Air Quality Mitigation)

Hobsons Conduit Trust - No Objection

6.11 No comments received.

Landscape Officer - No Objection

6.12 Comments. The landscape detailing and materials are coordinated with the other parts of the phase 2 Discovery Drive area which is welcomed. Supports the reserved matters application and discharge of condition 49 for landscape. Recommends that details of green roofs, wayfinding and the external gas storage areas are conditioned.

Lead Local Flood Authority- No Objection

6.13 Comments. The applicant has demonstrated that surface water can be managed through the use of rain gardens, swales and permeable paving discharging surface water into the 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive network in line with the wider scheme. Recommend discharge of Condition 41 (Drainage).

Ministry of Defence - No Objection

6.14 The MOD has no objection to the development proposed.

Shared Waste Service - No Objection

6.15 All comments have been fully addressed. Condition 48 (Waste) can be discharged.

Sustainability Officer - No Objection

6.16 Comments. The proposed development is supported from a Sustainable Design and Construction perspective. The proposals are in accordance with the requirements of the Bespoke Sustainability Strategy and condition 43.

Tree Officer - No Objection

- 6.17 There are no significant existing tree constraints on site, and no reserved matters requirements in regard to tree protection.

Urban Design Officer - No Objection

- 6.18 Comments. The proposal is considered to be compliant with the parameters set out in the Outline Planning Permission and Cambridge Local Plan (2018) policies 55, 56 and 57. The proposal is supported by Urban Design subject to suggested conditions regarding design details and materials, sample panel, and signage.

Cambridgeshire Quality Panel Meeting on the 18 September 2026

- 6.19 The scheme was reviewed by the Cambridgeshire Quality Panel at preapplication stage in September 2025. A copy of the review letter is attached in full at Appendix 1. The Applicant submitted a response to the main points of feedback and amendments that had been made as a result; these are considered in the assessment section below.

- 6.20 The following is a summary of the Panels comments/ recommendations:

- No concerns about building height
- Align building entrance with pedestrian desire lines
- Ensure clear visibility of the entrance
- Adopt and clarify Net Zero Carbon targets
- Measure and reduce embodied carbon
- Design for future deconstruction and circularity
- Set and align waste management targets
- Benchmark against wider sustainability norms
- Enhance rain gardens for ecological and social value
- Support active travel and healthy lifestyles
- Diversify amenities across the site
- Activate the building's visual presence
- Transform utilitarian elements into design features
- Reassess and integrate the colonnade

- Explore greening strategies for challenging elements
- Ensure flexibility and adaptability in design

7. Third party representations

- 7.1 No representations have been received
- 7.2 The above representations are a summary of the comments that have been received. Full details of the representations are available on the Council's website.

8. Planning background

- 8.1 This application comprises a reserved matters application for the final phase of the commercial element of the Phase 2 Cambridge Biomedical Campus (CBC) expansion (outline permission ref: 16/0176/OUT). This southern extension to the campus was allocated within the Cambridge Local Plan (2006) to allow continued growth of the campus. The allocation was for clinical, biomedical and biotechnology research and development, related higher education and sui-generis medical research institute and related ancillary uses.
- 8.2 The Cambridge Local Plan (2018) brought forward the allocation within Policy 17, site M15. It described the southern expansion site as an 'Expansion Area' and outlines that approximately a third of the land would be developed for NHS and private clinical development and two thirds for biomedical and biotechnology research and development activities.
- 8.3 The site received outline consent in 2016 following the allocation for the development of the southern expansion for 75,000 sqm of floorspace for research and development and clinical land and associated uses (ref. 16/0176/OUT). At the same time a full application was submitted for the development of the first parcel of land within the commercial land for the ABCAM building (ref. 16/0165/FUL). The Abcam building was designed for biotech research and development, the build is completed and occupied. The building contains open space to the rear and is supported by a temporary car park to the west.
- 8.4 Development of the second parcel of land was granted permission in 2021 for the development of 1000 Discovery Drive which followed ABCAM (ref. 20/03950/REM). It comprised a five-storey building for research and

development purposes with a front courtyard area, the building is supported by a temporary car park to the east.

- 8.5 Development of the third and fourth parcel of land was granted permission 2024 for the development of 2000 Discovery Drive and 3000 Discovery Drive (24/01529/REM) and a Multi-Storey Car Park (24/01589/REM). The consents include the details for both buildings; extensive landscaped areas; and various supporting infrastructure including covered, secure cycle parking within a semi-sunken Cycle Parc facility. The consents also included the detailed design of a Multi-Storey Car Park.



- 8.6 Linking to the development of this scheme for 4000 Discovery Drive (4000 DD), a non-material amendment (16/0176/NMA3) was approved in 2025 to increase the maximum building height from 42.5m to 46.5m for a specific area in the central southern section of the Site covering part of the 4000 DD footprint.
- 8.7 The submitted reserved matters application utilises the additional height secured through the approved non material amendment, and will complete the final phase of the commercial floorspace within Phase 2.

The balance of the Phase 2 land (which comprises approximately a third of the remaining land), remains available for development to meet clinical needs. Reserved Matters Applications for this area must be made to the Local Planning Authority before the expiration of nine years from the 26th September 2017 (submitted before the 26th September 2026)

9. Cambridge Biomedical Campus Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) (March 2025)

- 9.1 Since the granting of the outline planning permission for Phase 2 in 2017., the Cambridge Biomedical Campus SPD was adopted. This provides additional guidance on the implementation of development plan policies.
- 9.2 The adopted CBC SPD acknowledges the previous phases of the CBC growth and looks to support the CBC aspirations of being a world-leading location for healthcare, education, medical innovation and life science research. It also seeks to build on the important local, regional and national role in providing medical facilities and medical research.
- 9.3 The CBC SPD sets out six key themes to guiding the future developments:
- Open spaces and landscape
 - The public realm
 - The built form
 - Connectivity and movement
 - Sustainability
 - Phasing and delivery
- 9.4 The SPD builds on the adopted Local Plan principles for reduce energy demand and associated carbon emissions, as well as carbon reduction requirements and adhere to or go beyond requirements for sustainability set out in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD to design and deliver more sustainable forms of development. Work alongside the long-term infrastructure and campus growth, through well phased and coordinated strategies, to ensure future developments work towards the overall SPD vision.

10. Pre-application Engagement

- 10.1 Throughout the design process, the project team have engaged extensively with stakeholders though meetings, workshops, presentations, and handouts. Alongside working with officers from the shared planning

service, the project team have sought a collaborative approach through the Planning Performance Agreement process.

- 10.2 The development proposals have been the subject of detailed pre-application dialogue with officers since June 2025. The scheme was reviewed by the Cambridgeshire Quality Panel in September 2025 and presented to the Joint Development Control Committee on 20 August 2025, and 21 Jan 2026, providing an opportunity for Members to review the emerging proposals.
- 10.3 The developer has responded positively to pre-application discussions and sought to enhance the scheme following officer guidance and Cambridgeshire Quality Panel feedback. The application proposals respond to and build on the key considerations raised throughout the pre-application process – as demonstrated through the technical documents submitted in support of the application, the design of the proposed building and how the proposal aligns with relevant policies.

11. Assessment

- 11.1 From the consultation responses and representations received and from an inspection of the site and the surroundings, the main issues for consideration are:
- Principle of development
 - Design, layout, scale and landscaping
 - Carbon reduction and sustainable design
 - Biodiversity
 - Water management and flood risk
 - Highway safety and transport impacts
 - Car and cycle parking
 - Environmental Impact
 - Other matters
 - Recommendation
 - Planning conditions

12. Principle of Development

- 12.1 Policy 17 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018) supports development at Cambridge Biomedical Campus which would meet the need for health care and biomedical and biotechnology research and development activities within class B1(b), related higher education and sui generis medical research institutes. It also supports supporting activities such as

shops and cafes to meet the needs of those using the campus and which add to the vibrancy of the area.

12.2 The proposal site sits within the 'expansion area' which is allocated for development within Policy 17 of the Cambridge Local Plan (M15) for development for commercial biomedical and biotechnology research and development activities and clinical activities.

12.3 The principle of development for the site for research and development and clinical uses was established through the grant of outline planning permission ref. 16/0176/OUT. This is in accordance with Cambridge Local Plan (2018) policy 17 and the allocation of Policy Site M15.

13. Outline Planning Permission and Parameter Plans

13.1 The outline planning permission was supported by five Parameter Plans (PP) which establish the principles of the subsequent development for the site. Compliance with these parameter plans is discussed in detail in the relevant sections of this assessment. A summary is set out below:

Land Use – this approved Parameter Plan defines the developable area and proposes 67% of the site for biomedical research and development and 33% for clinical uses.

The proposed development is for a research and development use and would be within the 67% of Phase 2 outlined for this use. On this basis the proposal is in accordance with the Land Use Parameter Plan.

Maximum Building Heights – this approved Parameter Plan identifies three height zones. The majority of the Site has a maximum height of 46.5 metres AOD, the southern-most strip 42.5 metres AOD and the eastern-most section 30.5 metres AOD. In accordance with the Revised PP 2 drawing approved under 16/0176/NMA3, the Proposed Development at 4000 DD sits fully within the maximum height zone of 46.5 metres. Whilst the proposed flue extends above this height zone, this is allowed by the Parameter Plan which identifies a +8m allowance for flues.

Access – this approved Parameter Plan shows an indicative route for the primary transport route as well as indicative pedestrian and cycles routes. The proposed scheme includes an additional section to Discovery Drive, which is the primary transport route through Phase 2. Discovery Drive East is located to the west of the indicative location shown on PP 3, this principle was established under 24/01529/REM, locating DD East between the biomedical R&D land and the clinical land creates the best possible access arrangement and maximises options for the future development of the clinical land, and future Phases 3 and 4 to the south. On this basis the proposals are considered in accordance with this Parameter Plan.

Open Space and Landscaping – this approved Parameter Plan shows landscape character zones A to D which surround the developable area on the site. No part of Zone A falls within the application site. No part of Zone B falls within the application site. Zone C is characterised by tree planting with main circulation route for all transport modes, drainage features and public realm. This is a narrow strip to the south of the site. The proposal continues the drainage swale and the primary access route within this zone. No part of the application site lies within Zone D. The proposals therefore accord with the Open Space and Landscaping Parameter Plan.

Development Principles – this Parameter Plan outlines the developable areas on site. It outlines that no less than 50% of the length of the southern development line to be edged by landscape areas and the landscaping areas to be a minimum of 40 metres deep. It requires 2 clear views across the site, a minimum of 6 meters wide to be retained, and outlines the principles for the landscaped areas.

The application proposals conform with the principles set out in the Development Principles Parameter Plan, providing a 40-metre-wide landscaped edge to 50% of the length of the southern development line and facilitating the provision of two clear views across the Phase 2 Site

- 13.2 On the basis of the above assessment, the principle of the development is acceptable and in accordance with policies 17 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018 and the Parameter Plans set out by the outline planning permission.

14. Environmental Statement

- 14.1 The outline application for the development of Phase 2 of the CBC fell within the remit of the Town and Country Planning Environmental Impact Regulations ('the EIA Regulations'). An Environmental Statement (ES) was submitted with that application, which identified the likely significant environmental effects of that development.
- 14.2 This RMA is accompanied by an Environmental Impact Assessment Statement of Conformity of the proposals against the parameters assessed by the 2016 EIA. This demonstrates that the proposed development is substantially in accordance with the approved outline consent and concludes that the proposals are unlikely to give rise to any new significant environmental effects over and above those assessed in the 2016 EIA. Officers agree with this conclusion.

Conditional matters pursuant to outline permission

- 14.3 As part of this Reserved Matters submission, details have been submitted in respect of the discharge of a number of planning conditions associated with the outline planning permission. These are referred to within the relevant sections of this report, as appropriate.

15. Design, layout, scale and landscaping

- 15.1 The application site is located with the Phase 2 expansion area which is located at the southern edge of the biomedical campus. The wider Phase 2 site has been partially developed with ABCAM building and 1000 Discovery Drive to the west being complete, 2000 Discovery Drive, 3000 Discovery drive, Cycle Parc, and the Multistorey carpark under construction to the West and north of the site.

Overview and Layout

- 15.2 4000 Discovery Drive is the final commercial, Research and Development, element of the Discovery Drive suite of buildings. The Design and Access Statement provides a comprehensive summary of how 4000DD integrates into the wider CBC framework, including the future expansion with clinical land to the east and phase 3 to the south of the site.
- 15.3 4000DD completes the overall layout for this part of the Phase 2 land occupying the last available plot next to 2000DD and 3000DD. The scale and massing is consistent with the other nearby consented buildings and the proposed public realm continues the approach taken across the wider site to create a high-quality landscaped space that relates well to the main entrance to the proposed building, continues the rain garden approach being adopted elsewhere on this part of the site and providing high quality paths to link to amenities on the Campus, the Cycle Parc, and wider active travel measures.
- 15.4 The alignment of the bridge crossing the raingarden to the south-west has been adjusted to direct footfall towards the main entrance, further aiding its legibility from across the campus. Altogether, the main building entrance is clear and legible from key pedestrian / cycle access points into the site from Dame Mary Archer Way, 1000DD and the Cycle Parc. The proposal includes on-plot visitor cycle spaces to the south of the raingarden, intercepting cyclist movements from the to the NCN 11 cycle route. End of journey facilities are located near the reception and have a

dedicated access on the western elevation for cyclists arriving from the cycle parc.

- 15.5 Car and Cycle access to the site is provided via the various existing infrastructure, in accordance with the approved parameter plans. This includes segregated off street, slow-street, segregated on-street, on-carriageway and shared-use spaces.
- 15.6 The proposed layout of the proposed scheme does not alter the already approved developments on the Phase 2 biomedical campus expansion, accords with the outline parameter plans and is considered acceptable.

Scale and Massing

- 15.7 The scheme proposes a 46.5m high building to the top of the roof plant, excluding the flue tower (estimated to be up to 8m above the maximum building height). This equates to a six-storey building with plant and a bio-solar roof behind screening. This will provide 9610sqm GFA flexible and efficient floorplate which can accommodate up to two tenants per floor, with an internal layout comprising 60% laboratory space and 40% office space.
- 15.8 The building height is consistent with that secured through NMA (ref: 16/0176/NMA) which removed the lower-level setback section on the southern elevation. The Roof Level Floor Plan drawing shows how the edge of the plant floor is stepped-back approximately 2.5m from the edge of the southern elevation. The frame of the building is then extruded upwards in front of the plant screen. This approach provides a more permeable top to the building that helps to reduce the perceived massing in views from the south and south-east viewpoints
- 15.9 The overall massing is managed through a split expression of the buildings volume (base-middle-top). This retains some similarities to the previous 1000, 2000, 3000 Discovery Drive buildings which have a predominantly vertical emphasis. Solid and void elements still maintain a level of verticality which gives the building a more slender and refined appearance.
- 15.10 The scale and massing of the proposed building accord with the outline parameter plans and are therefore considered acceptable.

Appearance, Details and Materials

- 15.11 The building has been designed to align with other buildings on the phase 2 biomedical expansion land with shared scale and characteristics. The

design has evolved from having a simple overall volume to a split expression of the buildings volume (base-middle-top) which is broken further with stepped entrances and varied elevational treatment, as seen on the neighbouring buildings.

- 15.12 The proposed scheme extends at each level above the ground floor ground floor on the west elevation to create an overhang that forms a colonnaded section that fronts on to the rain garden and associated public realm near the main entrance. This approach make 4000DD more visible from Dame Mary Archer Way aiding site-wide legibility.
- 15.13 The colonnade feature also aids the legibility of the main entrance, which is highlighted by red steel tree features. This has been used to indicate the entrances on 1000, 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive linking the proposed with the already approved and build buildings across the site.
- 15.14 The elevations are orientation-led, which has resulted in varied solid-to-void ratios across each elevation to aid in mitigating solar gain. The principal western elevation is distinguished by the colonnade of delicate red features 'trees' announcing the main building entrance. Fully glazed ground and first floors on the western elevation open up to and positively engage with the adjacent public realm.
- 15.15 In terms of proposed building materials, the building material palette links to the existing buildings on Discovery Drive so that they feel like a coherent family group of buildings. It is proposed that the warm tones are integrated from 2000DD and darker accent finishes from 3000DD form recessive elements within the overall façade composition. The predominantly warm tones are considered to help to soften the building's appearance in its current landscape context to the south. The proposed material palette contributes to the coherent approach to materials across all Discovery Drive buildings and gives 4000DD a distinct character with proposed stone finishes. **Conditions 2 (materials) and 3 (sample panel)** are recommended to secure the quality of the warm and coordinated approach to the material palette.
- 15.16 The south-eastern corner of the proposed building has been designed to create a more distinctive architectural feature. This is achieved through creating transparency at ground floor and helps to create a sense of life and activity at ground floor and provide natural surveillance of Discovery Drive (East) and Discovery Drive (South). Signage is also proposed within the upper level 'crown' to the building that supports longer distance legibility of the building. The signage details are secured via Condition 4

(Signage) in accordance with the advice offered by the Urban Design Officer.

- 15.17 The crown feature of 4000 Discovery Drive is proposed to have a discrete integrated lighting strategy seeking to create a diffused, soft glow effect which frames the upper elements of the building. The technical details of how the integrated lighting scheme can be achieved are currently unclear, **Condition 2** includes a requirement to submit details of this. Further to this Condition 16 of the outline permission requires individual building plots to provide an artificial lighting scheme details including an impact assessment.
- 15.18 Servicing requirements are integrated within the footprint of the building. As part of the servicing requirements of the building, liquid nitrogen gas tanks are required to support the proposed laboratory needs. The proposed Gas tanks are located to the north of the site adjacent to the central service street and consistent with the approach taken for 2000DD and 3000DD. The details of the gas storage tanks are secured via **Condition 7**, to ensure a coordinated approach with the material and landscaping details approved across the site.
- 15.19 Subject to the recommended conditions the proposal is considered to respond positively to its surroundings and complies with Cambridge Local Plan (2018) policies 55, 56, 57 and 59, and accord with the outline parameter plans and therefore acceptable.

Design Quality Response

- 15.20 The application was subject to review by Cambridgeshire Quality Panel at pre-application stage in September 2025. The below table sets out how the proposals has addressed the feedback of the panel as part of the design progression of the final proposals.

Specific Recommendations	Changes and Improvements to the Scheme
No concerns about building height	No change required.

Align building entrance with pedestrian desire lines • Shift entrance slightly northward to match natural movement patterns • Improve clarity and ease of access from popular approach routes	The masterplan and western elevation have been reviewed carefully through Pre-App Nos. 3 and 4, to ensure legibility through the wider Phase 2 Site. This is supported by the strong landscape strategy and clear wayfinding.
Ensure clear visibility of the entrance • Avoid spatial disconnect between architectural elements and user flow	The masterplan and western elevation have been reviewed carefully through Pre-App Nos. 3 and 4, to ensure legibility through the wider Phase 2 Site. This is supported by the strong landscape strategy and clear wayfinding.
Adopt and clarify Net Zero Carbon targets • Confirm whether UK Net Zero Carbon • standard is a formal goal Outline a clear strategy for achieving full Net Zero compliance	Addressed within Sustainability Strategy and Energy Strategy. The scheme exceeds national and local planning policy requirements for sustainability, targeting BREEAM Outstanding.
Measure and reduce embodied carbon • Publish embodied carbon figures for transparency and benchmarking	The approach to construction and materials has been reviewed through Pre-App Nos. 3 and 4, including embodied carbon as a key consideration.
Design for future deconstruction and circularity	The approach to construction and materials has been reviewed through Pre-App Nos. 3 and 4, including flexibility, deconstruction and end-of-life.
Set and align waste management targets	Waste management is addressed under Outline Condition 48 (Waste) and detailed in the DAS.
Benchmark against wider sustainability norms	Addressed within Sustainability Strategy.
Enhance rain gardens for ecological and social value • Increase planting density for stronger visual and ecological impact • Use shade-tolerant species (e.g. ferns) in low-light areas • Add decking and integrated planters to improve usability and structure	Addressed within Landscape Design Report. The raingarden is planted with an appropriate density and range of species based on the quantity of rainfall anticipated and taking account of the context. The hard landscaping and furniture provide a good range of amenity provision to support working and wellbeing.

Support active travel and healthy lifestyles • Maintain generous bike parking and changing facilities • Treat the cyclist entrance as a deliberate, positive design feature	Walking and cycling are prioritised across Phase 2, with convenient, covered and secure cycle parking for employees within the Cycle Parc. The active travel entrance is clear and welcoming, in line of sight when crossing the raingarden 'bridge' pathway.
Diversify amenities across the site • Avoid replicating identical offers in each building • Curate a mix of food, retail, wellness, and social spaces • Tailor amenities to building character and user needs	The provision of amenity spaces and offers, both internal and external, has been carefully curated to support the immediate campus and wider CBC.
Activate the building's visual presence • Improve the neutral façade facing future campus phases	The facades have been reviewed and refined through Pre-App Nos. 3 and 4. This is detailed in the DAS.
Transform utilitarian elements into design features • Reimagine nitrogen tanks with bold colour or sculptural framing	This RMA provides space for a LN2 tank; however, the details are dependent upon the needs of future tenant(s) and hence should be subject to a RM Condition, as with 24/01529/REM.
Reassess and integrate the colonnade • Clarify its spatial and architectural role • Improve its coherence with site movement and design language • Focus on refinement rather than removal to provide a social space	The colonnade provides a key architectural role on the western elevation. The façade has been developed through Pre-App Nos. 3 and 4, including the horizontal and vertical elements and interaction with the colonnade. This is detailed in the DAS.
Explore greening strategies for challenging elements • Soften flu towers with drought-tolerant • or wind-adapted planting Consider modular green systems or lattices	The design includes a biosolar roof which contributes to the BNG of the Proposed Development.
Ensure flexibility and adaptability in design • Maintain internal layouts that support varied user needs • Use spatial planning to accommodate future changes • Reinforce character through layout and spatial hierarchy	These elements are addressed in the DAS.

Safety and Security

- 15.21 Cambridgeshire Constabulary's Designing Out Crime Officer has provided consultation comments, including identifying some areas to be considered as part of the detailed design scheme. The consultation response acknowledges that the scheme is located in an area of very low risk to the vulnerability to crime but does note that lighting, door entrances and windows, CCTV, alarms, access control, cycle parking among other measures can all improve the safety of the building and should be considered.
- 15.22 As part of the evolution of the scheme design, a Security Needs Assessment was undertaken. A summary of this is provided within the Design and Access Statement. Officers are content with this approach and consider that the detailed matters raised in respect of safety and security can be addressed as part of the building's future security strategy which will be produced within the BREEAM accreditation process.
- 15.23 On the basis of the above evaluation, the proposal is considered to provide a safe and secure environment, in compliance with Cambridge Local Plan (2018) policy 56.

Landscape

- 15.24 The proposed scheme forms the final parcel in the Phase 2 Biomedical campus commercial expansion. The consented parcels on this scheme established a masterplan including the layout of buildings, servicing, access, parking, amenity and green spaces for the site. A shared, amenity space and large rain garden are proposed to the west of the building and the design of these features has been coordinated with the wider landscape and amenity space and the sunken Cycle Parc, currently in construction, as part of 2000-3000 Discovery Drive (24/01529/REM). The raingarden, identified as part of the wider masterplan, has been adjusted to direct footfall towards the entrance, further aiding its legibility from across the campus. The landscape officer recommends **condition 6** (Wayfinding) to secure the details of the external signage and wayfinding elements to support the overall wayfinding and landscape strategy across the site.
- 15.25 The rain garden details match the rain garden between plots 2000-3000 Discovery Drive (24/01529/REM), with the same types of planting, same cast stone edging detail and furniture. The drainage strategy is also coordinated across the parcels.

- 15.26 The vehicle access route to plots 2000, 3000 and 4000 is located north of the proposed building and there are accessible parking bays near the building entrance, a servicing layby, space for potential gas storage and bin storage further to the east. The layout and detailing of this area are coordinated with plot 2000 and 3000. To the east of the building a linear, rain garden will be established and planted with Alder trees and understorey planting.
- 15.27 The proposed scheme includes the provision of a bio-solar roof on part of the roof space. This incorporates a bio-diverse green roof alongside integrated photovoltaic panels to support biodiversity and generate on-site renewable energy. **Condition 5 (Green roofs)** is recommended to secure details. **Condition 17 (Glint and glare)** is also recommended by Cambridge Airport to ensure that there is no glint or glare from the PV panels impacting affect pilots operating in and out of Cambridge airport.
- 15.28 The planting and layouts are coordinated with the wider Discovery Drive masterplan and align with the outline planning parameters.

Amenity

The proposed scheme does not propose any formal amenity provision within the building. However, other buildings on this part of the Campus do have facilities that are available for users of the proposed building, such as the provision of retail and gym space. Other informal seating and gathering spaces are also proposed as part of the wider masterplan.

- 15.29 Overall, the proposed development creates a high-quality design that contributes positively to its surroundings and be appropriately landscaped. The proposal is compliant with Cambridge Local Plan (2018) policies 55, 56, 57, 58 and 59 and the NPPF. Condition 49 (Landscape) of the outline planning permission can also be discharged

16. Carbon reduction and sustainable design

- 16.1 The application is supported by a sustainability strategy in accordance with Outline condition 43 (sustainability), an Energy Strategy and MEP Report and a BREEAM pre-assessment report.
- 16.2 The sustainability strategy which accompanies the application proposals highlights the approach taken on sustainability across physical, social, economic, human and natural capital in order to reduce the impacts on

the environment and create a sustainable, low carbon development which is future proof and provides a sense of community for the continual development of science and research in Cambridge.

- 16.3 The Energy Strategy and MEP Report shows the proposed development's energy strategy, which aims to reduce the energy demand. This also shows that the approach taken follows that of 1000 DD, 2000 DD and 3000 DD, whereby only the back-up emergency power supply will utilise combustion sources. This accords with the requirements of condition 10 (Energy demand) of the outline permission, this is confirmed by the council's Environmental Health officer.
- 16.4 The BREEAM pre-assessment report outlines that the proposed scheme will target BREEAM 'Outstanding' rating with a baseline score of 92.45%, this strategy provides a 7.45% buffer above the minimum score required for the Outstanding rating of 85%.
- 16.5 The proposals have been considered by Council's Sustainability Officer who raises no objection to the proposal subject to **conditions 8 (BREEAM Design Stage Certification), 9 (BREEAM Post Construction Certification), 10 (Greywater Harvesting), 11 (Rainwater Harvesting), 12 (Water Calculator), and 13 (Commercial Water Monitoring)** relating to BREEAM, greywater, rainwater, water calculations and commercial water monitoring.
- 16.6 The council's sustainability officer welcomes the approach of integrating the principles of sustainable design and construction and meeting the targets set out in the site wide sustainability strategy. The target of BREEAM Outstanding is especially welcomed and will demonstrate a positive progression in environmental performance.
- 16.7 The approach to minimising solar gain through façade design is supported, seen through the use of fully integrated louvres, slender vertical piers and expansive low emissivity glazing to help ensure that overheating. The proposed scheme would also seek to achieve a 25.6% reduction in carbon emissions over the building regulation part L baseline. The building will include a bio-solar roof with additional solar panels.
- 16.8 The proposed scheme is targeting the full 5 credits for BREEAM Wat 01 integrating both greywater and rainwater harvesting. Similarly full credits are targeted for Wat 02, 03, and 04 confirming minimal water use for irrigation, serviced primarily by the rain garden as well as an additional dedicated rainwater harvesting system. The proposed strategy shows that the development would be highly water efficient.

- 16.9 On the basis of the above assessment, the application is considered acceptable with regard to carbon reduction and sustainable design, subject to the recommended conditions as described above. Conditions 10 (Energy Demand) and 43 (Sustainability) of the outline planning permission can also be discharged.

17. Ecology and Biodiversity

- 17.1 As part of the outline application, an Ecological Impact Assessment and Environmental Statement was submitted to assess the ecological impacts of the development. The approach to remove the low value amenity grass land and retain and enhance woodland habitat and provide other enhancement/ mitigation features was supported. The outline application was subject to conditions which require a Site Wide Ecological Conservation Management Plan (SWECMP) with any subsequent reserved matters application (Condition 39 of outline planning permission).
- 17.2 This application has been submitted with an Ecological Conservation Management Plan Statement (ECMPS) to meet the requirements of the relevant outline condition. This document sets out the management of features of ecological interest and outlines the enhancement and mitigation strategies to be implemented as part of the development.
- 17.3 The submitted ECMPS also demonstrates how a sensitive lighting strategy will be designed to ensure that the off-site ditch (which is likely to be of use by foraging and dispersing bats), will be subject to minimal light spill thereby retaining dark corridors.
- 17.4 A Biodiversity Net Gain Report has also been submitted with this application. This report shows that the scheme would provide a 49.73% net gain in habitat units and a 0.16 unit increase in hedgerow units for the 4000 DD RMA Site. On a site wide basis the report shows that the collective proposed developments of Abcam, 1000 DD, 2000 DD, 3000 DD and 4000 DD and final development parcel will achieve a net gain of 23.33% in habitat units and 38.75% in hedgerow units.
- 17.5 The submitted ecological information has been reviewed by the Council's Ecologist. In response to initial consultation comments provided additional survey work was undertaken regarding Water Voles, and a City Wildlife Site Survey Technical Note was provided. The Ecologist has reviewed this information and raises no concerns, although notes the

issue of lighting. The Applicant will be required to submit details of lighting under Condition 16 of the approved outline application.

- 17.6 On the basis of the advice received from the Council's Ecologist, officers are satisfied that the proposed development would not result in adverse harm to protected habitats, protected species or priority species and achieve a biodiversity net gain. The proposed complies with the outline planning permission local plan policies 57, 69 and 70 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018) and outline planning condition 39 (Site Wide Ecological Conservation Management Plan) can be discharged.

18. Water management and flood risk

Surface Water Flood Risk

- 18.1 The site is within Flood Zone 1 - there is one area at risk of surface water flooding, which is considered low (between 0.1% and 1%). As part of the outline application, the Flood Risk Assessment considered the nature of flood risk at the site and outlined a surface water runoff strategy to ensure there would be no detrimental downstream flood risk arising from the development. Due to the high table water, the potential for infiltration is restricted.
- 18.2 This reserved matters application has been submitted with a Surface Water Drainage Strategy, which is in accordance with the approach agreed at outline stage – with no material changes to the principles as previously agreed (for example attenuation volume and discharge rates).
- 18.3 The current surface water drainage scheme feeds into the previously approved scheme (approved under 24/01529/REM) which relate to 2000 DD and 3000 DD. This included the future connection of 4000 DD. This scheme includes SuDS features to store run-off within a combination of geocellular attenuation features and above ground flood storage areas located within individual plots. The SuDS features area are designed to accommodate all surface water run-off from rainfall events up to 1 in 100 year events plus climate change. It was agreed that the run-off would then be discharged to the existing ditch course via a new primary swale and surface water pumping station arrangement.
- 18.4 The proposals have been developed to include a range of sustainable drainage features to attenuate, filter and discharge surface water. This includes rooftop attenuation, rain gardens and swales, permeable paving and water harvesting.

- 18.5 The Local Lead Flood Authority (LLFA) were formally consulted as part of the application. The LLFA supports the use of these SuDS features as they provide biodiversity, amenity and water quality benefits. Following the review of updated information, the LLFA has confirmed that the proposals are acceptable and that condition 41 (drainage) of the outline permission can be discharged in regards to this parcel.
- 18.6 On the basis of the consultation advice received, officers are satisfied that the applicants have suitably addressed the issues of water management and flood risk, the proposals are in accordance with the outline permission, Local Plan policies 31 and 32, and the NPPF 2024, and that Condition 41 (drainage) of the outline planning permission can be discharged.

Foul Water

- 18.7 Foul water was considered as part of the outline application. Details of the Foul water strategy are secured via Condition 46 of the outline planning permission. This is required prior to commencement of development and must be installed in accordance with the approved strategy prior to occupation.

19. Highway safety and transport impacts

- 19.1 The application site is located to the south of Dame Mary Archer Way, at the southern edge of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus. The site is approximately 500m from the centre of the CBC. Dame Mary Archer Way provides a connection into the existing infrastructure network, through the connection with the roundabout at the Addenbrooke's Road to the west and the connection to Robinson's Way to the northeast.. As part of the previous development on the Phase 2 expansion, the site is supported by vehicular connections and shared pedestrian/ cycle surfacing which extends between the buildings.
- 19.2 The outline planning permission was developed with a strong emphasis on prioritising pedestrian and cycle access. This approach was continued through the development of this reserved matters application, which considers users' routes to buildings and prioritises active and sustainable travel modes ahead of private vehicles.
- 19.3 The application is supported by a Transport Statement and interim Travel Plan. These set out the proposed transport arrangements for this reserved

matters parcel and seek to respond to the transport related conditions attached to the outline application, with reference to the accessibility of the site, including for pedestrian, cyclists and public transport.

- 19.4 Motorised vehicles will primarily access the site from Dame Mary Archer Way. Blue badge, taxis, servicing and emergency vehicles can access the service road through the centre of the site. Cycle and pedestrian access incorporates short walking routes to cycle parking areas and building entrances.
- 19.5 The application supporting information advises that the proposed development will have an occupancy of approximately 439 employees (based on one employee per 22sqm of floorspace) and confirms that the estimated trip generation for the development will be in accordance with that agreed under the outline permission.
- 19.6 The submission also seeks to discharge Condition 8 of the outline permission (Provision of Transport Spurs). The documentation confirms that the junction arrangements are capable of being upgraded to accommodate the future transport spurs.
- 19.7 The Local Highways Authority has been consulted and has raised no concerns. Overall, the submitted scheme builds on the existing high-quality transport and movement strategy, providing a well-connected, permeable and legible site.
- 19.8 On this basis, the proposals are compliant with the outline planning permission and the objectives of policy 80 and 81 of the Local Plan and is compliant with NPPF advice, Condition 8 of the outline planning permission (Provision of Transport Spurs) can also be recommended for approval.

20. Car and cycle provision

Cycle parking

- 20.1 The cycle parking is provided across the commercial land for the phase 2 expansion for buildings 2000, 3000 and 4000 with a total of 571 spaces. Of these, 450 are in a consolidated cycle parc building, with the remaining spaces within the MSCP, buildings, and the public spaces between them.
- 20.2 The level of cycle parking provision proposed is in accordance with the outline planning permission, which requires 103 spaces for this building, and 517 for the Phase 2 expansion. The total number of cycle spaces

proposed for 4000DD across the scheme would be 157 staff spaces (124 within the cycle parc, 7 in the MSCP, 11 within the urban surroundings, 15 foldable bike within the building) and 6 visitor spaces. There will also be 8 showers and 74 lockers within the building itself.

- 20.3 The proposed scheme provides cycle parking in excess of the requirements of the outline planning permission. On this basis, the proposals are acceptable with regard to cycle parking.

Car parking

- 20.4 The car parking is provided across the commercial land for the phase 2 expansion for buildings 2000, 3000 and 4000 with a total of 640 on plot spaces. This is split between 614 spaces within the multi-storey Car Park (MSCP) application (24/01589/REM), and 26 spaces on the central access road (24/01529/REM). The proposed scheme has 84 parking spaces allocated, this is inclusive of 79 spaces within the MSCP and 5 accessible spaces within the central access road adjacent to 4000 Discovery Drive. This accords with the outline condition 36 (Disabled car parking spaces) requiring at least 5% of the total number of spaces provided to be for disabled car parking. This represents a reduction in the proposed spaces indicated within the previous applications on the site, of 11 spaces. This reduction equates to 1 space per 114sqm which is less than the outline requirement of 1 space per 80sqm. The provision of parking for this building is appropriate.

- 20.5 The EV charging requirements are set within Outline condition 14 where a minimum of 3% of spaces shall have EV charging points installed at the point of construction, and a minimum of 15% shall have infrastructure for future provision. The parking provision across the commercial land provides 24 active EV charging spaces (equivalent to 3.75% of the total provision), and 19 passive EV charging (equivalent to 17% of the total provision). The 4000DD scheme would have monitoring 3 no. active EV charging points (exceeding the 3% requirement with 3.5%) and 16 no. passive EV charging points (exceeding the 15% requirement with 19%). The proposed Active and passive EV charging is considered acceptable and accords with the Outline permission.

- 20.6 On the basis of the above assessment, officers are satisfied that the proposed car and cycle parking arrangements are compliant with the outline planning permission, and that outline planning conditions 14 (EV charge points), 31 (on plot pedestrian and cycle facilities), 33 (Car parking), and 37 (Cycle parking) can be discharged.

21. Environmental Impact

- 21.1 The site is located within the Cambridge Airport Air Safeguarding Zone for any structure greater than 15 metres. Cambridge Airport have reviewed the information submitted and do not raise any safeguarding concerns subject to a condition for a glint and glare assessment to understand the impact of solar panels of aircrafts. Accordingly, if approved this would be captured under **Condition 17(Glint and Glare Assessment)**.
- 21.2 Subject to the recommended condition as described above, the proposal would accord with Policy 37 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018).

Contaminated Land

- 21.3 As part of the outline planning consent contamination was considered through a Geotechnical and Geo- Environmental Desk Study Report. It outlined that historic records for the any development on the site had been reviewed and the contamination risks were set out as well as investigation recommendations. The application was subject to conditions to reflect the further investigation and mitigation required (conditions 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25).
- 21.4 The site wide conditions are considered sufficient to deal with contamination on the site, no further information or consideration is required with this application.
- 21.5 The proposal would accord with Policy 33 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018).

Environmental Health (Air Quality, Artificial Lighting, Noise Vibration)

- 21.6 The application is supported by the following documents:
- EIA Statement of Conformity
 - Air Quality Assessment
 - External Lighting Strategy
 - Noise Impact Assessment

Air Quality

- 21.7 As part of the outline application an Environmental Statement was submitted which considered air quality impacts through construction and operational phases of development, and conditions were added to control potential impacts (Conditions 9 –15). The submitted information acknowledges these conditions and complies with the requirements.

- 21.8 The Air Quality Assessment (AQA) assesses the proposed development through construction and operational phases. The proposed development would be primarily electric, utilising Air Source Heat Pumps with zero-emission technology. A diesel generator is proposed for back up use only. Fume cupboards are to be located close to ventilation shafts and designed to meet the relevant British Standard. The report concludes that the site is suitable for office and laboratory use without mitigation.
- 21.9 The submitted AQA details has been reviewed by the councils Environmental Health officer who finds that subject to the inclusion of **Condition 16 (Standby Emergency Generator Operation Noise and Air Quality)** and the development being constructed in accordance with the approved plans, there are no objections on air quality grounds.
- 21.10 Officers consider the RMA proposal accords with Policy 36 of Cambridge Local Plan (2018), the Greater Cambridge Air Quality Strategy, and the outline planning permission with respect to Air Quality.

Artificial Lighting

- 21.11 The submitted External Lighting Strategy demonstrates the proposal continues to follow the embedded design strategy established throughout earlier reserved matters approvals (Abcam, 1000 Discovery Drive, 2000 Discovery Drive and 3000 Discovery Drive). The strategy aims to ensure safe movement throughout the site, support social gathering during appropriate hours and create a sense of place and identity. In addition to this the lighting strategy aims to minimise light pollution.
- 21.12 An artificial lighting condition was included with the outline consent. Any artificial lighting on site must demonstrate it is acceptable in terms of visual amenity and light pollution. The Council's Environmental Health officer raises no concern with respect to artificial lighting, though notes that any future discharge of Condition 16 should have regard to the updated ILP '*Guidance Notes for the Reduction of Obtrusive Light, 2021 - GN01/21.*'
- 21.13 Officers consider the application proposals accord with Policy 34 of Cambridge Local Plan (2018), and the outline planning permission with respect to Artificial Lighting

Noise Pollution

- 21.14 In regard to noise, the outline application was subject to conditions 17 (Plant Noise Insulation) and 28 (Emergency and Back Up Generator) in order to control noise.
- 21.15 The application is supported by a Noise Impact Assessment which sets out the noise contributors that will form part of the reserved matters parcel, the Environmental Health Officer finds the detail acceptable for the reserved matters application, however recommends **Conditions 14 (Operational Noise mitigation), 15 (Noise Mitigation), and 16 (Standby Emergency Generator Operation Noise and Air Quality)** are added to secure additional detail compliance and post-construction stage verification/ insulation.
- 21.16 Officers consider the RMA proposal accords with Policy 35 of Cambridge Local Plan (2018), and the outline planning permission with respect to noise and vibration.

Environmental Construction Impacts

- 21.17 The environmental construction impacts of the development were considered as part of the outline consent and condition 29 and 30 were added to control noise and potential piling. It is considered that these conditions are sufficient to control impact to surrounding occupiers.

Summary

- 21.18 The proposal adequately respects the amenity of its neighbours and of future occupants. Subject to conditions, the proposal is compliant with policies 33, 34, 35, 36, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018) and subsequently accords with the outline planning permission.

22. Other Matters

Archaeology

- 22.1 As part of the outline planning permission an Archaeological Assessment was submitted. It was outlined that the site is located in an area of archaeological interest and therefore a condition was recommended by the County Archaeological Team to require a written scheme of

investigation. It is considered that this would be sufficient to safeguard the archaeological interest of the site. The County Archaeology team have been consulted and note that the archaeological works for this development have been completed. Therefore, the proposal would accord with Policy 61 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018).

Waste

- 22.2 Details of the proposed waste and refuse strategy have been submitted in accordance with outline condition 48 (Waste). Waste provision has been calculated using BREEAM waste provision requirements.
- 22.3 The information submitted confirms that the proposed building will be serviced from the centrally located service access road. A recycling store is to be integrated into the ground floor of the building, whilst a general waste store will be located externally. These facilities are located within close proximity of the service/delivery lay-by.
- 22.4 The Council's shared waste service has confirmed the acceptability of the proposed scheme from a waste and refuse strategy perspective. On this basis, Condition 48 (Waste) of the outline planning permission can be discharged.

23. Recommendation

- (i) Approve subject to the conditions and informatives as set out in Section 24 of this report, with delegated authority to officers to carry through minor amendments to those conditions and informatives.
- (ii) Part discharge the following conditions on the outline planning consent reference 16/0176/OUT in relation to this reserved matters only:

Condition 8 (Transport spurs)
Condition 10 (Energy Demand)
Condition 14 (EV Charging)
Condition 31 (On Plot Cycle and Pedestrian Facilities)
Condition 33 (Car Parking Spaces)
Condition 36 (Disabled Car Parking Spaces)
Condition 37 (Cycle Parking Spaces)
Condition 39 (Ecological Conservation Management Plan)
Condition 41 (Surface Water Drainage)
Condition 43 (Sustainability)
Condition 48 (Waste)
Condition 49 (Landscape)

24. Planning conditions

1- Approved Plans

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans as listed on this decision notice.

Reason: In the interests of good planning, for the avoidance of doubt and to facilitate any future application to the Local Planning Authority under Section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2- Design Details and Materials

No development shall take place above ground level (except for demolition) until details of all the materials for the external surfaces of buildings to be used in the construction of the development have been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The details shall include joints and interfaces of all materials; external features such as masonry cladding, aluminium louvres, glazing, window frames and panels and integrated crown lighting.

The details shall consist of a materials schedule and a design details document, including detailed elevations and sections (scaled 1:5, 1:10, 1:20) and/or samples as appropriate to the scale and nature of the development in question and shall demonstrate consistency with the approved elevations. Any documentation/plans provided must be accompanied with a study on the materials selection setting out the justification for the materials selected. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the external appearance of the development does not detract from the character and appearance of the area. (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 55, 56 and 57)

3- Sample Panel

No masonry above ground level shall be laid until a sample panel 1.5 x 1.5m has been prepared on site detailing the choice of masonry, masonry joints and joints between different material elements. The details shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved sample panel is to be retained on site for the duration of the works for comparative purposes, and works will take place only in accordance with approved details.

Reason: To ensure the external appearance of the development does not

detract from the character and appearance of the area.in accordance with (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 55 and 57).

4- Signage

Prior to first use details of building signage shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved material and signage details.

Reason: To ensure that the external appearance of the development does not detract from the character and appearance of the area (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 55 and 57).

5- Green roofs

Prior to any development above ground level of any permanent building with a flat roof, details of the biodiverse (green, blue or brown) roof(s) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details of the biodiverse roof(s) shall include the following:

- a) Confirmation of substrate depth, which shall be between 80-150mm (unless otherwise agreed).
- b) A plant /seed mix (with wildflower planting indigenous to the local area and no more than a maximum of 25% sedum (green roofs only)).
- c) A management / maintenance plan including means of access.
- d) Where solar panels are proposed, an array layout will be required incorporating a minimum of 0.75m between rows of panels for access and to ensure establishment of vegetation.

The biodiverse roof(s) shall not be used as an amenity or sitting out space of any kind whatsoever and shall only be used in the case of essential maintenance, repair or escape in case of emergency. All works shall be carried out and maintained thereafter in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure the development provides the maximum possible provision towards water management and the creation of habitats and valuable areas for biodiversity. (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 31).

6- Wayfinding

Prior to installation, full details of all external signage elements such as finger posts, totems and general wayfinding within the landscape shall be submitted and

approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, to include a location plan at

1:200 elevations and signage details at minimum scale of 1:20 .The wayfinding

signage shall be installed prior to first use in accordance with the approved details

and retained as such.

Reason: To support the landscaping strategy and wayfinding across the site (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 55, 56, 57 and 59).

7- External gas storage

Prior to installation, full details of the external gas bottle stores and bulk gas tanks and associated enclosures shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include means of enclosure, materials and layout. The enclosures shall be installed in accordance with the approved details and retained as such.

Reason: To ensure that the gas stores are coordinated in appearance with the materials and landscape details approved across the site and that the external appearance of the development does not detract from the character and appearance of the area (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 55, 57).

8- BREEAM Design Stage Certification

Within 12 months of commencement of construction of the building hereby approved, a BRE issued Design Stage Certificate shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that BREEAM 'outstanding' will be met, with maximum credits for Wat 01 (water consumption). Where the Design Stage certificate shows a shortfall in credits for BREEAM 'outstanding', a statement shall also be submitted identifying how the shortfall will be addressed. If such a rating is replaced by a comparable national measure of sustainability for building design, the equivalent level of measure shall be applicable to the development.

Reason: In the interests of reducing carbon dioxide emissions and promoting principles of sustainable construction and efficient use of buildings (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

9- BREEAM Post Construction Certification

Within 12 months following first occupation of the building hereby approved, a BRE issued Construction Certificate shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority within 1 month of issue. The certificate shall demonstrate that the approved BREEAM rating has been met. If such a

rating is replaced by a comparable national measure of sustainability for building design, the equivalent level of measure shall be applicable to the proposed development.

Reason: In the interests of reducing carbon dioxide emissions and promoting principles of sustainable construction and efficient use of buildings (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

10-Greywater Harvesting

No development above ground level shall take place until a detailed scheme for the approved grey water harvesting and recycling strategy has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include relevant drawings showing the location of the necessary infrastructure required to facilitate the water reuse. The development shall be carried out and thereafter maintained strictly in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To respond to the serious water stress facing the area and ensure that development makes efficient use of water and promotes the principles of sustainable construction (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

11-Rainwater Harvesting

No development above ground level shall take place until a detailed scheme for the approved rainwater harvesting and recycling strategy has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include relevant drawings showing the location of the necessary infrastructure required to facilitate the water reuse. The development shall be carried out and thereafter maintained strictly in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To respond to the serious water stress facing the area and ensure that development makes efficient use of water and promotes the principles of sustainable construction (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

12-Water Calculator

Prior to the occupation of the building, evidence in the form of the BREEAM Wat01 water efficiency calculator shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such evidence shall demonstrate the achievement of no less than 5 Wat01 credits. The development shall be

carried out and thereafter maintained strictly in accordance with the agreed details set out within the BREEAM Wat01 water efficiency calculator.

Reason: To respond to the serious water stress facing the area and ensure that development makes efficient use of water and promotes the principles of sustainable construction (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

13-Commercial Water Monitoring

Prior to first occupation of the building a comprehensive water metering and monitoring system shall be installed and commissioned within the building to quantify at least daily: the total volume of mains water used, the total volume of greywater reclaimed and the total volume of rainwater used. The metering and monitoring system shall be retained in use for the lifetime of the development. Metering and monitoring data shall be provided in accordance with and no later than 21 days of any request from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To enable the building user(s) to monitor water usage, to better understand the effectiveness of water saving initiatives and water usage arising from development (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020)

14-Operational Noise Mitigation

The development and operational plant / equipment hereby approved including all mechanical and electrical services and emergency stand-by generator/s shall be constructed, installed (where appropriate), operated and maintained / retained thereafter in accordance with the building envelope / element sound reduction and insulation performances, operational plant cumulative noise emission limits and sound mitigation / insulation measure principles as detailed in the submitted Hoare Lea report titled '4000 Discovery Drive. Cambridge Biomedical Campus. Prologis. ACOUSTICS NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT (REVISION 01 – 06 MARCH 2026)'.

Reason: To protect the amenity / quality of life of neighbouring properties from noise in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework and policy 35 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

15-Noise mitigation – Installation Verification and completion report

Within six months of first operation of any operational plant/equipment or occupation, an operational noise mitigation / insulation scheme post construction/installation verification and completion report for the development as approved, demonstrating compliance with the building envelope / element

sound reduction and insulation performances, operational plant noise emission limits and sound mitigation / insulation measure principles as detailed in the submitted Hoare Lea report titled '4000 Discovery Drive. Cambridge Biomedical Campus. Prologis. ACOUSTICS NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT (REVISION 01 – 06 MARCH 2026)', shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Compliance shall be demonstrated by a combination of noise performance specification and certification, noise monitoring / measurement, cumulative prediction and modelling at all relevant heights around the application site boundary.

Reason: To protect the amenity / quality of life of neighbouring properties from noise in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework and policy 35 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

16-Standby Emergency Generator Operation Noise and Air Quality

The emergency back-up / standby generator as approved shall only operate as follows:

Emergency Use Only

The emergency backup generator shall only be used in the event of standard mains electricity supply interruption / failure or in accordance with (ii) below. It shall not be used to supplement general energy demand, to feed electricity into the utility grid or as an alternative supply in the event of disconnection from the mains supply following for example non-payment or similar.

Hours of Running for Testing, Maintenance & Repair

Running of the backup generator as part of routine periodic testing, maintenance and repair shall only take place for the length of time specified by the manufacturer between the hours of 8am - 6pm Monday to Friday, 9am -1pm Saturday and at no time on Sundays or Public Holidays. Periodic testing, maintenance and repair shall only occur for a maximum duration of 25 hours in any calendar year. Accurate records of any testing shall be kept on site and shall be available for inspection at the request of the local planning authority.

(iii) In the event that the emergency backup generator is operated for an "unforeseen extended period of time" the local planning authority shall be immediately informed and a review / reassessment of the local air quality impacts of such operation shall be undertaken. The air quality impacts review / reassessment shall be agreed in writing with the local planning authority and

if unacceptable adverse air quality impacts / effects are likely to arise an emergency generator air quality mitigation scheme shall be submitted in writing for approval. The approved scheme shall be implemented within a timescale to be agreed and shall be retained thereafter.

For the avoidance of any doubt an "unforeseen extended period of time" shall be defined as intermittent or continuous operation for a period greater than a week (168 hours) in any calendar month, exclusive of the permitted hours detailed in (ii) above for periodic testing, maintenance, and repair.

Reason: To protect human health and amenity in terms of local air quality impacts in accordance with policy 36: Air quality, odour and dust of the Cambridge Local Plan, 2018.

17-Glint and Glare Assessment

Prior to installation, the details of any rooftop photovoltaic (PV) panel array shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and installed in accordance with the approved details. The submitted details shall include the manufacturer's specifications, spacing and layout, and shall be supported by a Glint and Glare Assessment to assess the impact on aircraft operations.

Reason: To ensure an appropriate arrangement for the solar panels and ensure that glint and glare would not adversely impact aircraft operations, in accordance with Policy 37 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018).

Informatives

1 – Cranes

Given the nature of the proposed development it is possible that a crane may be required during its construction. We would, therefore, draw the applicant's attention to the requirement within the British Standard Code of Practice for the safe use of Cranes, for crane operators to consult the Advice aerodrome before erecting a crane in close proximity to an aerodrome. This is explained further in Note 4, 'Cranes and Other Construction Issues' (available at <http://www.aoa.org.uk/policy-campaigns/operations-safety/> and CAA CAP1096 Guidance to crane users on aviation lighting and notification (caa.co.uk). Please note that cranes with heights above 55m AOD may require further specialist assessments.

2- Pollution

Control Surface water and groundwater bodies are highly vulnerable to pollution and the impact of construction activities. It is essential that the risk of pollution (particularly during the construction phase) is considered and mitigated appropriately. It is important to remember that flow within the watercourse is likely to vary by season and it could be dry at certain times throughout the year. Dry watercourses should not be overlooked as these watercourses may flow or even flood following heavy rainfall.

3- Construction Surface Water Maintenance

Prior to final handover of the development, the developer must ensure that appropriate remediation of all surface water drainage infrastructure has taken place, particularly where the permanent drainage infrastructure has been installed early in the construction phase. This may include but is not limited to jetting of all pipes, silt removal and reinstating bed levels. Developers should also ensure that watercourses have been appropriately maintained and remediated, with any obstructions to flows (such as debris, litter and fallen trees) removed, ensuring the condition of the watercourse is better than initially found. This is irrespective of the proposed method of surface water disposal, particularly if an ordinary watercourse is riparian owned.

4- Outline Approval Ref. 16/0176/OUT: Relevant Conditions

Reminder that the following approval ref. 16/0176/OUT outline planning conditions remain relevant and will need to be discharged in due course: 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30.

5- Fume / Microbiological Cabinet Systems

Ventilation / extraction systems associated with any fume and microbiological cupboards / cabinets shall be installed (including consideration of flue / exhaust termination discharge heights that are required for adequate dispersion), operated and maintained thereafter in accordance with relevant national and industry standards, codes of practice and best technical guidance, such as:

- Building Regulations
- BS EN 14175: 'Fume Cupboards' - Parts 1 to 7
- BS 7989:2001: Specification for recirculatory filtration fume cupboards
- BS 5726 - various: Microbiological safety cabinets.

6- Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD

Any artificial lighting, contaminated land, noise / sound, air quality and odours / fumes related conditions including the consideration of mitigation / remediation shall have regard to the scope, methodologies, submission requirements and local planning policies of relevant sections of the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, (Adopted January 2020) <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/greater-cambridge-sustainable-design-and-construction-spd> and in particular 'section 3.6 - *Pollution*' and the following associated appendices:

- 6: Requirements for Specific Lighting Schemes
- 7: The Development of Potentially Contaminated Sites in Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire: A Developers Guide
- 8: Further technical guidance related to noise pollution



Cambridgeshire Quality Panel

4000 Discovery Drive, Cambridge Biomedical Campus

Thursday 18th September 2025

Qube Marketing Suite, Cambridge Biomedical Campus

Panel: Robin Nicholson (chair), Kirk Archibald, Simon Carne, Luke Engleback, Phil Jones, Oliver Smith

Local Authority: James Truett (GCSP), Helen Sayers (GCSP), Egle Packauskaite (GCSP), and Chris Jablonski (GCSP)

The Cambridgeshire Quality Charter for Growth sets out the core principles for the level of quality to be expected in new development across Cambridgeshire. The [Cambridgeshire Quality Panel](#) provides independent, expert advice to developers and local planning authorities against the four core principles of the Charter: connectivity, character, climate, and community.

Development overview

This Phase 2 site lies south of Dame Mary Archer Way, on the Cambridge Biomedical Campus (CBC), between CBC Phase 1 and CBC Phase 3, and is allocated in the 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan. Of the five approved plots, in this phase, Abcam and 1000 Discovery Drive are complete and partially occupied; 2000 and 3000 Discovery Drive and the multi storey car park are under construction.

Outline planning permission 16/0176/OUT (as amended by 16/0176/NMA1) defines the key parameters and conditions and this proposal delivers the final commercial and R&D building of the phase, being 9,610 sqm of flexible space across six storeys, with rooftop plant and a screened bio-solar roof. The proposed height exceeds the established limits and is subject to ongoing pre-application discussions.

The remaining eastern Phase 2 land is reserved for clinical use, with proposals under review to safeguard its future development.

Presenting team

The scheme is promoted by Prologis, supported by Buro Four, Scott Brownrigg, Growth Industry, Hoare Lea and Bidwells. The presenting team was:

Andrew Blevins – Prologis, Emily Bliss-Prologis, Clive Mehew-Buro Four, Jason Lebidineuse-Scott Brownrigg, Ross McWatt-Scott Brownrigg, Jon Akers Cyle-Growth Industry, Sami Kamal-Hoare Lea, Jennie Hainsworth, Bidwells, and Alfie Hood-Bidwells.

Local authority's request

Greater Cambridge Shared Planning (GCSP) asked the Panel to focus on the building height and massing, colonnade design, site-wide amenity, architecture, and landscaping in reviewing the scheme.

Cambridgeshire Quality Panel summary

4000 Discovery Drive is set to meet the high standards established for Phase Two of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus. The design aligns well with the previously agreed parameters, demonstrating thoughtful integration into the broader campus vision. As the project moves toward finalisation, the Panel has raised several

insightful questions to guide further refinement and ensure the development reaches its full potential.

Matters of clarification raised at the outset were: -

What are the walk routes through the site to 4000DD? The applicant talked through the intended routes from the railway station (NW/SE direction), MSCP (E/W direction), 'cycle parc' (steps and ramp) and local bus stops (N/W/E routes).

What is the extent of the Rain Garden? It was shown on the plan how the matrix planting had influenced the design of this feature which also included consideration of the dry and windy nature of the plot.

What is N referring to and do they have to be located as indicated on the drawings? These are nitrogen storage tanks required for the tenant's use.

Connectivity – *“places that are well-connected enable easy access for all to jobs and services using sustainable modes”*

While many of the connection details for 4000 Discovery Drive have already been agreed upon, the building entrance and colonnade design may require further consideration.

Most pedestrian and transport connections approach the site along northwest–southeast and east–west axes. However, the colonnade is oriented north–south, which creates a visual and spatial disconnect—partially obscuring the entrance and potentially disrupting intuitive wayfinding. A more integrated approach to the entrance and colonnade could enhance legibility and better align with the established movement patterns across the campus.

Page 54, drawing 2.36 highlights the key issue with entrance visibility at 4000 Discovery Drive. Approaching from the most popular route (along the service road from the NW), the building entrance is obscured by the raised cycle and park area, making it difficult to locate. Relocating the entrance slightly northward would improve alignment with pedestrian desire lines and enhance clarity for users.

It's also worth noting that colonnades, while architecturally expressive, are not always intuitively read by the public as wayfinding cues. Their effectiveness in guiding

movement may be overestimated, and clearer visual markers or direct sightlines to the entrance would better support intuitive navigation.

The developer responded that the entrance location had been the subject of considerable discussion.

A more northern position for the building entrance had been rejected on the grounds that it would open onto the designated service road. However, the Panel did not view this constraint as problematic and felt that a northern entrance could still be viable—particularly if it improves visibility and aligns better with pedestrian movement across the site.

The Panel reflected after the main session that visitor cycle parking may need better positioning, perhaps nearer to the entrance and therefore provide an opportunity to make better use of the space under the colonnade - whether with landscape or a better 'bump space'.

Climate – “Places that anticipate climate change in ways that enhance the desirability of development and minimise environmental impact”

How will the zero carbon standard be achieved, given, for example, the apparent relatively small scale of photovoltaic provision?

It was questioned whether the UK Net Zero Carbon standard is a formally stated target for this development? As the University of Cambridge is now adopting this benchmark, it will increasingly becoming the local norm.

The applicant indicated that they are working towards many aspects of the Net Zero target to understand how the building will meet the full standard if at all.

What is the embodied carbon of the development? Calculations of the embodied carbon of all the buildings making up the Prologis parcel would be useful to see.

e.g. The use of stone for the cladding was liked, but what is the impact on embodied carbon?

Has the building been designed with future deconstruction in mind? While it may seem premature to consider dismantling a structure that is only now being designed and built, integrating principles of deconstruction at this stage can significantly reduce the building's long-term environmental footprint. Designing for disassembly supports

circular economy goals, facilitates material reuse, and enhances overall sustainability performance. Early consideration of this approach could position the development as forward-thinking and aligned with emerging best practices in low-impact construction.

The different treatment of the facade for different orientations is welcomed and seems fine, with risks of overheating being considered as part of the energy strategy.

What are the waste management targets for the site, and how do they align with BREEAM /best practice standards? It would be helpful to understand the specific waste reduction, segregation, and recycling goals set for 4000 Discovery Drive, particularly in relation to construction and operational phases.

How does this site compare to others within the Cambridge Biomedical Campus? Given that the University and other campus developments are increasingly adopting Net Zero and circular economy principles, it would be useful to benchmark 4000 Discovery Drive against similar projects.

If 4000 Discovery Drive is to meet the evolving campus standards, a transparent waste strategy aligned with BREEAM, and local best practices will be essential.

Did the client consider a centralised plant function, given that the applicant owns the adjacent buildings? The applicant confirmed that a centralised plant is not proposed, citing a lack of resilience as the primary reason. Despite owning neighbouring properties, the decision reflects concerns about wider operational dependency and risk.

Community – “places where people live out of choice and not necessity, creating healthy communities with a good quality of life” and Character – “Places with distinctive neighbourhoods and where people create ‘pride of place’

While some site constraints were acknowledged, it was suggested that the rain gardens could be better planted to enhance their visual and ecological impact.

A denser, more compact planting approach could help give the gardens greater presence and weight within the landscape and make better use of the colonnade space. The core goals of the feature—stormwater management, biodiversity, and amenity—can still be achieved with thoughtful adjustments.

In shaded areas, incorporating ferns or other shade-tolerant species would improve coverage and texture. Introducing a deck by the building, with integrated planters could also add structure and usability, creating a more layered and substantial intervention. Overall, the rain gardens would benefit from more heft—both in planting density and spatial definition.

The flu towers were noted as visually unappealing, and the Panel questioned whether they could be softened through greening strategies—such as the use of lattices and planting.

The developer responded that wind exposure and irrigation challenges made such interventions difficult to implement. However, the Panel referenced successful schemes in Beirut where similar constraints were overcome, suggesting that creative solutions—such as drought-tolerant species, modular green systems, or wind-adapted planting—could be explored to improve the flu's appearance and environmental contribution.

The commitment to ongoing stewardship is noted as both important and welcomed.

The landscaping stands out in comparison to other areas of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus. Its compressed and focused design creates more defined outdoor spaces that feel intentional and inviting—encouraging active use rather than serving merely as transitional access routes. This approach supports placemaking and enhances the potential for social interaction, wellbeing, and engagement with the site.

There were differing views on the necessity of the colonnade, with some questioning its role and relevance. Rather than a covered walkway, elevate the space to provide a genuine 'bump' space for social interaction. The consensus was that it warrants further design consideration rather than outright removal. With more thoughtful integration the colonnade could become a more purposeful and effective architectural element.

The number of bike spaces and the approach to changing facilities prompted some reflection, though overall the provision appears to support active travel to and from the site.

Questions were raised about whether the cyclist entrance is too prominent, or whether it should be seen as a deliberate and positive statement about the site's commitment to high-quality cycling infrastructure and promotion of modal shift.

The Panel agreed that they had no concerns about the building height.

Questions were raised about whether the building offers sufficient flexibility to accommodate a range of user needs. In response, the applicant explained that the design approach is intentionally adaptable to suit the varying requirements of its intended occupants. This was illustrated using a floor plan, which demonstrated how the internal layout could support different configurations and uses, including office space.

The ground floor plan initially appeared generous, but upon further explanation, it seems well-considered and functional. However, a question remained: does it feel distinctive enough? While the layout may serve its purpose effectively, there's an opportunity to elevate its character to ensure it delivers a sense of place and identity befitting of the ambition of the scheme. E.g. is there an opportunity to provide additional amenity on the ground floor?

The elevations were stated as drawing inspiration from Cripps Court, particularly in the use of stone—but questions were made about the execution.

There was discussion around whether larger, thicker pieces of stone might offer greater visual impact and durability compared to thinner panels or consider use of stone for structural elements. The horizontal strip or beam above the ground floor level was also flagged as a design element that may need reconsideration, to showcase the structure itself.

Stone can be a powerful material to convey permanence and significance, but it must be used well and with purpose. The current approach could benefit from refinement to ensure the stone detailing enhances the building's identity rather than diluting it.

Amenity provision within buildings is supported, but greater differentiation across the wider site would enhance the user experience. Rather than replicating the same offer in each building, a more varied approach could help distinguish individual spaces and provide meaningful choice for occupants and visitors. Securing a high-quality coffee shop is great, but people increasingly seek diversity—whether in food, retail, wellness,

or social spaces. A curated mix of amenities tailored to each building's character and user profile would foster a more vibrant and engaging environment.

The view from future phases of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus toward 4000 Discovery Drive suggests a relatively inactive façade, which feels underwhelming.

While it's not yet clear what this frontage is intended to respond to—or what the timeline for adjacent development in Phase 3 might be—the current presentation lacks the visual engagement of a building in such a prominent position. It is important that it contributes positively to the wider public realm and reflects the ambition of the wider masterplan.

Could the neutral elevation be more responsive to the internal fire-escape staircase on the southern facade? The internal stair is a key spatial and social feature—visibly connecting floors and encouraging interaction. There's an opportunity for the external elevations to reflect this internal dynamic, either through subtle articulation, material shifts, or glazing that hints at movement within. It was responded that this is a fire escape staircase, rather than a main staircase, but nevertheless the general point remains.

Could the nitrogen tanks be reimaged as a visual feature—perhaps by painting them red or integrating them into the architectural language of the site? Rather than concealing them, there's an opportunity to embrace their presence and turn a utilitarian element into a bold design statement. The use of colour, such as a striking red, or the addition of sculptural framing, lighting, or signage could help celebrate the infrastructure and contribute to the site's identity.

Specific recommendations

- **No concerns about building height**
- **Align building entrance with pedestrian desire lines**
 - Shift entrance slightly northward to match natural movement patterns
 - Improve clarity and ease of access from popular approach routes
- **Ensure clear visibility of the entrance**
 - Avoid spatial disconnect between architectural elements and user flow
- **Adopt and clarify Net Zero Carbon targets**
 - Confirm whether UK Net Zero Carbon standard is a formal goal

- Outline a clear strategy for achieving full Net Zero compliance
- **Measure and reduce embodied carbon**
 - Publish embodied carbon figures for transparency and benchmarking
- **Design for future deconstruction and circularity**
- **Set and align waste management targets**
- **Benchmark against wider sustainability norms**
- **Enhance rain gardens for ecological and social value**
 - Increase planting density for stronger visual and ecological impact
 - Use shade-tolerant species (e.g. ferns) in low-light areas
 - Add decking and integrated planters to improve usability and structure
- **Support active travel and healthy lifestyles**
 - Maintain generous bike parking and changing facilities
 - Treat the cyclist entrance as a deliberate, positive design feature
- **Diversify amenities across the site**
 - Avoid replicating identical offers in each building
 - Curate a mix of food, retail, wellness, and social spaces
 - Tailor amenities to building character and user needs
- **Activate the building's visual presence**
 - Improve the neutral façade facing future campus phases
- **Transform utilitarian elements into design features**
 - Reimagine nitrogen tanks with bold colour or sculptural framing
- **Reassess and integrate the colonnade**
 - Clarify its spatial and architectural role
 - Improve its coherence with site movement and design language
 - Focus on refinement rather than removal to provide a social space
- **Explore greening strategies for challenging elements**
 - Soften flu towers with drought-tolerant or wind-adapted planting
 - Consider modular green systems or lattices
- **Ensure flexibility and adaptability in design**
 - Maintain internal layouts that support varied user needs
 - Use spatial planning to accommodate future changes
 - Reinforce character through layout and spatial hierarchy

The opportunity for ongoing engagement with the developer and design team is welcomed.

Contact details

For any queries in relation to this report, please contact the panel secretariat via growthdevelopment@cambridgeshire.gov.uk

Author: Stuart Clarke

Issue date: 26th September 2025

Appendix A – Background information list and plan

- Applicant background papers
- Main presentation
- Local authority background note

Documents may be available on request, subject to restrictions/confidentiality.

Phase 2 Masterplan Within Wider Emerging Context.



Source: Applicant Papers

This page is intentionally left blank



Ref: 23/04643/OUT

Address: Former National Institute of Agricultural Botany, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge, CB3 0LE

Application details:

Committee Date: 15 July 2026

Report to: Joint Development Management Committee

Lead Officer: Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development

Ward/parish: Castle

Proposal: Outline application (all matters reserved except for layout, scale and access arrangements on to Laurence Weaver Road) for the demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for laboratory / office (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and café use (class E(b)), and associated facilities and infrastructure, along with the relocation of existing facilities serving the retained Chapter House that are currently accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking

Applicant: Vertex Living Limited

Presenting officer: John Shuttlewood

Reason presented to committee: This application is brought to the Joint Development Management Committee (JDMC), following a resolution of approval at the 20 August 2025 meeting of JDMC (subject to planning conditions and completion of a Section 106 Planning Agreement relating to financial transport contributions and Section 106 administration, monitoring and compliance).

Since that time, the Applicant has failed to progress the Section 106 Planning Agreement as they were required to do following the resolution of JDMC to approve the application.

Key issue:

1. Section 106 planning obligations

Report contents

1. Executive summary
2. The proposed application
3. Policy
4. Publicity
5. Consultation
6. Third Party representations
7. Assessment
8. Recommendation
9. Appendices

Recommendation:

Refuse outline planning application 23/04643/OUT, for the following reason:

The proposed development fails to make adequate provision for the necessary mitigation and infrastructure contributions required to make the development acceptable in planning terms. In the absence of a completed S.106 Planning Agreement, the Council as the local planning authority is unable to secure financial contributions towards public transport improvements and the provision of waiting restrictions/controlled parking zones. Without the impacts of the development being mitigated, the proposal is contrary to the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (2025) which seeks to ensure that developments provide for necessary infrastructure and mitigation. The proposal would also be contrary to Policies 81 and 85 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018 which state that planning permission for new developments will only be supported/permitted where there are suitable arrangements for the improvement or provision and phasing of infrastructure, services and facilities necessary to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

1. Executive summary

- 1.1 The outline application proposed demolition of all existing site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and the erection of four buildings for laboratory / office use and associated facilities.
- 1.2 At the 20 August 2025 meeting of JDMC, it was resolved to approve the application, subject to planning conditions and the completion of a Section 106 Planning Agreement relating to (i) financial contributions towards additional bus services serving the development parking waiting restrictions and S106 administration monitoring and compliance fees, and (ii) an on- street parking management plan for Howes Place. A copy of the officer report to that committee, and the committee minutes can be found at Appendix A.
- 1.3 Since that time, legal officers have sought to work with the Applicants legal representatives to progress the Section 106 Planning Agreement. Despite requests from the County Council's Legal team, confirmation of the required financial undertakings they require to progress the Section 106 the undertaking has not been received and consequently the S.106 Planning Agreement has not progressed.
- 1.4 Without the S106 Planning Agreement in place, financial obligations are not secured in respect of the infrastructure requirements to mitigate potential resulting harm from the proposed development. Given that more than six months have elapsed since the application was reported to JDMC, and that no progress has been made with regard to the Section 106 Planning Agreement, this application is returned to JDMC with an alternative recommendation of refusal, based on the failure to make adequate provision for the necessary mitigation infrastructure contributions required to make the development acceptable in planning terms.

2.0 The Proposed Application

- 2.1 This is an outline planning application (all matters reserved except for layout, scale and access arrangements on to Laurence Weaver Road) for the demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for laboratory / office (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and café use (class E(b)), and associated facilities and infrastructure, along with the relocation of existing facilities serving the retained Chapter House that are currently accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking.

Planning Background

- 2.2 The application was originally deferred at the Joint Development Control Committee (JDCC) on 23 April 2025, to enable further work in respect of parameter plans and to explore the potential for addressing Howes Place access arrangements and concerns raised by local residents.
- 2.3 At the 20 August 2025 meeting of the JDMC it was resolved to approve the application, subject to conditions and the prior completion of a Section 106 Planning Agreement relating to the following:
- (i) a financial contribution towards additional bus services serving the development (£180,408).
 - (ii) a financial contribution towards waiting restrictions and/or controlled parking zones in the vicinity of the site (£15,000)
 - (iii) a financial contribution towards S106 administration, monitoring and compliance (£300)
 - (iv) an on-street parking management plan for Howes Place.

3. Policy

3.1 National

National Planning Policy Framework 2024

3.2 Cambridge Local Plan 2018

Policy 81: Mitigating the transport impact of development

Developments will only be permitted where they do not have an unacceptable transport impact. Therefore, new development will require a. sufficient information to be supplied with all development proposals that the transport impact can be suitably assessed. This should take the form of transport assessments for schemes above the thresholds set in the latest Cambridgeshire County Council guidance; b. a travel plan to accompany all major development proposals; and c. reasonable and proportionate financial contributions/mitigation measures where necessary to make the transport impact of the development acceptable. This could include investment in infrastructure, services or behavioural change measures to encourage the use of sustainable modes of transport. Such measures should be provided to meet the first or early occupation of a site in order to influence travel behaviour from the outset.

Policy 85: Infrastructure delivery, planning obligations and the Community Infrastructure Levy

Permission will only be granted if it can be demonstrated that there is, or will be, sufficient infrastructure capacity to support and meet all the requirements arising from the new development. Where existing infrastructure will be placed under strain due to the impact of new development, improvements to existing infrastructure or compensatory provision should be made so that an appropriate level of infrastructure is maintained.

Infrastructure provision will reflect the Council's priorities for infrastructure set out in the Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire Infrastructure Delivery Study and its successor documents. The Council will work positively with neighbouring authorities and Cambridgeshire County Council on infrastructure issues, including the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), which the Council is committed to introducing in 2014. Until the introduction of the CIL, and to a lesser degree thereafter, the Council will continue to use planning obligations under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to ensure developer contributions towards necessary infrastructure are maximised.

Planning permission for new developments will only be supported/permitted where there are suitable arrangements for the improvement or provision and phasing of infrastructure, services and facilities necessary to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms. Planning obligations and/or a future CIL could be required for the following:

- a. transport infrastructure
- b. public transport
- c. drainage and flood protection
- d. waste recycling facilities
- e. education
- f. healthcare
- g. leisure and recreation facilities
- h. community and social facilities

- i. cultural facilities, including public art
- j. emergency services
- k. green infrastructure
- l. open space
- m. affordable housing

The above list is not exhaustive and there may be scope for requiring developer contributions towards a wider range of infrastructure measures. Contributions could also be used to secure ongoing maintenance where this is deemed appropriate.

The introduction of CIL will be accompanied by a review of the Council's guidance on planning obligations and will ensure that the range and level of contributions towards local infrastructure needs are kept up to date and maximised in the context of emerging CIL practice and guidance. The guidance on planning obligations will be adopted alongside a CIL charging schedule and a CIL Regulation 123 list. These documents will clarify what infrastructure types/projects the Council will seek to fund via CIL and what infrastructure types/projects the Council will seek to secure via planning obligations.

4. Publicity

- 4.1 No further publicity undertaken.

5. Consultation

- 5.1 No further consultation undertaken.

6. Third party representations

- 6.1 No further representations received

7. Assessment

- 7.1 Policy 85 of the Cambridge Local Plan states that planning permission for new developments will only be supported/permitted where there are suitable arrangements for the improvement or provision and phasing of infrastructure,

services and facilities necessary to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

- 7.2 At the 20 August 2025 meeting of the JDMC, it was resolved to approve the application, subject to conditions and completion of a Section 106 Planning Agreement.
- 7.3 Since the JDMC resolution of this planning application, the Applicant has failed to progress the Section 106 Planning Agreement as they were required to do.
- 7.4 In the absence of a completed Section 106 Planning Agreement, the proposed development fails to make adequate provision for the necessary mitigation and infrastructure contributions required to make the development acceptable in planning terms. In this case, the local planning authority has been unable to secure provision of an on-street parking management plan for Howes Pace, and financial contributions towards public transport improvements, the provision of waiting restrictions/controlled parking zones, and towards S106 administration, monitoring and compliance. It
- 7.5 In the absence of the impacts of the development being mitigated, the proposal is contrary to the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (2025) which seeks to ensure that developments provide for necessary infrastructure and mitigation and further would also be contrary Policies 81 and 85 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

8. Recommendation

Refuse application reference 23.04643/OUT for the following reason:

The proposed development fails to make adequate provision for the necessary mitigation and infrastructure contributions required to make the development acceptable in planning terms. In the absence of a completed S.106 Planning Agreement, the Council as the local planning authority is unable to secure financial contributions towards public transport improvements and the provision of waiting restrictions/controlled parking zones. Without the impacts of the development being mitigated, the proposal is contrary to the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (2025) which seeks to ensure that developments provide for necessary infrastructure and mitigation. The proposal would also be contrary to Policies 81 and 85 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018 which state that planning permission for new developments will only be supported/permitted where there are suitable arrangements for the improvement or provision and phasing of infrastructure, services and facilities necessary to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

9. Appendices

Appendix A - August 2025 Joint Development Management Committee report

Appendix B - Printed Minutes from August 2025 Joint Development Management Committee report



Ref: 23/04643/OUT

Address: Former National Institute of Agricultural Botany, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge, CB3 0LE

Application details: Outline application (all matters reserved except for layout, scale and access arrangements on to Laurence Weaver Road) for the demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for laboratory / office (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and café use (class E(b)), and associated facilities and infrastructure, along with the relocation of existing facilities serving the retained Chapter House that are currently accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking.

Report to: Joint Development Management Committee

Lead Officer: Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development

Ward/parish: Castle

Applicant: Vertex Living Limited

Presenting officer: John Shuttlewood

Reason presented to committee: This application was deferred from 23 April 2025 Joint Development Control Committee, and this addendum report addresses the issues raised at that planning committee.

Member site visit date: Wednesday 16 April 2025

Key issues: 1. Addressing the reasons why the application was deferred.

Report contents

1. Executive summary
2. The proposal
3. Publicity
4. Consultation
5. Third Party representations
6. Assessment
7. Planning Balance and Conclusion
8. Recommendation
9. Conditions

Appendices

- A. 23 April 2025 officer report to Joint Development Control Committee.

Recommendation:

Approve this outline planning application 23/04643/OUT, subject to:

- (i) The planning conditions and informatives as detailed in Section 9 of this report, with delegated authority to officers to carry through minor amendments to those conditions and informatives (and include others considered appropriate and necessary).
- (ii) The prior completion of a planning obligation which secures the Heads of Terms as set out in this report, with delegated authority to officers to include other relevant planning obligations necessary to make the proposal acceptable in planning terms, and to negotiate, settle and complete such planning obligation in consultation with the Chair and Vice Chair of Joint Development Management Committee (JDCC).

1. Executive summary

- 1.1 The outline application proposes demolition of all existing site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and the erection of four buildings for laboratory / office use and associated facilities.
- 1.2 The application was deferred at the Joint Development Control Committee (JDCC) on 23 April 2025. The reason for the deferral was to enable an opportunity for further work in respect of parameter plans (to provide a framework for future reserved matters applications) and to explore the

potential for addressing Howes Place access arrangements and concerns raised by local residents.

- 1.3 Following the April meeting of JDCC, the applicant has worked collaboratively with officers to address the reasons for deferral. This has included engagement in a workshop with planning and urban design officers. The agent has responded positively to the feedback provided. Follow up meetings with the applicant and residents have also taken place regarding the submitted revisions.
- 1.4 An amended description of development to clarify the access arrangements and amended plans have subsequently been submitted. The relevant consultations have been carried out.
- 1.5 The revisions are considered to appropriately address the reasons for deferral with regard to the provision of a framework upon which future reserved matters application can be assessed. They consist of a simple and structured set of parameter plans and an accompanying parameter plan booklet that includes the key structuring and place components. These details will ensure a high-quality proposal will come forward at reserved matters stage.
- 1.6 In addition, the applicant has considered the access arrangements to Chapter House from Howes Place. No changes have been made to the application in this regard and are to be considered under the relevant conditions for the prior approval granted for Chapter House (reference 20/01501/PRI030), or under separate planning applications as required.
- 1.7 In terms of planning balance these are as set out in the original officer report to JDCC at Appendix A paragraphs 1.5 – 1.9.
- 1.8 Officers continue to recommend that the Planning Committee approve this application subject to the planning conditions listed at paragraph 9 onwards and Section 106 obligation.

2. The proposal

- 2.1 This application was deferred at the meeting of the Joint Development Control (JDCC) held on 23 April 2025.
- 2.2 At that meeting several points of concern were raised by members of the committee which resulted in the application being deferred. The extract from the minute is identified in paragraphs 2.3 - 2.4 below.

- 2.3 The Committee were informed the applicant had chosen not to enter into a planning performance agreement with the Council nor made a pre-application presentation to the Committee. This had provided limited opportunities for Officers and the Committee to promote positive planning with the applicant, which would have led to better development. It was in this context that Councillor S Smith addressed positive planning proposals for the Committee's consideration if minded to approve the application.
- 2.4 Following Members questions and debate Councillor Hawkins proposed, second by Councillor R Williams to defer the application due to the ambiguity of the proposal outlined in the submission. Cognisant of the Members' debate and the deferral proposal, the Strategic Sites Manager offered the following reasons to defer the application:
- i. to explore the agreement of a series of parameter plans on key structuring and placemaking components - access, scale and layout - together with urban design principles - graphically annotated by plans and diagrams - which would set out a framework for the reserved matters stages; and
 - ii. to explore the potential for addressing the Howes Place access arrangements as set out by the residents with a view to securing this as an additional planning condition.
- 2.5 Following the deferral the applicant has engaged in a workshop with officers and responded positively to the feedback provided. The amended application reflects the outcomes of that workshop, which now includes a simple and structured set of parameter plans and accompanying parameter plan booklet that include the key structuring and place components requested.
- 2.6 The description of development has changed to provide clarification of the development and proposed access.
- Original description:
- 2.7 Outline application for the demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for a laboratory/office campus (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and associated facilities, cafe (class E(b)), access, car and cycle parking, revised access, car and cycle parking and refuse storage for the retained Chapter House with some matters reserved except for access, layout and scale.

Revised description:

- 2.8 Outline application (all matters reserved except for layout, scale and access arrangements on to Laurence Weaver Road) for the demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for laboratory / office (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and café use (class E(b)), and associated facilities and infrastructure, along with the relocation of existing facilities serving the retained Chapter House that are currently accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking.
- 2.9 The following revised plans and documents have been submitted:
- LWR/PP/1 Rev.1 Parameter Plan Building Zones and Maximum Heights
LWR/PP/2 Parameter Plan Movement and Access
LWR/PP/3 Illustrative Parameter Plan Street Scene and Height
LWR/PP/4 Parameter Plan Landscape and Open Space
LWR.(2).11.Rev 4 Illustrative Street Scenes and Sections
LWR.(2).01 Rev 7 Illustrative Masterplan
Design Principles Booklet
- 2.10 All previous proposed detailed elevations and floor plans are superseded.

3. Publicity

- 3.1 Neighbour letters – Y
- 3.2 Site Notice – Y
- 3.3 Press Notice – Y
- 3.4 As the amendments relate to a revised development description and amended parameter plans, third parties were renotified and technical officers/bodies reconsulted.

4. Consultation

- 4.1 The following consultation responses have been received on the amended information.

Anglian Water – No Objection

- 4.2 Advice given on assets owned by Anglian Water. Water supply is covered by Cambridge Water. Wastewater Treatment – Recommend condition for confirmation that there is sufficient headroom at the water recycling centre

to accommodate foul flows from the development site. This condition wording is considered unreasonable and an update will be given at committee.

- 4.3 Used Water Network – Trade effluent: no information on possible trade effluent flows from the laboratory building, advice given to applicant.
- 4.4 Surface Water Disposal –The Sustainable Drainage Strategy states a possible connection to the surface water sewer located in Lawrence Weaver Road. Anglian Water has no surface water sewers located in Lawrence Weaver Road, this sewer is a designated foul sewer and a connection into a designated foul sewer is not permitted. The connection of surface water flow to a designated foul sewer will impose a high risk of flooding and pollution from the receiving network and compromise the ability of assets to operate within statutory enforced permitted limits. If the Local Planning Authority is minded to approve despite the objection it is requested that a condition for a surface water management strategy is submitted prior to commencement of development.

Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service – No Objection

- 4.5 Recommend a water scheme for the provision of fire hydrants.

Conservation Officer- No Objection

- 4.6 The Conservation team is pleased to note that the parameter booklet states that “The applicants will retain its ongoing commitment to an exceptional high standard of design reflecting the Conservation Area and locally listed buildings of interest.”
- 4.7 The five key principles outlined in the booklet should ensure that a quality and contextually appropriate scheme will be presented at reserved matters stage.
- 4.8 The latest revisions should preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the Howes Place conservation area and complying with local plan policy 61 subject to a condition securing the parameter plan booklet.

County Highways Development Management - No Objection

- 4.9 Comments remain unchanged.

County Transport Assessment Team- No Objection

- 4.10 No comments received

Ecology Officer- No Objection

- 4.11 Previous comments apply, with conditions.

Environmental Health- No Objection

- 4.12 No further comments on amended plans. Advice and recommendations contained within original consultation response remain relevant and valid.

Lead Local Flood Authority – No Objection

- 4.13 No further on amended plans. Supportive of the development subject to the imposition of the previously suggested conditions.

Landscape Officer- No Objection

- 4.14 The landscape parameters provide a clear and defined scale and structure to the main public open spaces, supporting landscape and trees. These reflect much of what was agreed in the extant permission and are supported. The illustrative layouts provide some confidence that the landscape can achieve all the features which it must accommodate including SUDS, amenity, movement and biodiversity.
- 4.15 Expect reserved matters to include full and complete hard and soft landscape details.

Sustainability Officer – No Objection

- 4.16 Sustainability comments remain unchanged.

Urban Design Officer - No Objection

- 4.17 Urban Design are now satisfied that the application provides an adequate framework that more effectively embeds key placemaking principles, that will help guide the quality of future reserved matters applications and enable officers to assess detailed proposals with appropriate scrutiny. Subject to the suggested condition securing the parameter plan booklet, urban design raise no objection to the application.

Waste Officer – No Objection

4.18 Recommend a condition for a Waste Management Plan.

Archaeological Officer – No Objection

4.19 No further on amended plans. Supportive of the development subject to the imposition of the previously suggested conditions.

5. Third party representations

5.1 Following consultation on the amendment's representations have been received from the residents of Howes Place raising the following comments.

Support

- Support the principle of employment use.
- Welcome parameter plan (Landscape & Open Spaces) that the redundant existing access points between Howes Place and the proposed development will be closed off.

Object

- Object to the proposed increase to the maximum height of all buildings compared to the original plans.
- Object to no effort by applicant to explore potential for proposed changes to the Howes Place access arrangements to Chapter House.
- Object as planning application does not comply with the requirements of NPPF 2024 paragraphs 212 and 210 (c) and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 61 by making a positive contribution to the local character and distinctiveness of the Howes Place Conservation Area and preserving or enhancing the significance of the Howes Place Conservation Area, or to NPPF 2024 Paras 116 and 117 (c) and Cambridge Local Plan Policy 80 by making safe and efficient use of the adopted public highway.

Comment

- The amended planning application presents an opportunity to ensure the proposed development makes a positive contribution to

the local character and distinctiveness and preserves and enhances the significance of Howes Place Conservation Area.

- Secure permanent pedestrian and cycle linkages and cycle parking facilities for Chapter House which as unimplemented and time-limited conditions are in breach of prior approval 20/01501/PRIO30 (conversion of former NIAB HQ building to Chapter House)
- Reduce vehicle and cycle movement between Huntingdon Road and Howes Place in the interest of highway safety.
- Request conditions to relocate the cycle parking, palisade fencing and parcel / post lockers for Chapter House and for a landscaping plan which removes the redundant existing access points between Howes Place and former NIAB site and a 1.2m high 'V' mesh fence along Howes Place.

- 5.2 The above representations are a summary of the comments that have been received. Full details of the representations are available on the Council's website.

6. Assessment

- 6.1 Unless otherwise covered below, the planning issues raised are included in the original report at Appendix 1.

Parameter Plans

- 6.2 The first reason for deferral of the application was to agree a series of parameter plans to set out a framework for reserved matters applications.
- 6.3 The applicant has amended the application to include these which supersede the detailed plans. The following section of the report assesses these revised plans.

Design, scale, landscape and heritage

- 6.4 The committee report presented to 23 April 2025 committee, in sections 13.0 and 14.0, assesses the impact of the design, layout and scale of the proposed development and the impact on heritage assets. The concerns of the Council's Urban Design, Landscape and Conservation officers were considered.
- 6.5 Within the amended application the details of the scheme have been changed to remove detailed elevations and building layouts. The submitted parameter plans allow for a series of simple and structured

parameter plans accompanied with a parameter plan booklet which includes key structuring and place components.

- 6.6 The submission now provides a clear distinction between what is for approval and what is illustrative (as listed at paragraph 2.9 of this report). The parameter plans and accompanying booklet set out an overarching spatial framework that now better embeds key high-level place principles that will give the future quality of reserved matters applications.
- 6.7 The landscape and open space parameter plan fixes the location of key public open spaces (reflecting the existing consented scheme reference 21/03609/FUL) and established expectations around an enhanced landscaped frontage and landscaped service areas.
- 6.8 The movement and access parameter plan supports a people oriented internal street with traffic calming, fixes service free frontages and relocates the basement entrance outside key public spaces.
- 6.9 The maximum building heights parameter plan includes supporting text that sets out clear expectations that the parameters form maximum envelopes within which, cuts, setbacks and roof variation must be incorporated which is reinforced by a concise set of articulation principles in the accompanying booklet.
- 6.10 The maximum building heights parameter plan limits the maximum building heights to parapet with lift overruns to +1.m maximum. The maximum height includes areas for roof plant (but excludes extract flues).
- 6.11 Concern has been raised by third parties that the maximum heights allow for taller buildings to come forward compared to the original submitted plans. The difference in the proposed heights in the parameter plans compared to the detailed elevations (now superseded) for each building vary from an increase in height of 23cm to 72cm. A detailed assessment of the scale and massing of the proposal is set out in paragraphs 13.9 – 13.30 of the original report (see Appendix 1).
- 6.12 As specified on the Building Zones and Maximum Heights Parameter Plan the *“maximum heights should be read as a maximum envelope within which cuts and setbacks must be incorporated to response to context, to ensure that the development is not uniform and has the flexibility to deliver varied roof heights and façade modulation, in accordance with articulation principles set out in the parameter plan booklet.”* The booklet states *“2. Vary Rooflines and Heights – Rooftops and buildings should include*

variation in height and form to avoid continuous horizontal massing and to create visual interest.”

- 6.13 As such the maximum heights will not be realised across the whole of the buildings or facades. The buildings would also be sited further back from the Howes Place frontage than those in the approved scheme (reference 21/03609/FUL). As such these minor differences in heights set out in the parameter plans are considered acceptable and would not have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring properties or the visual appearance of the area.
- 6.14 The concerns raised by the Urban Design, Conservation and Landscape officers, as set out in the 23 April committee report, regarding the detailed design challenges within the illustrative masterplan and illustrative elevations, are resolved with their status now clearly explained - they are not drawings for approval. The accompanying booklet includes high quality precedents, which helps to communicate the intended ambition, and includes a statement that the applicant is committed to achieving an exceptional standard of design.
- 6.15 The Urban Design, Conservation and Landscape officers are satisfied that the application now provides an adequate framework that more effectively embeds key placemaking principles, that will help guide the quality of future reserved matters applications and enable officers to assess detailed proposals with appropriate scrutiny.
- 6.16 Condition 1 will secure the parameter plan booklet as an approved document to ensure that reserved matters come forward in line with the principles set out.
- 6.17 With the now submitted parameter plans it is considered that a high-quality designed scheme can be secured at reserved matters stage that would contribute positively to its surroundings and be appropriately landscaped. The proposal is compliant with policies 55, 56, 57 and 59 of the Local Plan and the NPPF.
- 6.18 As set out in the original committee report at Section 14.0 it is considered that the proposal, would by virtue of its scale and massing affect the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and would cause less than substantial harm to the non-designated and designated heritage assets. The public benefits of the proposal would outweigh this identified harm. The proposal is therefore in accordance with the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, the NPPF and Local Plan policies 60 and 61.

Cycle parking

- 6.19 Within the original committee report at paragraphs 21.4, it sets out details on the proposed cycle parking provision. As the detailed floor plans for the development are now not part of the application and not for approval at this stage, condition 31 regarding internal cycle store access is not relevant and is deleted. Parameter plan 2 Movement & Access includes indicative areas for cycle parking to include a minimum of 5% cargo bike parking. As this parameter plan is for approval this will be secured.
- 6.20 Appropriate cycle provision and details will be secured within reserved matters applications.
- 6.21 Paragraph 21.10 of the original committee report sets out the details about Chapter House cycle parking proposals. Within parameter plan 2 Movement & Access, an area for replacement cycle parking for Chapter House is included. Details of this cycle parking will be secured at reserved matters stage.

Car parking

- 6.22 Within the original committee report at paragraphs 21.11 – 21.18 of the original committee report, details are set out on the proposed car parking. As the detailed floor plans are now not part of the application and not for approval at this stage, full details of the car parking arrangements will be agreed at reserved matters stage.
- 6.23 The transport statement is based on the provision of 258 car parking spaces for the office/laboratory buildings (inclusive of 20 disabled spaces, 2 car club spaces and 31 visitor spaces) and 17 car parking spaces for residents of Chapter House. The highway safety and transport impacts of the development and the required transport mitigation measures based on this assessment, is set out in paragraphs 20.3 – 20.10 of the original report.
- 6.24 As the floor plans / site layout demonstrating the number of car parking spaces is now not to be included as an approved drawing, the number of car parking spaces will need to be limited to the proposed amount. This will ensure that reserved matters come forward in line with the expected car parking spaces on site and that alternative sustainable modes of transport are encouraged. An additional condition 43 is recommended to secure this.

Howes Place access

6.25 The second reason for deferral of the application was to allow the applicant to explore the potential for addressing the Howes Place access concerns as identified by local residents.

6.26 Residents of Howes Place have requested that a condition be applied to any grant of planning permission as follows:

Lawrence Weaver Road to be established as the main access to Chapter House and access to Chapter House via Howes Place to be limited to pedestrians and emergency vehicles only. This would require relocation of the parcel / post lockers for Chapter House to a new location to the northwest of Chapter House and removal of the open cycle parking area currently located to the southeast of Chapter House, including the removal of the palisade fencing along Howes Place.

Reason: to make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness of the Howes Place Conservation Area and preserve and enhance the Howes Place Conservation Area (NPPF 2024 Para 212 and 210 (c) and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 61) and for the safe and efficient use of the adopted public highway (NPPF 2024 Para 116 and 117 (c) and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 80).

6.27 The existing entrance to Chapter House, cycle parking, lockers and fencing are not proposed to be altered under the current application. The applicant is aware of the Howes Place residents' concerns.

6.28 The application description has been amended (as set out in paragraphs 2.7 and 2.8 of this report) to make it clear that it includes the relocation of existing facilities, accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road which serve the retained Chapter House (including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking).

6.29 The vehicular access to Chapter House off Howes Place is an existing arrangement and the applicant has not included any changes within the application to limit this to pedestrian or emergency vehicle access only. No concerns have been raised by the Highway Authority regarding this access. As the proposal is for commercial development the use of this access would not be affected by the development, as all deliveries to the commercial buildings would be via Lawrence Weaver Road.

6.30 Under prior approval 20/01501/PRIO30 for the change of use of Chapter House from office to residential use to create 68 dwellings, planning

conditions were applied relating to pedestrian and cycle linkages and cycle parking facilities. Details were approved by the Local Planning Authority for these conditions after the identified trigger (prior to occupation dwellings) subject to a further condition that the details were approved for a 3-year period and that a permanent solution was sought.

- 6.31 Separate meetings by officers with Howes Place residents and the applicants have taken place attempting to find solutions to address Howes Place residents' concerns. Residents have been made aware that officers consider the proposed access condition to be unreasonable and lacking in relevance to the proposed description of development for this application, therefore failing to meet the relevant planning conditions tests that conditions are required to meet.
- 6.32 The Local Planning Authority has recently received two allegations of breaches of planning control. Firstly, alleged breaches of conditions concerning cycle linkages and facilities relating to the conditions attached to the Chapter House Permitted Development Prior Approval ref: 20/01501/PRIO30. Secondly, the alleged unauthorised operational development concerning railings, postal boxes, lockers, cobblestones and skylights relating to Chapter House. Both matters are currently being investigated under one reference number by a dedicated Compliance Officer.
- 6.33 Residents of Howes Place have also requested that an additional condition be applied to any grant of planning permission as follows:
- Provide a landscaping plan which removes the redundant existing access points between Howes Place and the former NIAB site, introduces clear and continuous segregation between Howes Place and the former NIAB site in the form of a 1.2m high 'V' mesh fence (similar to that used around the perimeter of Chapter House) and provides for infill planting of pleached lime trees, hedges and grass verges along Howes Place in a manner consistent with the formal landscaping of Howes Place.*
- Reason: to be consistent with the landscaping principles established under Condition 43 of the extant planning permission (21/03609/FUL) and to make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness of the Howes Place Conservation Area and preserve and enhance the Howes Place Conservation Area (NPPF 2024 Para 212 and 210 (c) and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 61).*
- 6.34 The proposed Landscape and Open Space Parameter plan highlights areas along Howes Place where it states, "Close off existing access with Pleached Lime trees and planting as appropriate". The landscape scheme

will come through a detailed reserved matters application in line with the Landscape and Open Space Parameter plan. This will include planting and fencing as appropriate along this boundary.

6.35 Condition 43 of the approved scheme (21/03609/FUL) requires details of the landscaping for that scheme which includes *i) retention and protection of existing mature hedgerows along the north western boundary between Howes Place and the proposed development*. For the current application this would be secured as the parameter plan shows this area as a 'Landscape/Green Buffer'.

6.36 Condition 43 also includes the requirement for boundary details of *ii) provision and maintenance of a 2m high 1m wide hedgerow along the boundary with a 1.2m mesh fence pending the maturity of the new hedgerow (subject to the needs for accommodating agreed vehicle access for services, refuse and emergency)*. These details can be secured in the landscape reserved matters application for the current outline application. It is noted that the current outline application parameter plan does not have any proposed vehicle access off Howes Place save for the existing access to Chapter House which does not form part of the proposal.

6.36 It is therefore considered that through the Landscape and Open Space Parameter plan that appropriate principles for a landscape scheme to come forward at reserved matters stage will be set. A condition as requested by third parties is therefore not required, and it would be unreasonable to seek to secure under the relevant planning condition tests.

Foul Water

6.37 Under Section 106 of the Water Industry Act 1991, all Water and Sewerage Companies have a legal obligation to provide developers with the right to connect to a public sewer. The duty imposed by section 94 of the 1991 Act requires these companies to deal with any discharge that is made into their sewers pursuant to Section 106.

6.38 Paragraph 200 of the NPPF states that the focus of planning policies and decisions should be on whether proposed development is an acceptable use of land, rather than the control of processes or emissions (where these are subject to separate pollution control regimes). Planning decisions should assume that these regimes will operate effectively.

- 6.39 The application site lies within the Milton Water Recycling Centre (WRC) catchment area. Anglian Water has advised that Milton WRC currently lacks the capacity to accommodate the additional flows generated by the proposed development and are delivering a new Cambridge WRC which will have capacity to accommodate the proposed flows. The Cambridge WRC is identified within the Anglian Water Business Plan for growth investment delivery planned between 2025 and 2030. They raise no objection to the development subject to the imposition of a condition requiring written confirmation from Anglian Water to the local planning authority of sufficient headroom in the water recycling centre to take flows from the development.
- 6.40 Officers have considered the advice offered by Anglian Water, and do not consider that it would be appropriate to require this condition. The condition is not reasonable (given that the planning system should not duplicate control on matters controlled under the 1991 Water Industry Act), necessary (given that the strategic issue of water is a matter to be dealt with at that level, and that no evidence has been provided as to the harm that would arise from the foul discharge by the development), precise (in that no definition has been provided as to what constitutes 'sufficient headroom' and how this will be judged) nor enforceable. As such, it would fail the relevant planning conditions tests.
- 6.41 Notwithstanding the reasonableness of the requested condition, officers consider it important to understand the potential impact of the development on the environment arising from increased foul flow rates. Officers have asked Anglian Water to provide such evidence of harm, whilst details of the net foul water flow rates have been sought from the Applicant, to understand the additional flow rates which would arise from this development. A further update will be provided at JDMC.

Third party representations

- 6.42 Following consultation with third parties on the amended information several concerns have been raised by third parties as set out in paragraph 5.1. These have been addressed in relevant sections of this report.

7. Planning balance and Conclusion

- 7.1 This report has considered the revised information submitted for the application as set out in paragraph 2.9 this information has been

assessed in this addendum report. All other matters as set out in the original committee report remain valid and should be considered as part of the assessment of the scheme. A full list of the proposed conditions is specified in section 9.

- 7.2 It is considered that the amendments made with the provision of parameter plans and a Design principles document that the concerns raised at the Joint Development Control Committee on 23 April 2025 have been resolved.
- 7.3 In terms of the access arrangements to Chapter House from Howes Place this is an existing arrangement and does not form part of the proposals to be considered under this application.
- 7.4 The Landscape and Open Space Parameter plan includes the requirement for the existing gaps along Howes Place to be closed off with trees, planting and fencing details as appropriate to come forward in the future landscape reserved matters application.
- 7.5 The existing cycle parking postal boxes and lockers and palisade fencing serving Chapter House forms part of the considerations for the prior approval 20/01501/PR1O30 (change of use from office to residential) and relevant conditions relating to that approval or to separate planning applications that may be required for that infrastructure.
- 7.6 Planning decisions must be taken in accordance with the Development Plan unless there are material considerations that indicate otherwise (section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and section 38[6] of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

Summary of harm

- 7.7 The proposal would by virtue of its scale and massing cause some harm to the character and appearance of Howes Place Conservation Area and locally listed building Chapter House. This has been identified as less than substantial harm to the non-designated and designated heritage assets.
- 7.8 There would be a loss of two residential properties, but this would be offset by the gain in a significant increase in commercial floorspace.

Summary of benefits

- 7.9 In terms of economic benefits, the proposal would provide additional laboratory and office space which will create various new job roles during and post construction which will be of benefit to the local economy. Planning obligation contributions towards bus service enhancements to facilitate sustainable travel alternatives transport infrastructure will be of benefit to the local economy. Overall, the proposal development is considered to bring about moderate economic benefits.
- 7.10 In terms of environmental benefits, the comprehensive redevelopment of the site would improve the area and there would be a significant increase in biodiversity of the site. Landscape is a reserved matter, but a high-quality landscape scheme and ecological enhancements can be secured which would provide biodiversity net gain and environmental benefits to that of the existing brownfield, mainly vacant site. The scheme also includes various sustainability measures which includes water re-use and use of renewable energy sources, sustainable urban drainage systems and green roofs. Therefore, the scheme will bring about moderate environmental benefits.
- 7.11 In terms of social benefits, the proposal would provide a café for people employed at the site and could be used by residents from the surrounding area. Moderate weight is afforded to these benefits.
- 7.12 Having taken into account the provisions of the development plan, NPPF and NPPG guidance, the statutory requirements of section 66(1) and section 72(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, the views of statutory consultees and wider stakeholders, as well as all other material planning considerations, the proposed development is recommended for approval.

8. Recommendation

8.1 **Approve** subject to:

-The planning conditions as set out below with minor amendments to the conditions as drafted delegated to officers.

-Satisfactory completion of a Section 106 Agreement which includes the Heads of Terms (HoT's) as set out in the report (dated 23 April 2025) with

minor amendments to the Heads of Terms as set out delegated to officers.

9. Planning conditions

Approved drawings

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans as listed on this decision notice.

Reason: In the interests of good planning, for the avoidance of doubt and to facilitate any future application to the Local Planning Authority under Section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Drawings for Condition 1:

LWR/PP/1 Rev.1 Parameter Plan Building Zones and Maximum Heights

LWR/PP/2 Parameter Plan Movement and Access

LWR/PP/3 Illustrative Parameter Plan Street Scene and Height

LWR/PP/4 Parameter Plan Landscape and Open Space

LWR.(2).11.Rev 4 Illustrative Street Scenes and Sections

LWR.(2).01 Rev 7 Illustrative Masterplan

Design Principles Booklet

Reserved matters

2. No development shall commence until details of the appearance and landscaping (hereinafter called the 'reserved matters') have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out as approved.

Reason: This is an Outline permission only and these matters have been reserved for the subsequent approval of the Local Planning Authority.

Time limit

3. Application(s) for approval of the reserved matters shall be made to the Local Planning Authority before the expiration of three years from the date of this

permission. The development hereby permitted shall be begun either before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission, or before the expiration of two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved, whichever is the later.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

Archaeology

4. No demolition/development shall commence until the applicant, or their agents or successors in title, has implemented a programme of archaeological work, commencing with the evaluation of the application area, that has been secured in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) that has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in writing. For land that is included within the WSI, no demolition/development shall take place other than under the provisions of the agreed WSI, which shall include:

- a. the statement of significance and research objectives;
- b. The programme and methodology of investigation and recording and the nomination of a competent person(s) or organisation to undertake the agreed works;
- c. The timetable for the field investigation as part of the development programme;
- d. The programme and timetable for the analysis, publication & dissemination, and deposition of resulting material and digital archives.

Reason: To safeguard archaeological assets within the approved development boundary from impacts relating to any demolitions or groundworks associated with the development scheme and to ensure the proper and timely preservation and/or investigation, recording, reporting, archiving and presentation of archaeological assets affected by this development, in accordance with national policies contained in the National Planning Policy Framework (2024).

5. No development (or phase of), or any investigations required to assess the contamination of the site, shall commence until a Phase 1 Desk Top Study and a Phase 2 Site Investigation Strategy have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are identified and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors as well as to controlled waters, property and ecological systems (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 33).

Contaminated land: Phase 2 site investigation

6. No development (or phase of) shall commence until the following have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority: a. A Phase 2 Intrusive Site Investigation Report based upon the findings of the approved Phase 1 Desk Top Study. b. A Phase 3 Remediation Strategy based upon the findings of the approved Phase 2 Intrusive Site Investigation Report.

Reason: To ensure that any contamination of the site is identified and appropriate remediation measures agreed in the interest of environmental and public safety (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 33).

Contaminated land: implementation of remediation

7. The development (or each phase of the development where phased) shall not be occupied until the approved Phase 3 Remediation Strategy has been implemented in full.

Reason: To ensure that any contamination of the site is effectively remediated in the interests of environmental and public safety (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 33).

Contaminated land: Phase 4 Completion Report

8. The development (or each phase of the development where phased) shall not be occupied until a Phase 4 Verification/Validation Report demonstrating full

compliance with the approved Phase 3 Remediation Strategy has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To demonstrate that the site is suitable for approved use in the interests of environmental and public safety (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 33).

Contamination: unexpected

9. If unexpected contamination is encountered during the development works which has not previously been identified, all works shall cease immediately until the Local Planning Authority has been notified in writing. Thereafter, works shall only restart with the written approval of the Local Planning Authority following the submission and approval of a Phase 2 Intrusive Site Investigation Report and a Phase 3 Remediation Strategy specific to the newly discovered contamination. The development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved Intrusive Site Investigation Report and Remediation Strategy.

Reason: To ensure that any unexpected contamination is rendered harmless in the interests of environmental and public safety (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 33).

Material Management Plan

10. No material for the development (or phase of) shall be imported or reused until a Materials Management Plan (MMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The MMP shall include: a) details of the volumes and types of material proposed to be imported or reused on site b) details of the proposed source(s) of the imported or reused material c) details of the chemical testing for ALL material to be undertaken before placement onto the site. d) results of the chemical testing which must show the material is suitable for use on the development e) confirmation of the chain of evidence to be kept during the materials movement, including material importation, reuse placement and removal from and to the development. All works will be undertaken in accordance with the approved MMP.

Reason: To ensure that no unsuitable material is brought onto the site in the interest of environmental and public safety in accordance with (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 33).

DCEMP (Environmental Health concerns only)

11. Prior to the commencement of development, or phase of, a Demolition / Construction Environmental Management Plan (DCEMP) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The DCEMP shall include the following aspects of demolition and construction:

a) Demolition, construction and phasing programme.

b) Contractors' access arrangements for vehicles, plant and personnel including the location of construction traffic routes to, from and within the site, details of their signing, monitoring and enforcement measures.

c) Construction/Demolition hours which shall be carried out between 0800 hours to 1800 hours Monday to Friday, and 0800 hours to 1300 hours on Saturday and at no time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays, unless in accordance with agreed emergency procedures for deviation.

d) Delivery times for construction/demolition purposes shall be carried out between 0800 to 1800 hours Monday to Friday, 0800 to 1300 hours on Saturdays and at no time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority in advance.

e) Prior notice and agreement procedures for works outside agreed limits and hours. Variations are required to be submitted to the local authority for consideration at least 10 working days before the event. Neighbouring properties are required to be notified by the applicant of the variation 5 working days in advance of the works.

f) Soil Management Strategy.

g) Noise impact assessment methodology, mitigation measures, noise monitoring and recording statements in accordance with the provisions of BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014 Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – noise.

h) Vibration impact assessment methodology, mitigation measures, vibration monitoring and recording statements in accordance with the provisions of BS 5228-2:2009+A1:2014 Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – vibration.

i) Dust management and wheel washing measures in accordance with the provisions of:

- Guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction, version 1.1 (IAQM, 2016).
- Guidance on Monitoring in the Vicinity of Demolition and Construction Sites, version 1.1 (IAQM, 2018).

j) Confirmation of any anticipated use of concrete crushers on-site including location and any specific dust and noise / vibration mitigation measures to be incorporated specifically for their use.

k) Prohibition of the burning of waste on site during demolition/construction.

l) Site artificial lighting during construction and demolition including hours of operation, position and impact on neighbouring properties.

m) Screening and hoarding details.

n) Consideration of sensitive receptors.

o) Complaints procedures, including complaints response procedures.

p) Membership of the Considerate Contractors Scheme.

The development shall then be undertaken in accordance with the agreed plan.

Reason: To protect / safeguard the health and quality of life (amenity) of existing premises in accordance with paragraph 198 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2024 and Policy 35: Protection of human health and quality of life from noise and vibration, of the adopted Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

Plant / Equipment Noise Assessment and Insulation Scheme

12. Before the development/use hereby permitted is commenced and on a phased basis as necessary, a noise impact assessment of cumulative plant and equipment (including all mechanical and electrical services such as combustion appliances / flues and ventilation systems / louvres, plant rooms and electricity sub-stations) and a noise insulation scheme as appropriate, in order to minimise the level of noise emanating from the said plant and equipment shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: To protect / safeguard the health and quality of life (amenity) of existing premises in accordance with paragraph 198 of the National Planning Policy

Framework (NPPF), 2024 and Policy 35: Protection of human health and quality of life from noise and vibration, of the adopted Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

Noise Assessment and Mitigation – Service Yards

13. Prior to the commencement of development, and on a phased basis as necessary, a noise impact assessment of the use of the service / delivery yards and any noise mitigation measures required as appropriate in order to minimise noise from said yards (having regard to the yard locations, noise sensitive receptor locations and the differing activities within the yards) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The details as approved shall be fully implemented before the use hereby permitted is commenced and shall be retained thereafter.

Reason: To protect / safeguard the health and quality of life (amenity) of existing premises in accordance with paragraph 198 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2024 and Policy 35: Protection of human health and quality of life from noise and vibration, of the adopted Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

Levels

14. No development, other than demolition, shall take place until a plan showing the finished floor levels of the proposed building(s) in relation to the existing and proposed ground levels of the surrounding land has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that before any development commences the impact on the amenity of the area can be fully assessed and protected (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 55, 56 and 57).

Surface Water Drainage

15. No laying of services, creation of hard surfaces or erection of a building shall commence until a detailed design of the surface water drainage of the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Those elements of the surface water drainage system not adopted by a statutory undertaker shall thereafter be maintained and managed in accordance with the approved management and maintenance plan. The scheme shall be based upon the principles within the agreed Resubmitted Sustainable Drainage Strategy prepared by MTC Engineering (Cambridge) Ltd (ref: 3179) dated 25 June 2024 and shall also include:

- a) Full results of the proposed drainage system modelling in the QBAR, 3.3% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) (1 in 30) and 1% AEP (1 in 100) storm events (as well as 1% AEP plus climate change), inclusive of all collection, conveyance, storage, flow control and disposal elements and including an allowance for urban creep, together with an assessment of system performance;
- b) Detailed drawings of the entire proposed surface water drainage system, attenuation and flow control measures, including levels, gradients, dimensions and pipe reference numbers, designed to accord with the CIRIA C753 SuDS Manual (or any equivalent guidance that may supersede or replace it);
- c) Full detail on SuDS proposals (including location, type, size, depths, side slopes and cross sections);
- d) Site Investigation and test results to confirm infiltration rates;
- e) Details of overland flood flow routes in the event of system exceedance, with demonstration that such flows can be appropriately managed on site without increasing flood risk to occupants;
- f) Demonstration that the surface water drainage of the site is in accordance with DEFRA non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems;
- g) Full details of the maintenance/adoption of the surface water drainage system;
- h) Permissions to connect to a receiving watercourse or sewer;
- i) Measures taken to prevent pollution of the receiving groundwater and/or surface water.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development can be adequately drained and to ensure that there is no increased flood risk on or off site resulting from the proposed development and to ensure that the principles of sustainable drainage can be incorporated into the development, noting that initial preparatory and/or construction works may compromise the ability to mitigate harmful impacts. (Cambridge Local Plan Policies 31 and 32).

Surface Water run-off

16. No development, including preparatory works, shall commence until details of measures indicating how additional surface water run-off from the site will be avoided during the construction works have been submitted to and approved in

writing by the Local Planning Authority. The applicant may be required to provide collection, balancing and/or settlement systems for these flows. The approved measures and systems shall be brought into operation before any works to create buildings or hard surfaces commence.

Reason: To ensure surface water is managed appropriately during the construction phase of the development, so as not to increase the flood risk to adjacent land/properties or occupied properties within the development itself; recognising that initial works to prepare the site could bring about unacceptable impacts. (Cambridge Local Plan Policies 31 and 32).

Surface water drainage survey and report

17. Upon completion of the surface water drainage system, including any attenuation ponds and swales, and prior to their adoption by a statutory undertaker or management company; a survey and report from an independent surveyor shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The survey and report shall be carried out by an appropriately qualified Chartered Surveyor or Chartered Engineer and demonstrate that the surface water drainage system has been constructed in accordance with the details approved under the planning permission. Where necessary, details of corrective works to be carried out along with a timetable for their completion, shall be included for approval in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any corrective works required shall be carried out in accordance with the approved timetable and subsequently re-surveyed by an independent surveyor, with their findings submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the effective operation of the surface water drainage scheme following construction of the development. (Cambridge Local Plan Policies 31 and 32).

On-site foul water drainage

18. Prior to the construction above damp-proof course, a scheme for on-site foul water drainage works, including connection point and discharge rate, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Prior to the occupation of any phase, the foul water drainage works relating to that phase must have been carried out in complete accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: To prevent environmental and amenity problems arising from flooding. (Cambridge Local Plan Policies 31 and 32).

External Lighting

19. No external lighting shall be provided or installed until an artificial lighting impact assessment and mitigation scheme if required has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The assessment shall include the following:

- a. the method of lighting (including luminaire type / profiles, mounting location / height, aiming angles / orientation, angle of glare, operational controls, horizontal / vertical isolux contour light levels and calculated glare levels to receptors).
- b. the extent/levels of illumination over the site and on adjacent land and predicted lighting levels at the nearest light sensitive receptors.

All artificial lighting must meet the Obtrusive Light Limitations for Exterior Lighting Installations contained within the Institute of Lighting Professionals Guidance Notices for the Reduction of Obtrusive Light - GN01/20 (or as superseded). Where required, the mitigation scheme shall be carried out as approved and retained as such.

Reason: To minimise the effects of light pollution on the surrounding area (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 34)

Site-wide Deliveries / Collections

20. Deliveries to or dispatches from the site (including waste collections) shall not be made outside the hours of 07:00 – 19:00hrs on Monday to Friday, 08:00 – 13:00hrs on Saturday or at any time on Sundays or public holidays.

Reason: To protect / safeguard the health and quality of life (amenity) of existing premises in accordance with paragraph 198 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2024 and Policy 35: Protection of human health and quality of life from noise and vibration, of the adopted Cambridge Local Plan 2018.

Implementation of the Sustainability Statement

21. All future reserved matters applications for the appearance of the development shall be accompanied by a Sustainability Statement, setting out how it will meet the targets and measures set out in the approved Sustainability Statement, Former NIAB Site, February 2024, Expedition, Issue 02.

The approved measures shall be fully implemented prior to the occupation of any buildings or in accordance with a phasing plan otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: In the interests of reducing carbon emissions, ensuring efficient use of water and promoting the principles of sustainable construction and efficient use of buildings (Cambridge Local Plan Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

BREEAM Design Stage

22. All future reserved matters applications for the appearance of the development shall be accompanied by a BREEAM pre-assessment prepared by an accredited BREEAM Assessor, indicating that the building is capable of achieving the applicable 'excellent' rating as a minimum, with maximum credits achieved for Wat 01.

Reason: In the interests of reducing carbon dioxide emissions, ensuring efficient use of water and promoting principles of sustainable construction and efficient use of buildings (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

BREEAM Design Stage Certification

23. Within 12 months of commencement of development, a BRE issued Design Stage Certificate shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that BREEAM 'excellent' as a minimum will be met, with maximum credits for Wat 01 (water consumption). Where the Design Stage certificate shows a shortfall in credits for BREEAM 'excellent', a statement shall also be submitted identifying how the shortfall will be addressed. If such a rating is replaced by a comparable national measure of sustainability for building design, the equivalent level of measure shall be applicable to the proposed development.

Reason: In the interests of reducing carbon dioxide emissions and promoting principles of sustainable construction and efficient use of buildings (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

BREEAM Post Construction Certification

24. Prior to the use or occupation of the development hereby approved, or within six months of occupation, a BRE issued post Construction Certificate shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, indicating that the

approved BREEAM rating has been met. In the event that such a rating is replaced by a comparable national measure of sustainability for building design, the equivalent level of measure shall be applicable to the proposed development.

Reason: In the interests of reducing carbon dioxide emissions and promoting principles of sustainable construction and efficient use of buildings (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

Water Efficiency

25. All future reserved matters applications for the appearance of the development hereby approved, shall be accompanied by the following information in relation to water resources and efficiency:

- Details of the water demand generated by the proposed development set out in litres/day, split into domestic type water demands associated with Wat01 of BREEAM and process water demands associated with lab spaces.
- The level of demand reduction associated with mitigation measures split into measures to reduce domestic type water demand associated with Wat01 and measures to reduce process water loads associated with the lab spaces. This information should include a BREEAM Pre-Assessment showing achievement of 5 Wat01 credits for each of the buildings and the Wat04 credit for process water requirements.
- Drawings will need to include details of the infrastructure required to facilitate 5 Wat01 credits (e.g. plant room space for grey water or rainwater harvesting tanks and any treatment requirements).

Where any national measures to secure sustainable water resources are brought into effect in the Cambridge area, future reserved matters applications may also be required to demonstrate compliance with those measures.

Reason: To respond to the serious water stress facing the area and ensure that development makes efficient use of water and promotes the principles of sustainable construction (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 28 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

Green roofs

26. Prior to any development above ground level of any permanent building with a flat roof, details of the biodiverse (green, blue or brown) roof(s) shall be submitted to

and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details of the biodiverse roof(s) shall include the following:

a) Confirmation of substrate depth, which shall be between 80-150mm (unless otherwise agreed). b) A plant /seed mix (with wildflower planting indigenous to the local area and no more than a maximum of 25% sedum (green roofs only)). c) A management / maintenance plan including means of access. d) Where solar panels are proposed, an array layout will be required incorporating a minimum of 0.75m between rows of panels for access and to ensure establishment of vegetation. The biodiverse roof(s) shall not be used as an amenity or sitting out space of any kind whatsoever and shall only be used in the case of essential maintenance, repair or escape in case of emergency. All works shall be carried out and maintained thereafter in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure the development provides the maximum possible provision towards water management and the creation of habitats and valuable areas for biodiversity. (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 31).

Bat surveys

27. No demolition/development shall commence until follow-up bat dusk emergence / dawn re-entry surveys have been undertaken. This should be done during May - September (inclusive) to determine whether bats are roosting and, should this be the case, a mitigation strategy be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. Reason: To ensure that before any demolition/development commences appropriate surveys are undertaken to prevent injury or death to bats which may roost on the site (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 70).

Biodiversity

28. Prior to the commencement of development above slab level, a scheme for biodiversity enhancement shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The scheme shall include details of bat and bird box installation, hedgehog connectivity, habitat provision and other biodiversity enhancements, including how a measurable net gain in biodiversity will be accomplished, when it will be delivered and how it will be managed. The approved scheme shall be fully implemented within the agreed timescale following the substantial completion of the development unless, for reasons including viability or deliverability, it is otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: To provide ecological enhancements in accordance with Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policies 57, 59 and 69, the Greater Cambridge Shared Planning Biodiversity SPD 2022 and NPPF paragraphs 8, 180, 185 and 186.

Traffic Management Plan

29. No demolition or construction works shall commence on site until a Traffic Management Plan has been agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The principal areas that should be addressed are:

- i. Movements and control of muck away lorries (all loading and unloading shall be undertaken off the adopted public highway and Howes Place).
- ii. Contractor parking; provide details and quantum of the proposed car parking and methods of preventing on street car parking (including Howes Place).
- iii. Movements and control of all deliveries (all loading and unloading shall be undertaken off the public highway). No construction traffic should use Howes Place, apart from those associated with the works on Howes Place and limited to existing access points.
- iv. Control of dust, mud and debris, in relationship to the operation of the adopted public highway.

The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that before development commences, highway safety will be maintained during the course of development (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 81).

Tree retention

30. Notwithstanding the Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Ligna Consultancy P1912-AIA01 V7.2, Arboricultural Site Plan (Proposed) P1812-ASP02.1 V7.2, Tree G4.39, shall be retained.

Reason: To satisfy the Local Planning Authority that arboricultural amenity will be preserved in accordance with section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 71: Trees.

Arboricultural Method Statement

31. Prior to commencement and in accordance with BS5837 2012, a phased tree protection methodology in the form of an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) and Tree Protection Plan (TPP) shall be submitted to the local planning authority for its written approval, before any tree works are carried and before equipment, machinery or materials are brought onto the site for the purpose of development (including demolition). In a logical sequence the AMS and TPP will consider all phases of construction in relation to the potential impact on trees and detail tree works, the specification and position of protection barriers and ground protection and all measures to be taken for the protection of any trees from damage during the course of any activity related to the development, including supervision, demolition, foundation design, storage of materials, ground works, installation of services, erection of scaffolding and landscaping.

Reason: To satisfy the Local Planning Authority that trees to be retained will be protected from damage during any construction activity, including demolition, in order to preserve arboricultural amenity in accordance with section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 71: Trees.

Arboricultural pre-commencement site visit meeting

32. Prior to the commencement of site clearance a pre-commencement site meeting shall be held and attended by the site manager, the arboricultural consultant and other relevant parties and Local Planning Authority Tree Officer to discuss details of the approved AMS. A record of the site meeting will submitted to the Local Planning Authority and approved.

Reason: To satisfy the Local Planning Authority that trees to be retained will not be damaged during any construction activity, including demolition, in order to preserve arboricultural amenity in accordance with section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 71: Trees.

Tree protection methodology

33. The approved tree protection methodology will be implemented throughout the development and the agreed means of protection shall be retained on site until all equipment, and surplus materials have been removed from the site. Nothing shall be stored or placed in any area protected in accordance with approved tree protection plans, and the ground levels within those areas shall not be altered nor shall any excavation be made without the prior written approval of the local planning authority. If any tree shown to be retained is damaged, remedial works as may be specified in writing by the local planning authority will be carried out.

Reason: To satisfy the Local Planning Authority that trees to be retained will not be damaged during any construction activity, including demolition, in order to preserve arboricultural amenity in accordance with section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 71: Trees.

Replacement trees

34. If any tree shown to be retained on the approved tree protection methodology is removed, uprooted, destroyed or dies within five years of project completion, another tree shall be planted at the same place and that tree shall be of such size and species, and shall be planted at such time, as may be specified in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: To satisfy the Local Planning Authority that arboricultural amenity will be preserved in accordance with section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Cambridge Local Plan 2018 Policy 71: Trees.

Electric Vehicle Charge Point Scheme

35. Any reserved matters application for appearance shall include details of an electric vehicle charging scheme demonstrating a minimum of 50% provision of active electric vehicle charge points design and installed in accordance with BS EN 61851 (or as superseded). The scheme shall include:

□ Either at least one Rapid electric vehicle charge point for each 1,000m² non-residential floorspace, or at least one Fast electric vehicle charge point for each 1,000m² non-residential floorspace, should a Rapid charge point not be technically feasible.

- The Rapid and/or fast electric vehicle charge point parking spaces shall be exclusively reserved for electric vehicle charging.
- Slow electric vehicle charge points with a minimum power rating output of 7kW for remaining spaces up to minimum of 50% provision.
- Additional passive electric vehicle charge provision of the necessary infrastructure including capacity in the connection to the local electricity distribution network and electricity distribution board, as well as the provision of cabling to parking spaces for all remaining car parking spaces to facilitate and enable the future installation and activation of additional active electric vehicle charge points as required.

The electric vehicle charge point scheme as approved shall be fully installed prior to first occupation and maintained and retained thereafter.

Reason: In the interests of encouraging more sustainable modes and forms of transport and to reduce the impact of development on local air quality, in accordance Policy 36 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2018) Cambridge City Council's adopted Air Quality Action Plan (2018) and Sustainable Design & Construction SPD (2020).

Travel Plan

36. No occupation of the building shall commence until a Travel Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Travel Plan shall specify: the methods to be used to discourage the use of the private motor vehicle and the arrangements to encourage use of alternative sustainable travel arrangements such as public transport, car sharing, cycling and walking how the provisions of the Plan will be monitored for compliance and confirmed with the local planning authority. The Travel Plan shall be implemented and monitored as approved upon the occupation of the development.

Reason: In the interests of encouraging sustainable travel to and from the site (Cambridge Local Plan 2018, policies 80 and 81).

Public Art Strategy

37. No development above ground level, other than demolition, shall commence until a Public Art Strategy (PAS) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The PAS shall include the following (as set out in Appendix 3 of the Cambridge City Council Public Art Supplementary Planning Document 2010):

- a) A vision, aims, benefits, strategy and management of the project
- b) A description of the site
- c) Description and definitions of Public Artwork
- d) Funding sources
- e) Project brief
- f) Artist selection
- g) Community Engagement and Public Consultation approach
- h) Timescale / Delivery

i) Action Plan

j) Long term commitment to Artwork.

The approved PAS shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To provide public art as a means of enhancing the development and (Cambridge Local Plan policies 55 and 56 and the Cambridge City Council Public Art SPD (2010).

Public Art Delivery Plan

38. No development above ground level, other than demolition, (or in accordance with a timetable agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority), shall commence until a Public Art Delivery Plan (PADP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The PADP shall include the following:

- a) Details of the public art and artist commission;
- b) Details of how the public art will be delivered, including a timetable for delivery;
- c) Details of the location of the proposed public art on the application site;
- d) The proposed consultation to be undertaken;
- e) Details of how the public art will be maintained;
- f) How the public art would be decommissioned if not permanent;
- g) How repairs would be carried out;
- h) How the public art would be replaced in the event that it is destroyed.

The approved PADP shall be fully implemented in accordance with the approved details and timetabling. Once in place, the public art shall not be moved or removed otherwise than in accordance with the approved maintenance arrangements.

Reason: To provide public art as a means of enhancing the development and (Cambridge Local Plan policies 55 and 56 and the Cambridge City Council Public Art SPD (2010).

Electricity Sub stations

39. Prior to the commencement of development details of the electricity sub-stations as shown in yellow on drawing LWR.PP/1 (Rev.1) Parameter Plan Building Zones and Maximum Heights), shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The substations shall be installed and retained in accordance with the approved details. Page 75

Reason: To ensure appropriate housing of equipment is implemented in the interests of visual amenity (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 56).

Fumes and Emissions to Air

40. Before the use hereby permitted is commenced and on a phased basis as necessary, details of all ventilation and exhaust systems associated with the use (including any back-up generators and fume cupboards shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall be provided in accordance with current UK guidance and standards where appropriate and identify the fuel source (where applicable), size and location of flue, height of flue, proximity to and impacts at residential receptors, hours of operation and any mitigation measures required. The systems as approved shall be installed, maintained and retained thereafter.

Reason: To protect local air quality and human health by ensuring that the production of air pollutants are kept to a minimum during the lifetime of the development (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 policy 36 and the Greater Cambridge Sustainable Design and Construction SPD 2020).

Odour Control

41. Prior to the installation of any ventilation / extract systems, and on a phased basis as necessary, a ventilation / extract scheme to include details of equipment and systems for the purpose of extraction / discharge, filtration, abatement and control of odours and smoke / fumes, and a noise insulation / mitigation scheme as required for any associated plant / equipment, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The ventilation / extraction scheme details as approved shall be installed before the use hereby permitted is commenced and shall be retained thereafter.

Reason: To protect the amenity of nearby properties from odour and smoke / fumes (Cambridge Local Plan 2018, policy 36).

New conditions

Amount of development

42. The uses listed below shall not exceed the following development levels:

- a) Four commercial buildings providing up to 31,757 sqm of Classes E(g)i (offices) and ii (research and development) floorspace (Net Internal Area)
- b) Up to 217 sqm flexible Class E (b) sale of food and drink and Class floorspace (Net Internal Area).

Reason: The application has been assessed on its individual merits and use of the premises for any other purpose may result in harm which would require re-examination of its impact. (Cambridge Local Plan policies 2, 5 and 55)

Car parking

43. Within the reserved matters applications, no more than 275 car parking spaces (inclusive of no less than 20 disabled spaces, 2 car club spaces, 31 visitor spaces and 17 spaces for residents of Chapter House) shall be included within the development.

Reason: The application has been assessed on this basis and any further provision would require re-examination of its impact. (Cambridge Local Plan policies 5 and 80)

Fire Hydrants

44. No above ground development shall commence until a water scheme for the provision of fire hydrants to serve the development to a standard recommended by the Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

No occupation of the development shall occur prior to the installed fire hydrants being inspected and tested for operational use by the Fire Authority and confirmation of this submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure an adequate water supply is available for emergency use. (Cambridge Local Plan policy 28)

and facilities necessary to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

This page is intentionally left blank

JOINT DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES

20 August 2025
10.00 am - 4.00 pm

Present: Councillors Fane (Chair), Gawthroe Wood, Illingworth, Porrer, Smart (Vice-Chair), Thornburrow, Bradnam, Garvie, Hawkins, Stobart and R.Williams

Officers Present:

Delivery Manager (Strategic Sites and NSIP/Major Infrastructure Projects):
Philippa Kelly
Principal Planner: Mairead O'Sullivan
Principal Planner: John Shuttlewood
Principal Planner: James Truett
Principal Planner: Rebecca Ward
Senior Planning Lawyer: Vanessa Blane
Committee Manager: James Goddard

Developer Representatives:

Derek Lloyd, Prologis
Ross McWatt, Scott Brownrigg
Jon Akers Coyle, Growth Industry
Jennie Hainsworth, Bidwells
Alfie Hood, Bidwells

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE COUNCIL

25/12/JDMC Apologies

Apologies were received from:

- Councillor Cahn, (Councillor Garvie attended as an Alternate).
- Councillor Flaubert, (Councillor Illingworth attended as an Alternate).
- Councillor S. Smith (Councillor Nestor sent apologies as she was unable to attend as an Alternate).
- Councillor Baigent had resigned from JDMC, as the post was vacant, Councillor Gawthroe Wood attended as an Alternate.

Councillor Thornburrow proposed and Councillor Porrer seconded Councillor Smart to act as Vice Chair // City Councillor representative for the purposes of

any procedural matters concerning decisions arising from the application for this meeting. This was **unanimously agreed**.

25/13/JDMC Declarations of interest

Name	Item	Reason
Councillor Hawkins	25/16/JDMC	Personal: Currently a Cambridge Room Trustee. Was not involved in the exhibition mentioned in the Officer's presentation about the planning application. Discretion unfettered.
Councillor Thornburrow	25/16/JDMC	Personal: Currently a trustee of The Cambridge Room charity. Discretion unfettered.
Councillor Bradnam	25/17/JDMC	Personal: Attended an exhibition of the proposal at 1 Cambridge Business Park. Discretion unfettered.
Councillor Hawkins	25/17/JDMC	Personal: Attended the Crown Estate Cambridge Business Park Masterplan public exhibition at 1 Cambridge Business Park. Discretion unfettered.
Councillor Illingworth	25/17/JDMC	Personal: Attended fact finding meetings about the site. Discretion unfettered.
Councillor Smart	725/18/JDMC	Personal and prejudicial: Was an employee of

		Addenbrookes Biomedical Campus. Would recuse himself. Left the meeting before this item started and did not return.
--	--	---

25/14/JDMC Minutes

The minutes of the meetings held on 18 June and 16 July 2025 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

Councillor Bradnam asked if minutes could be titled 'Minutes' in future. Similar to the agenda which was titled 'Agenda'.

Councillor Fane asked if minutes could set out in future who was Chair and Vice Chair for the meeting.

25/15/JDMC 23/04643/OUT - Former NIAB site, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge.

The Committee received an outline application (all matters reserved except for layout, scale and access arrangements on to Laurence Weaver Road) for the demolition of all site buildings and structures other than Chapter House and erection of buildings for laboratory / office (Class E (g(i)) and (g(ii))) and café use (class E(b)), and associated facilities and infrastructure, along with the relocation of existing facilities serving the retained Chapter House that are currently accessed from Lawrence Weaver Road including refuse storage, vehicle access, car parking and cycle parking.

The Principal Planner updated his report by referring to updated text on the amendment sheet.

Paul Harney (Applicant's Representative) addressed the Committee in support of the application.

Councillor Stobart asked for a green roof to be included in the design in future iterations.

The Committee:

Unanimously resolved to approve application 23/04643/OUT subject to:

- i. the planning conditions as set out in section 9 of the Officer's report, with minor amendments to the conditions as drafted delegated to Officers; and
- ii. the satisfactory completion of a section 106 agreement which relates to transport (including bus services) s106 administration/monitoring and compliance obligations, with minor amendments to the heads of terms delegated to officers.

25/16/JDMC 25/01059/REM and 25/01098/REM -Springstead Village RMA

The Committee received a reserved matters application for appearance, landscape, layout and scale for 292 residential units, local centre to include community centre (use class F2) and convenience store, retail and cafe (use class E) along with the partial discharge of conditions 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 29, 30, 34, 36, 37, 38, 40, 44, 45, 55, 59, 60, 61 and 64 pursuant to outline planning permission 18/0481/OUT and 18/1231/OUT as varied by 22/01966/S73 and 22/01967/S73.

The Principal Planner updated her report by referring to:

- I. A new waste for a review and relocation of the waste collection points to bring them closer to kerbside of the adopted public highway in accordance with the RECAP Waste Design Guide SPD 2012.
- II. The amendment sheet.
 - a. Planning assessment update.
 - b. Planning condition updates to 4, 8 and 17.

Alexis Butterfield (Applicant's Representative) addressed the Committee in support of the application.

Councillor Thornburrow proposed amendments to the Officer's recommendation:

- i. Condition 8 (allotment details) – criteria f - amendment: water supply using stored rainwater;

This amendment was **carried by 8 votes to 1 with 2 abstentions**.

- ii. Additional condition requiring water butts on residential units where possible;
- iii. Updated condition 3 (sample panels) to relate to panelling and boarding as well as brickwork;

These amendments were **carried unanimously**.

The Committee:

Unanimously resolved:

1. **Application 25/01098/REM (within South Cambridgeshire administrative area)**
- I. To approve the reserved matters application subject to conditions and informatives detailed in the Officer's report, with delegated authority to officers to carry through minor amendments to those conditions and informative (and include others considered appropriate and necessary) prior to the issuing of the planning permission;
 - a. With additional changes as set out on amendment sheet relating to conditions 4 (custom build), condition 8 (allotments) and condition 17 (air source heat pumps);
 - b. An additional condition set out in Principal Planner's presentation relating to waste collection;
 - c. Updates to conditions as agreed by Members at Committee:
 - i. Condition 8 (allotment details) – criteria f - amendment: water supply using stored rainwater;
 - ii. Additional condition requiring water butts on residential units where possible;
 - iii. Updated condition 3 (sample panels) to relate to panelling and boarding as well as brickwork;
- II. To part discharge the planning conditions as listed in the officer recommendation on outline planning permission 18/1231/OUT as varied in relation to this reserved matters application only.

Unanimously resolved:

2. **Application 25/01059/REM (Cambridge City administrative area)**
 - I. To approve the reserved matters application subject to conditions and informatives detailed in the Officer's report, with delegated authority to officers to carry through minor amendments to those conditions and informative (and include others considered appropriate and necessary) prior to the issuing of the planning permission;
 - a. With additional changes as set out on amendment sheet relating to conditions 4 (custom build), condition 8 (allotments) and condition 17 (air source heat pumps);
 - b. An additional condition set out in Principal Planner's presentation relating to waste collection;
 - c. Updates to conditions as agreed by Members at Committee:
 - i. Condition 8 (allotment details) – criteria f - amendment: water supply using stored rainwater;
 - ii. Additional condition requiring water butts on residential units where possible;
 - iii. Updated condition 3 (sample panels) to relate to panelling and boarding as well as brickwork;
 - II. To part discharge the planning conditions as listed in the officer recommendation on outline planning permission 18/0481/OUTas varied in relation to this reserved matters application only.

25/17/JDMC 25/02022/FUL - 1 Cambridge Business Park, Robinson House, Cowley Road, Cambridge

Councillor R. Williams left the Committee before this item was considered and did not return.

The Committee received an application for full planning permission.

The application sought approval for refurbishment and extension to existing building for continued Class E use (including office and laboratory use with ancillary cafe, event and community space) and landscaping, car and cycle parking, plant and storage and other associated works.

The Principal Planner updated her report by referring to updated text on the amendment sheet.

Lizzie Sears (Applicant's Representative) addressed the Committee in support of the application.

Councillor Thornburrow proposed an amendment to the Officer's recommendation to include an extra condition to monitor water usage, wording to be agreed by Chair/Vice Chair.

This amendment was **carried by 10 votes to 0 (unanimous of all present)**.

The Committee:

Resolved (by 10 votes to 0 (unanimous of all present)) to approve application 25/02022/FUL subject to the planning conditions set out in section 22 of the Officer's report, with minor amendments to the conditions as drafted delegated to officers; plus an additional condition relating to water usage, wording to be agreed by Chair/Vice Chair.

25/18/JDMC Land South of Dame Mary Archer Way, Cambridge Biomedical Campus

Councillor Smart left the Committee before this item was considered and did not return.

Members raised the comments/questions as listed below. Answers were supplied, and comments from Officers but as this was a pre-application presentation, none of the answers or comments are binding on either the intended applicant or the local planning authority so consequently are not recorded in these minutes.

1. The Committee had asked for a Cambridge Biomedical Campus site-wide master plan for some time. How would this application fit into the wider vision?
2. How would overall rain discharge into Hobson Brook be calculated? What was the expected rain discharge volume? How would risk be assessed?
3. Had the designer considered setting back the top floor on the 4000 Discovery Drive building to mitigate its dominant facade?

4. Had the developers considered how to manage navigation and signage around the phase 2 site? It could be confusing for visitors.
5. Would the 4000 Discovery Drive building overshadow other buildings?
6. Please reiterate details in future: Colour scheme, on site amenity space e.g. café and how the application would join into bike routes.
7. Please confirm building insulation details.
8. Had the developers reviewed how 'working buildings' (ie those in place already) operated to learn lessons for 'new buildings' (ie those to be built)? So buildings to be put up could work as efficiently as possible.
9. Do buildings have battery storage for energy from photovoltaic panels?
10. The roofscaping of buildings was important. Had the developers considered the visual impact of the 4000 Discovery Drive building on the surrounding area?
11. Automatic number plate recognition was operating on Discovery Drive. Had the developers considered the impact on site visitors?

The meeting ended at 4.00 pm

CHAIR