

MEMBERS CYCLING AND PEDESTRIAN STEERING GROUP 28 September 2016
5.00 - 6.30 pm

Attendees:

Cllr Kevin Blencowe - Executive Cllr for Planning & Transport (Chair) (KB)
Cllr Mike Sargeant (MS)
Cllr Margery Abbott (MA)
John Richards – Project Manager (City Council) (JR)
Clare Rankin – Cycling & Walking Officer (City Council) (CR)
Anthony French - Senior Asset Development Officer (City Council) (AF) – Item 2
Matthew Danish – Camcycle (MD)
Jenny Kirner – Petersfield Mansions Residents' Association (JK) – Item 2
Phil Wild - Petersfield Mansions Residents' Association (observer) – Item 2

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE COUNCIL

16/9/MCPSTG Welcome and Introductions

Apologies – Roxanne de Beau, Cllr Anna Smith and Mike Davies.
KB confirmed there was still a need to confirm Member representation of the Group for this municipal year, e.g. whether Cllr Tim Moore was still to attend.

16/10/MCPSTG Minutes of Last Meeting and Matters Arising

- Palmers Walk pathway. JK highlighted a number of points made in the minutes that needed clarification or correction and according to JK, although the Group's remit is to promote safe cycling, this should not be at the expense of areas of important community use such as Palmers Walk.

ACTION: Corrections to the June minutes to include changes on page 1
'this proposal had originally been raised ...by a representative of the Petersfield Mansions Residents Association' to 'by a resident of Petersfield Mansions'. 'The path is currently regarded by many users...' to be changed to 'some users'.

Regarding the legal status of Palmer's Walk, CR stated that it was viewed as a footpath, but that as landowner, the City Council did allow cycling. Following the meeting, the following key points were circulated by CR for clarification:

- Unless the landowner permits it, cycling on a footpath in England and Wales normally constitutes trespass, making it a civil but not a criminal matter. A local by-law or Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) covering a particular footpath, however, can make it an offence.
- Although there is no legal right to cycle on footpaths, some are regularly used by cyclists. If enough cyclists use a footpath in this way without the landowner challenging them for (usually) 20 years, then a restricted byway may be claimed through 'presumed rights' under s31 of the 1980 Highways Act.
- A 'No Cycling' sign (red circle, white background, black cycle) AND a TRO or byelaw would make cycling on a footpath an offence. All 'No Cycling', 'No Motorcycling', 'Cyclists Dismount' signs have no legal status – they are advisory.

In terms of action taken since the June Steering Group, AF confirmed there had been a site visit 29th July which included a number of stakeholders including representatives of Bradmore Court and ARU. Various issues were discussed including whether to keep the width of the footpath as existing. AF has kept in touch with all stakeholders throughout the process. Should the Group support the need to re-consult, the current options (circulated) are as follows:

1. To widen the path to 1.8 metres (a 0.6m increase).
2. To widen the path to 2.2 metres (a 1m increase on the current width as proposed in 2015)
3. To maintain the path at the existing width of 1.2 metres.

It is proposed the consultation would run from 7-31st October and clarity is currently being sought on whether to include an on-line survey.

No decisions were made on the day of the site visit as segregation was also discussed. According to AF, this option would however need a total width of 3.7 metres which officers consider to be unfeasible and impractical, including Access Officer Mark Taylor.

Members agreed to proceed with the re-consultation in line with the recommendation made by the Independent Complaints Investigator. AF will continue in his role to carry forward the project.

ACTION: The Palmers Walk re-consultation to be taken to East Area Committee for consideration in the short term, as its Members were in a position to encourage community engagement. (Date TBC)

Results of the consultation would be captured by late 2016/early 2017.

- County/City Cycle Schemes – Huntingdon Road.

CR reported that there were issues of non-compliance regarding the new pedestrian crossing on Storey's Way and that officers were exploring various options. MS stated that the Oxford Road area had improved but that the inclusion of a bench and a BT box meant that cyclists were being forced into the path of pedestrians. Some cyclists fail to use the new crossing and continue to wait at the old junction, while various signage and road markings make the area confusing for all road users.

According to MD, some signage had been removed but as a cyclist, he was aware of the risk of clashing with other road users. The introduction of new traffic management initiatives should be regarded as positive however.

ACTION: CR to approach County colleagues regarding additional/repeated temporary signage to raise awareness of the new Oxford Road crossing. (The Arbury Road improvements could be viewed as a more successful example.) NOTE: CR consulted with County colleagues who confirmed that the temporary signage would be going back in.

16/11/MCPSPG Promotion Grant Funding (MD)

- Camcycle had not used the £1000 grant awarded at the last meeting for the Cycle Hack as they had managed to get funding in kind for the entire event.
- City Centre Map – As changes to cycle routes are anticipated, £350 is being sought for a smaller print run than in the past (i.e. 10,000 copies)
- Cycle maintenance leaflet ('Freshers' Materials'). To include information on the legal requirements and basic maintenance tips. This is seen as a separate application (£650) as the colleges have been strongly in favour.
- Reach Ride 2017. To continue last year's success. A total of £1,000 is being sought for promotion and some temporary wayfinding signage that would be re-used. This has received grant funding in the past.

VERDICT - The Steering Group approved all three grant applications.

Other promotion grant applications (CR)

- Cycle parking Audit. This relates to provision at community halls etc. Community Services have already carried out work on civic buildings but further work is needed on the amount and nature of existing provision (over 90 sites) along with identifying where improvements could be made. A

promotion grant was proposed for undertaking this work whilst City Deal funds could be made available for the capital cost of installing the new racks.

- KB stressed the need to ensure public buildings are fully equipped if we expect the public to use them. Although it would have no powers to enforce, the Council could refuse to use certain buildings as polling stations until improvements are made. CR had a quote from ParkthatBike for the audit and MD asked that the brief be sent to Camcycle who may also want to quote for the work.

ACTION: CR to send audit brief to Camcycle

GRANT APPROVED.

- City cycle maps. In the past the print runs have been funded by either the City or County Council. There is no longer a revenue budget available to fund this although in the longer term, funding may be available through City Deal or the Access Fund (similar to the LSTF fund) but in the meantime, up to £2000 would be needed to bridge the gap in the current supply.

GRANT APPROVED based on the allocation made by the end of October 2016 with a ceiling figure of £2000. Changes to the cycle network are anticipated through City Deal but these would be in the longer term.

16/12/MCPSG City Schemes update (Draft Prioritised Scheme list circulated) (CR/JR)

The group looked at the draft list of schemes which were smaller projects not included within City Deal or other funding pots. With regards to previous schemes:

- Perne Road roundabout. This is now regarded as a success. Traffic speeds are slower but traffic flow is more efficient with school children no longer in conflict with cyclists.
- Green Dragon Bridge. Works are progressing and should be completed next week. The date is uncertain on the delivery of the double yellow lines but the TRO is in place. Officers are also looking at ways to improve the Stourbridge Common access from Riverside.
- Off-street cycle parking provision. Plans to enlarge the provision at Grand Arcade have not progressed, with security concerns raised in relation to the Magistrates' Court. Meanwhile, temporary sites are being examined in light of the Park Street Car Park redevelopment. Regarding improvements to

provision at Queen Anne Terrace, a draft layout and discussions with CCTV operatives have taken place.

According to MD, there was scope for improvements to the Maids Causeway roundabout although according to CR, the budget needed to redesign it would be too large for this Group. Crossing points for pedestrians and cyclists could be improved with the funding available however. There was also some discussion about the need for cycle lanes on Chesterton Road.

16/13/MCPSG County Schemes Updates (CR)

- Chisholm Trail Phase 1 – due to go to a Development Control Forum 26th October then to Joint Development Control Committee 16th November.
- Abbey Chesterton Bridge – the County Council's Planning Committee, 3rd November.
- Cross City Cycle Routes – to be delivered Summer/Autumn 2017/ Spring 2018.
- Greenways Project – a consultant has been appointed and this work will feed into City Deal schemes such as the A428 and A1307 corridors. Other Greenways may be funded through Tranche 2.
- Harston and Babraham cycle paths to commence soon.
- Trumpington Road (Phase 1) – to commence in January 2017.
- Huntingdon Road (Phase 1) – the final option has been selected which is for the cycle lane to be next to the carriageway.
- Cycling on one-way streets. Residents were consulted on 17 streets with the results going before the Joint Area Committee. Some safety concerns have been highlighted but this will be for discussion at Committee. NOTE: the report will now be going to the CJAC in January.

16/14/MCPSG Any Other Business

- Cycle parking in the Station Area. Brookgate have produced a poster to help promote greater use of the cycle park and reduce fly parking.

Date of next meeting – 9th February

The meeting ended at 6.30 pm

CHAIR