



SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE



AGENDA

To: City Councillors: Blackhurst (Chair), Pippas (Vice-Chair), Ashton, Avery, Dryden, McPherson, Meftah, Moore and Sanders

County Councillors: Ashwood, Crawford and Taylor

Dispatched: Friday, 23 January 2015

Date: Monday, 2 February 2015

Time: 7.00 pm

Venue: Wilkinson Room - St John the Evangelist Church Hills Road
Cambridge CB2 8RN

Contact: James Goddard

Direct Dial: 01223 457013

Exhibition Item

Please note that South Area Committee will not be discussing Public Art for Cambridge City Council and Keepmoat Homes as an agenda item. It will be an information item only.

Public Art for Cambridge City Council and Keepmoat Homes

Cambridge City Council is one of only twenty to thirty Councils in England to have recently established a new Council House Building Programme, following a change in the rules that about how Councils fund their own housing. They have formed a partnership with Keepmoat Homes to develop a series of sites across the city incorporating both social and open market housing.

Artist Zoë Chamberlain is working with Cambridge City Council and Keepmoat to create a series of artworks for the new housing starting with the Cherry Hinton and Barnwell areas of the city. The project is likely to be extended to other areas of the city.

An exhibition will be able for councillors and members of the public to view and discuss with the artist before the start of the meeting.

1 Apologies for Absence

2 Declarations of Interest

Members of the committee are asked to declare any interests in the items on the agenda. In the case of any doubt, the advice of the Head of Legal should be sought **before the meeting**.

3 Minutes *(Pages 5 - 14)*

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 8 December 2014.

4 Matters and Actions Arising from the Minutes

5 Open Forum

Refer to the 'Information for the Public' section for rules on speaking.

6 Policing & Safer Neighbourhoods *(Pages 15 - 26)*

7 Citywide 20mph Project - Phase 3 Consultation Responses

Item to follow

8 S106 Priority-Setting (3rd Round): South Area *(Pages 27 - 44)*

9 Environmental Data Reports - SAC *(Pages 45 - 64)*

Meeting Information

Open Forum Members of the public are invited to ask any question, or make a statement on any matter related to their local area covered by the City Council Wards for this Area Committee. The Forum will last up to 30 minutes, but may be extended at the Chair's discretion. The Chair may also time limit speakers to ensure as many are accommodated as practicable.

Filming, recording and photography The Council is committed to being open and transparent in the way it conducts its decision making. The public may record (e.g. film, audio, tweet, blog) meetings which are open to the public.

Anyone who does not want to be recorded should let the Chair of the meeting know. Those recording meetings are strongly urged to respect the wish of any member of the public not to be recorded.

Fire Alarm In the event of the fire alarm sounding please follow the instructions of Cambridge City Council staff.

Facilities for disabled people Level access is available at all Area Committee Venues.

A loop system is available on request.

Meeting papers are available in large print and other formats on request prior to the meeting.

For further assistance please contact Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Queries on reports If you have a question or query regarding a committee report please contact the officer listed at the end of relevant report or Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

**General
Information**

Information regarding committees, councilors and the democratic process is available at <http://democracy.cambridge.gov.uk/>

Mod.Gov App**Mod.Gov App**

Modern.gov offer an app that can be used to ensure you always have the latest meeting papers for the committees you are interested in.

<http://www.moderngov.co.uk/our-solutions/tablet-app-paperless-meetings>

SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE

8 December 2014

7.00 - 8.15 pm

Present

Area Committee Members: Councillors Blackhurst (Chair), Pippas (Vice-Chair), Ashton, Avery, Dryden, McPherson, Meftah, Moore and Sanders

Area Committee Members: County Councillors Ashwood, Crawford and Taylor

Officers:

Asset Manager (Streets & Open Spaces): Alistair Wilson

Public Realm Manager: Wendy Young

Committee Manager: James Goddard

Other Officers in Attendance:

Head of Refuse & Environment: Jas Lally

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE COUNCIL

14/63/SAC Election of Chair and Vice Chair - SAC

The Committee Manager took the Chair whilst the South Area Committee elected a Chair.

Councillor Avery proposed, and Councillor Moore seconded, the nomination of Councillor Blackhurst as Chair.

Councillor Ashton proposed, and Councillor Dryden seconded, the nomination of Councillor Meftah as Chair.

Resolved (by 5 votes to 4) that Councillor Blackhurst be Chair for the remainder of the municipal year.

Councillor Blackhurst assumed the Chair from the Committee Manager at this point.

Councillor Ashton proposed, and Councillor Dryden seconded, the nomination of Councillor Meftah as Vice Chair.

Councillor Moore proposed, and Councillor Sanders seconded, the nomination of Councillor Pippas as Vice Chair.

Resolved (by 5 votes to 4) that Councillor Pippas be Vice Chair for the remainder of the municipal year.

Members asked for clarification why this item was on the agenda. The Committee Manager responded it had been requested by a Councillor, as possible due to the Queen Ediths' by-election and procedure rules in the Cambridge City Council Constitution. The by-election meant that South Area Committee (SAC) was back to full membership, and so could elect a Chair and Vice Chair. It was noted this normally happened at the first meeting of the municipal year.

Councillor Ashton asked if all SAC Councillors would be invited to Chair briefings in future. This was not the case before Labour Councillors took the Chair role. The Chair said that all SAC Councillors would be invited to Chair briefings in future.

14/64/SAC Apologies for Absence

No apologies were received.

14/65/SAC Declarations of Interest

Name	Item	Interest
Councillor Avery	14/70/SAC	Personal: Lives on the Accordia Estate. Is a member of ACRA.

Councillor Ashton asked for it to be noted (in case it was seen as an Interest) that he was SAC Chair when the Committee last discussed funding for the Accordia site.

14/66/SAC Minutes

The minutes of the 13 October 2014 meeting were approved and signed as a correct record subject to amendments set out below relating to 14/54/SAC:

Name	Item	Interest
Councillor Ashton	14/61/SAC	Personal: Chairman of the <u>Cherry</u> Hinton Resident's Association.
Councillor Avery	14/61/SAC	Personal: Lives on the Accordia Estate.
Councillors Dryden <u>and McPherson</u>	14/61/SAC	Personal: Member of the <u>Cherry</u> Hinton Resident's Association.
Councillor Moore	14/61/SAC	Personal: Member of Friends of Nightingale Rec.

14/67/SAC Matters and Actions Arising from the Minutes

Not applicable.

14/68/SAC Open Forum

- 1. A member of the Scouts made the following points:**
 - i. Asked for a safe way for people to cross Cherry Hinton Road so they could access Netherhall School.**
 - ii. Suggested traffic lights or a traffic island could be used, but a zebra crossing may be the preferred option.**

Councillor Crawford said this could be looked at in the next round of Local Highway Improvement work. Councillor Taylor also offered to raise the issue with the County Council. Councillor Dryden said the City Council could also support the crossing.

- 2. Mrs Slatter asked for the 20 MPH speed limit consultation response deadline to be extended to enable resident associations to respond.**

Councillor Blackhurst said there was a difference in response dates given in hard copy documents and on the County Council website.

ACTION POINT: Councillor Blackhurst to clarify 20 MPH speed limit consultation response deadline. Details not consistent on City Council website and consultation document hard copies. 05/01/15 suggested response date.

Councillor Ashwood said that packs were dated October 2014, but were not received until circa December. Councillor Blackhurst said the 20 MPH speed limit consultation was discussed at the October South Area Committee, so the consultation was expected to follow on from that.

14/69/SAC Environmental Data Reports

The Committee received a report from the Public Realm Manager. She brought the report up to date by stating a typographical error on agenda P21 incorrectly listed Cherry Hinton Hall instead of Cherry Hinton School.

The report outlined an overview of City Council Refuse and Environment and Streets and Open Spaces service activity relating to the geographical area served by the South Area Committee. The report identified the reactive and proactive service actions undertaken in the previous quarter, including the requested priority targets and reports back on the recommended issues and associated actions to be targeted in the following. It also included key officer contacts for the reporting of waste and refuse and public realm issues.

The following were suggestions for members on what action could be considered for priority within the South Area for the quarter of October to December 2014:

1. Enforcement joint working and patrols to deal with illegal camping on green open spaces, including Coe Fen.
2. Nuisance vehicle patrols to be carried out at Cherry Hinton High Street and Fulbourn Road layby.
3. Jet wash 'local shops' at Wulfstan Way, Anstey Way and Adkins Corner to remove grime and chewing gum from the shop fronts.
4. Early morning patrols for dog fouling on Tenby Close, Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground and Bliss Way.
5. Enforcement patrols for litter and other environmental issues at Cambridge Leisure Park and Hills Road Sixth Form area (recommended at East Area Committee on 15 October 2014).
6. Deep cleanse of Snakey Path and the Tins from Burnside to include removal of graffiti, flyposting, litter, dog fouling, vegetation cut back and sweeping (linked to recommendation of East Area Committee on 15 October 2014).

Councillor Ashton sought clarification why recommendations 3, 5 and 6 were suggested priorities for SAC as they were not in the South Area (eg Adkins Corner). The Public Realm Manager agreed to remove recommendation 5

(entirely) plus Adkins Corner from recommendation 3. Recommendation 6 was suggested to SAC as a joint priority with East Area Committee. They had already accepted it.

In response to Members' questions the Public Realm Manager said the following:

- i. October – December 2014 priorities should be actioned during December, but may be rolled over into January 2015 if required.
- ii. The County Council were responsible for the cleaning and maintenance of the guided busway.
- iii. Ward blitzes had already started with the North area in October 2014; then would move round clockwise on a monthly basis to cover a single ward at a time. The first ward in the South area to benefit from the ward blitz was Cherry Hinton in January 2015. The schedule was publically available, and would be brought to the next SAC meeting
- iv. City Enforcement Officers were continuing to work with Addenbrooke's security staff to address littering in areas where Addenbrooke's site joins residential areas. Officers noted that Councillors felt the City Council was clearing up Addenbrooke's mess.

The Committee asked the Public Realm Manager to investigate if the following actions were possible:

- i. Trialling a supply of bags in Nightingale Park in order to give people a 'carrot' to clean up after themselves. This would test if supplying bags made the area cleaner, instead of relying on people to bring their own.
- ii. Taking enforcement action against people who damage green verges when parking on them in Mowbray Road. This included utility companies.
- iii. Cycle ways and gulleys to be included in general street cleansing.

The Committee discussed the following as additional and revised recommendations for action:

Recommendation 1

- i. Enforcement joint working and patrols to deal with illegal camping on green open spaces, including Coe Fen.

Recommendation 2

- i. Nuisance vehicle patrols to be carried out at Cherry Hinton High Street and Fulbourn Road layby.

Recommendation 3

- i. Replace Adkins Corner with Cherry Hinton High Street shops for jet washing.

Recommendation 4

- i. Early morning patrols for dog fouling on Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground.

Recommendation 5 - Revised

- i. Requested litter bins for the following areas:
 - Trumpington Ward outside Pavilion and Hall.
 - Mowbray Road outside Acacia Guest House.
 - Shelford/Hauxton Road outside cemetery.
 - Long Road junction with Sedley Taylor.
 - Luard Road junction with Sedley Taylor Road.
- ii. Removal of old litter bin in Cherry Hinton High Street as newer one is nearby. Old bin is cemented in place.

Recommendation 6

- i. Deep cleanse of Snakey Path and the Tins from Burnside to include removal of graffiti, flyposting, litter, dog fouling, vegetation cut back and sweeping – South Area section (linked to recommendation of East Area Committee on 15 October 2014).

Recommendation 7

- i. Investigation of possible abandoned kebab trailer parked outside 71 Hulatt Road, which is an obstruction and has remained in place for some time.

Recommendation 8

- i. Deep cleanse of areas around (including passageways between):
 - Godwin Way and Gundhill Close.
 - Hulatt Road and Wulfstan Way.
 - Holbrook Road and Glebe Road.
 - Holbrook Road and Glebe Road.

Recommendation 9

- i. Enforcement targeted approach to areas where Addenbrooke's site joins residential areas, such as Hills Road and Red Cross Lane. Also to work with Addenbrooke's towards cleaning up the bus station area.

Following discussion, Members **unanimously resolved** to approve priorities for action as amended above.

14/70/SAC A Review of Consultation on Accordia Scooter Track

The Committee received a report from the Asset Manager.

The report outlined SAC approved a project for the creation of a Trim Trail and Scooter Park at the Accordia development off Brooklands Avenue 13 January 2014.

At a previous South Area Committee Open Forum, members of the public had queried progress on the scooter park element of the approved project.

It was agreed on the 23 June at South Area Committee that a further consultation would be undertaken so that residents could have their input on specific design proposals for the scooter facility and therefore establish whether or not there was support for the scooter track.

This report summarised and responded to the consultation comments received.

Members of the public made a number of statements and asked a number of questions, as set out below.

- 1. Various residents and children spoke in favour of the scooter park. They raised the following points:**
 - i. A scooter park was needed to provide a safe area for children to use their scooters.**
 - ii. Accordia roads and pathways were not suitable alternatives. Children were worried about colliding with cars and pedestrians.**
 - iii. Queried why the scooter park had become a political issue.**
 - iv. A delay in implementing the scooter park meant that original residents could not benefit from it, but current/future ones could.**
 - v. Accordia had limited amenity space, the scooter park would benefit the whole community.**
 - vi. Scooters helped children progress onto using bicycles.**
- 2. Mr Cray made the following points:**
 - i. The survey listed in the Officer's report showed there was support, but not overwhelming support for the scooter park. This would not be a valued facility.**
 - ii. Scooting is popular with pre-teen children.**
 - iii. The design of Accordia allowed for free flow of movement around it, so people could use scooters on the roads and pavements.**

- iv. **Implementing the scooter park may lead to unintended consequences eg attracting older children.**
- v. **Took issue with the Officer's recommendation that the project continues to be progressed and developed.**

3. Mr Weir made the following points:

- i. **There is a need for the scooter park.**
- ii. **Took issue with current and historic objections.**
- iii. **Reiterated that children worried about using scooters on Accordia roads and pavements.**
- iv. **Queried why the scooter park had become a political issue. He was informed that Liberal Democrat Councillors had been strenuously lobbied to ask them to oppose the Officer's recommendation that the project continues to be progressed and developed.**

The Committee reassured residents that Councillors has not been lobbied to ask them to oppose the Officer's recommendation that the project continues to be progressed and developed.

The Committee made the following comments in response to the report:

- i. Noted there was a lot of support for the scooter park, plus some objections.
- ii. A Traffic Regulation Order was expected to be implemented in Accordia to put in double yellow lines and a 20 MPH speed limit.

In response to Members' questions the Asset Manager said the following:

- i. It was not possible to check the demographic profile of people who had responded to the consultation. These details were not recorded.
- ii. The purpose of the report was to seek SAC's views on options to implement as set out in the Officer's report. Funding to implement the scooter park had already been agreed.
- iii. The Asset Manager would work up a project appraisal then submit it to SAC Chair, Vice Chair and Spokesperson for approval.
- iv. The timescale to implement work was January 2015 onwards once the Asset Manager had liaised with Planning Officers regarding the proposed options.

Following discussion, Members **unanimously resolved:**

- i. Approved the continuation of project PR032e – Accordia Trim Trail and Scooter Park, which is included on the Council's Capital & Revenue Project Plan.
- ii. That the total cost of the scooter park project remains at £30,000 which is funded from developer contributions.

The meeting ended at 8.15 pm

CHAIR

This page is intentionally left blank

Neighbourhood profile update Cambridge City South Neighbourhood

February 2015



**Matt Johnson, Safer
Neighbourhoods
Inspector**

**Lynda KilKelly, Safer
Communities Manager,
Cambridge City Council**

1 INTRODUCTION	2
Aim	
Methodology	
2 CURRENT PRIORITIES	3
3 PRO-ACTIVE WORK & EMERGING ISSUES	7
4 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	9
Current Crime & ASB Incident Levels by Ward	
Environmental Services Data	
5 RECOMMENDATIONS	11

1 INTRODUCTION

Aim

The aim of the Neighbourhood profile update is to provide an overview of action taken since the last reporting period, identify on-going and emerging crime and disorder issues, and provide recommendations for future priorities and activity in order to facilitate effective policing and partnership working in the area.

The document should be used to inform multi-agency neighbourhood panel meetings and neighbourhood policing teams, so that issues can be identified, effectively prioritised and partnership problem solving activity undertaken.

Methodology

This document was produced using the following data sources:

- ❑ Cambridgeshire Constabulary crime and anti-social behaviour (ASB) incident data for September to December 2014, compared to the previous like reporting period (May to August 2014) and the same reporting period in 2013.
- ❑ City Council environmental services data for the period September to December 2014, compared to the same reporting period in 2013; and
- ❑ Information provided by the Safer Neighbourhood Policing team and the City Council's Safer Communities team.

2 CURRENT PRIORITIES

At the South Area Committee meeting of 13 October 2014, the committee recommended adopting the following priorities:

- ❑ Continue work against Class A dealers;
- ❑ Address cycle crime in response to citywide spike;
- ❑ Address cycling offences with the return of students and darker evenings (will complement effort against cycle crime and road safety);
- ❑ Dwelling burglary.

The Neighbourhood Action Group, at its meeting of 20 October 2014, assigned the actions to be taken and the lead officers for each of the priorities. The tables below summarise the action taken and the current situation.

Continue work against Class A dealers	
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❑ Target individuals and organised groups travelling to the South area of Cambridge to engage in the unlawful supply of controlled drugs, particularly Class A drugs.❑ Target the supply of drugs; particularly Class A drugs, by individuals resident in the South area.
Action Taken	<p>Work has continued across the City to combat Class A drug dealing. The South & East teams have continued to work with the City Council and housing partners to evict persons who have been found to be supplying drugs from properties or allowing their properties to be used for the supply of drugs.</p> <p>Officers have once again executed a number of warrants targeting all classes of drugs at premises in the South and East areas. The teams have also targeted a number of street based dealers. In addition, we have recovered stolen property whilst conducting these warrants.</p> <p>Some of the main results are detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">❑ Walpole Road (South) – Search warrant was executed and three persons were arrested for possession with intent to supply class A and B;❑ Leete Road (South) – Search warrant was executed and two persons were arrested (including one from London) for ‘concerned in the supply’ of Class A drugs and possession of a large amount of money;❑ Bridewell Road (South) – Search warrant was executed and three persons arrested (including one from London)

	<p>for allowing their premises to be used for drug supply and 'concerned in the supply' of Class A drugs;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Ancaster Way (East) – Two males arrested for possession and possession with intent to supply Class A and B; □ YMCA (policed by the East area team) – Class A drugs found and a female arrested who remains on bail she has now been evicted from her accommodation. <p>In addition, in order to further safeguard the community and vulnerable members within it, Cambridge City police have now adopted a target hardening strategy under Operation Hexham. This involves visiting, with partner agencies, any location identified as persistently being used in the dealing of Class A drugs. The occupant is warned of the consequences if it continues and is offered advice, support & guidance to divert them from addiction.</p>
Current Situation	Intelligence continues to be provided from the community in relation to drug use and dealing activity. The new strategy detailed above is being implemented.
Lead Officer	Sergeant Chris Horton, Cambridgeshire Constabulary.

Address cycle crime in response to citywide spike	
Objective	To reduce cycle crime in the South area
Action Taken	<p>Operation Raptor has been drafted by the City team in an attempt to address the rise in cycle crime. Displays and presentations have taken place to police staff to highlight the crime and various "sting" operations have taken place in an attempt to capture offenders which have met with some success.</p> <p>From discussions with CCTV, British Transport Police and from analysis, it is clear that the problem is wide spread and involves multiple offenders, local and distant, rather than an organised crime group. This has resulted in limited overall success in the short term with regards to an overall reduction but it has heightened the awareness of staff to the problem and the tactics available, with the hope that this will lead to reductions in the longer term.</p> <p>Local PCSOs have conducted a number of "street surgeries" in identified crime hot spot areas, e.g. Mill Park, Hills Road and Station Road, offering crime prevention advice.</p>
Current	As detailed above, cycle crime continues to make up a

Situation	significant volume of crime within the area.
Lead Officer	Sergeant Chris Horton, Cambridgeshire Constabulary.

Address cycling offences with the return of students and darker evenings (will complement effort against cycle crime and road safety)	
Objective	To address cycling offences; this will also complement efforts against cycle crime and road safety.
Action Taken	<p>Local PCSOs have been involved in the Lights Instead of Tickets (LIT) scheme at various locations throughout the City.</p> <p>Over a three-month period, 304 tickets were issued and 151 persons were offered words of advice. Cherry Hinton Road was one particular location that received attention during this scheme.</p> <p>In addition, patrol time has been specifically spent in education and enforcement action on Trumpington Road, High Street, Long Road, Brooklands Avenue, Hills Road, Mowbray Road, Bateman Street and Panton Street. In total, a further 42 people have been spoken to regarding cycling type offences.</p> <p>On the back of this priority and feedback, discussions have taken place with regards to commencing a Cycling Diversionary Scheme in October 2015 where, similar to the LIT scheme, persons caught offending may be able to take part in a rider improvement and educational scheme in order to avoid further punishment. What this finally entails has yet to be decided.</p>
Current Situation	As previously suggested, the priority should come under the umbrella term of “road-safety” to support local PCSO efforts in combating not only inconsiderate cycling but also inconsiderate parking, especially during the school run periods, as this continues to be widely reported.
Lead Officer	Sergeant Chris Horton, Cambridgeshire Constabulary

Dwelling burglary	
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Reduce the incidents of dwelling burglary ❑ Increase the awareness of local residents about the risks of becoming a victim of crime, and inform them of suitable simple crime prevention measures they can take.
Action Taken	<p>Below is a recent report from DS Russ Figgis (PCT Cambridge City):</p> <p><i>“We have had a series of burglaries within the CB1 and CB4</i></p>

areas of Cambridge.

Many of these have taken place over the festive period whilst individuals have been away from their properties. Operation Kracken has been put in place to tackle this issue. This operation is utilising resources from various CID teams and police resources from all over the force area in order to locate and arrest the offenders of these burglaries.

Over the last 10 days, Cambridgeshire Police have arrested and interviewed a total of six individuals for burglaries across the city. These individuals have either been charged or bailed for further enquiries.

A mixture of tactics are being employed to tackle this issue that include a mixture of high visibility and plain clothes resources being used.

Please can all residents be vigilant and if leaving their property please keep lights on or take other preventative security measures. It is clear that addresses are being targeted that appear from the outside that they are empty. At some of the burglaries, entry has been gained by the offenders forcing the windows open.

Please can residents keep all doors and windows locked and secure and report any individuals that are acting suspicious in their local areas to the Police as soon as possible.

It is fairly general because there is a lot of work going on behind the scenes.”

In addition, local PCSOs have been involved in patrolling the areas specifically highlighted by DS Figgis’s team as being vulnerable, offering both reassurance and crime prevention advise.

In November/December, particular attention was given to the area around Glebe Road when a spike in criminality was identified.

Each local PCSO has recently received training on the eCOPS alert system with instructions to report to their ward communities any identified patterns of criminality. This is in the early stages of being embedded as a process.

Current Situation	Sadly, despite the low number of offences in the earlier part of the period, there was a significant increase in dwelling burglaries over the Christmas/New Year period. There have been a number of arrests and property has been recovered; the drop in subsequent offences would imply that we have arrested the right people; we are working to prevent further offences.
Lead Officer	Sergeant Chris Horton, Cambridgeshire Constabulary

3 PRO-ACTIVE WORK & EMERGING ISSUES

- ❑ The Community Cohesion & Racial Harassment Officer and ASB officers, both from Safer Communities team, are now holding monthly surgeries on the last Friday of every month at Addenbrooke's Hospital. These surgeries encourage people to report issues of racial harassment and ASB. It also raises awareness of the services available to combat such incidences. At the surgeries, attendees will also be able to get help with other council services by way of sign posting. The surgeries also help by being out in the community to make reporting easier.
- ❑ The Safer Communities team issued a Notice of Seeking Possession for ASB activity in Wulfstan Way and obtained a signed undertaking from another perpetrator in Paget Road.
- ❑ A letter drop was carried out by the Safer Communities team in Harvey Road in relation to reports of ASB between neighbours. The matter has been referred to the landlord, Hundred Housing.
- ❑ The Safer Communities team are dealing with ASB cases in a number of locations: Wulfstan Way, Paget Road, Cherry Hinton Road, Bridewell Road and Hanover Court. The ASB at Bridewell Road was quickly resolved by contacting the perpetrator.
- ❑ The Safer Communities team have received reports of neighbour nuisance in Foster Road, Princess Court and Wulfstan Way, environmental ASB in Walpole Road, threatening behaviour in Winchmore Drive, criminal damage in Paget Close, and a burglary in Lilac Court; the reports were either dealt with or passed to the correct agency to deal with.
- ❑ There has been an overall reduction in recorded crime on the South area of 5%, or 28 fewer offences, by comparison to the previous reporting period. This reduction has been reflected across all three wards with Queen Edith's accounting for 18 fewer offences.

- ❑ Anti-social behaviour for the South area has seen a reduction of 14% or 39 fewer incidents by comparison to the previous reporting period. Although Trumpington ward has remained stable both Cherry Hinton and Queen Edith's wards have both seen a decrease. Queen Edith's in particular saw 28 fewer incidents.
- ❑ Overall, dwelling burglary for the South area has remained stable with 40 offences which is one fewer offence by comparison to the previous reporting period and is also on a par with this same reporting period in 2013 during which there were 39 offences. Cherry Hinton remains unchanged at 19 offences. Queen Edith's has seen an increase to 14 from 12 and Trumpington has seen a reduction from 10 to 7.
- ❑ All violent crime for the South area has seen a reduction of 11% or 11 fewer offences increase by comparison to the previous reporting period. With reductions in both Queen Edith's and Trumpington wards with Cherry Hinton seen a slight increase of two offences.
- ❑ Non-dwelling burglary for the South area has seen a reduction of 39% or 12 fewer offences. Although Queen Edith's remained stable at 4 offences this is still significantly lower than in this same period in 2013. Both Cherry Hinton and Trumpington have seen reductions.
- ❑ Theft from vehicle for the South area has seen an increase of 59% or 16 offences by comparison to the previous reporting period. Cherry Hinton and Queen Edith's have remained stable with Trumpington accounting for 14 of these offences.
- ❑ Cycle crime for the South area overall has seen an increase of 23% or 26 offences by comparison to the previous reporting period. This increase has been reflected across all three wards.
- ❑ Cambridge City police has drawn up a new service level agreement with Neighbourhood Watch and are seeking to build on the already good relationship with members to increase scheme numbers even more. Anyone interested in finding out more should visit www.cambsnhw.org.uk
- ❑ Cambridge City police has launched its own Twitter account: @CambridgeCops

4 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

CURRENT CRIME & ASB INCIDENT LEVELS BY WARD

Page 23 of 26

			Dwelling Burglary	Other Burglary	Violent Crime	Robbery	Theft of Vehicle	Theft from Vehicle	Cycle Theft	Theft from Shop	Criminal Damage	Other Crime	TOTAL CRIME	TOTAL ASB
AREA	City South	Sep 14 – Dec 14	40	19	92	3	4	43	138	15	61	149	564	245
		Sep 13 – Dec 13	39	27	63	2	7	42	91	6	46	91	414	204
		May 14 – Aug 14	41	31	103	6	4	27	112	22	60	186	592	284
WARDS	Cherry Hinton	Sep 14 – Dec 14	19	2	29	1	2	6	25	3	23	42	152	75
		Sep 13 – Dec 13	18	3	26	1	2	7	16	1	17	12	103	87
		May 14 – Aug 14	19	5	27	4	2	5	14	6	25	49	156	90
	Queen Edith's	Sep 14 – Dec 14	14	4	26	0	1	6	37	0	13	43	144	51
		Sep 13 – Dec 13	16	9	10	0	3	9	33	0	9	32	121	33
		May 14 – Aug 14	12	4	29	0	1	7	30	0	14	65	162	79
	Trumpington	Sep 14 – Dec 14	7	13	37	2	1	31	76	12	25	64	268	119
		Sep 13 – Dec 13	5	15	27	1	2	26	42	5	20	47	190	84
		May 14 – Aug 14	10	22	47	2	1	15	68	16	21	72	274	115

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DATA

Cherry Hinton

Abandoned vehicles

- ❑ September to December 2014: 4 reports, which included 4 vehicles not on site following inspection.
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 7 reports

Fly tipping

- ❑ September to December 2014: 18 reports.
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 31 reports

Derelict cycles

- ❑ September to December 2014: 6
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 4

Needle finds

- ❑ September to December 2014: None
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: None

Queen Edith's

Abandoned vehicles

- ❑ September to December 2014: 5 reports, which included
 - 3 vehicles not on site following inspection
 - 2 vehicles held pending further investigation
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 1 report

Fly tipping

- ❑ September to December 2014: 4 reports, which included 1 formal warning letter issued to domestic offenders
- ❑ The offence at Glebe Road accounted for the formal warning letter sent
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 6 reports

Derelict cycles

- ❑ September to December 2014: 8
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 7

Needle finds

- ❑ September to December 2014: None
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 1

Trumpington

Abandoned vehicles

- ❑ September to December 2014: 5 reports, which included
 - 2 vehicles not on site following inspection
 - 3 vehicles held pending further investigation
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 3 reports

Fly tipping

- ❑ September to December 2014: 10 reports
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 18 reports

Derelict cycles

- ❑ September to December 2014: 12
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 9

Needle finds

- ❑ September to December 2014: 1
- ❑ Hotspots: None
- ❑ September to December 2013: 1

5 RECOMMENDATIONS

- ❑ Reduce dwelling burglary
- ❑ Target the supply of controlled drugs (Class A)
- ❑ Target road safety by addressing anti-social cycling

This page is intentionally left blank

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

REPORT OF: Director of Environment

TO: South Area Committee

02/02/2015

WARDS: Cherry Hinton, Queen Edith's, Trumpington

S106 DEVOLVED DECISION-MAKING: GRANT APPLICATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In 2012, the council devolved to area committees decision-making over the use of particular types of S106 contributions, which are collected to address the impact of development. The first two priority-setting rounds took place in 2012/13 and 2013/14 (see Appendix A).
- 1.2 The current (third) priority-setting round is focussed on S106 grant-funding to community groups to enable them to make improvements to their community or sports facilities, which would benefit local communities. This report focuses on two grant applications relating to community facilities received from local groups in the South Area. In a briefing to the Area Committee last October, officers promised to report back in early 2015, but the Area Committee does not necessarily need to make any grant-funding allocations at this stage.
- 1.3 A fourth priority-setting round will take place later this year: proposals and/or grant applications will be invited in the early summer, with a view to committee reports & priority-setting decisions in the autumn. This will then enable consideration of proposals for:
 - a. sport or community facility improvements (not least ones involving council project management/delivery) still being worked up;
 - b. a wider range of S106 contribution types (including informal open space and play provision for children & teenagers).

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

The South Area Committee is recommended to:

- 2.1 defer making a decision on the grant proposal for the Memorial and Meeting Hall on Cherry Hinton Road, to allow an updated application to be considered in the next S106 priority-setting round later in 2015;
- 2.2 put the Cherry Hinton Baptist Church Family Centre project 'on hold', with the existing £73,000 allocation in place, ahead of further consideration in the autumn 2015 S106 priority-setting round.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 **About S106 contributions:** New development and related population increases create additional demands on local facilities. The council currently asks developers to pay S106 contributions in order to address that impact. An overview can be found on the council's Developer Contributions web page at www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106. Key points to bear in mind are that:
- a. the purpose of S106 contributions (as set out in most S106 agreements) is "for the provision of, improvement of or better access to" particular types of facilities (such as community facilities or outdoor sports facilities - as defined in local planning policy) within the city of Cambridge;
 - b. to be eligible for S106 funding, project proposals need to provide additional improvement and benefit to the community – and so cannot be for like-for-like replacements or for repairs/maintenance;
 - c. project proposals for S106 grants need to be accessible to all sections of the community. The council cannot fund facilities for religious worship but can fund community facilities run by faith groups which are available for use by everyone. Grant recipients are required to enter into community use agreements. The council monitors the use of community facilities which receive S106 grants to make sure this happens in practice.
- 3.2 **S106 priority-setting process:** Following a report to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee last October, it was agreed that the current (third) S106 priority-setting round should focus on grant-funding opportunities relating to the S106 contribution types for community facilities, outdoor sports, indoor sports & public art. Whilst all decisions on indoor sports and public art contributions are now taken by the relevant executive councillors, area committees continue to decide whether to award S106 outdoor sports or community facilities grants in the current round and, if so, which eligible proposals to prioritise.
- 3.3 The Equality Impact Assessment on S106 priority-setting, reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee last October, highlighted the risk that allocating S106 contributions in the current round to grant-funded projects could reduce the funding available for projects in the next round. To help address this, it is being made clear to area committees that they are not expected to allocate all their available devolved S106 contributions now. Paragraph 4.3, below, highlights some project proposals which, officers understand, are likely to be put forward in the next or future rounds.

- 3.4 **Current S106 priority-setting round:** From early November to early December, local groups and organisations submitted S106 grant applications for developing their sports and community facilities or small-scale public art projects. A summary of the grant application and assessment process can be found in Appendix B.
- 3.5 Overall, 30 grant applications were received from across Cambridge. Five are for proposed facility improvements or projects in South Area. One of these (for a refreshments vending machine for the community room at Rock Road library) has since been withdrawn as the county council will include this in a bigger contract for vending machines. The following two grant applications for consideration for South Area devolved funding are addressed in Section 5 of this report:
- Memorial & Meeting Hall on Cherry Hinton Road (paragraph 5.1);
 - Cherry Hinton Baptist Church Family Centre (paragraphs 5.2-5.5).
- 3.6 Two other applications from South Area have already been allocated city-wide S106 grants, subject to standard conditions, following reports to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 15/1/2015:
- £64,000 indoor sports grant to Netherhall School and Sixth Form Centre for supplementary funding for its gym facilities;
 - around £6,500 for a public art project in the community garden at Rock Road library.
- 3.7 Following last month's scrutiny committee report, the Executive Councillor for Community, Arts and Recreation awarded another £80,000 of community facilities contributions for the Clay Farm Community Centre from strategic S106 funds because it represents a major development of city-wide importance.
- 3.8 **Policy context:** In late November 2014, the Minister of State for Communities & Local Government announced that S106 contributions should not be sought any more for sites of 10 homes or less (with a maximum combined gross floor space of 1,000 square metres) and all residential annexes and extensions. New regulations are awaited and the full implications will need to be assessed.
- a. This change is unlikely to impact on S106 contributions already received for developments already commenced, or to impact directly on the current S106 priority-setting round.
 - b. The implications of the announcement do, however, reinforce the message in the briefings to area committees last October – that S106 contributions are set to taper off and run down in future, and it is important to make sure that they are used to greatest effect.

4. UPDATE ON S106 FUNDING AVAILABILITY

- 4.1 The latest analysis of available S106 funding (as at 20/01/2015) shows the following levels of unallocated S106 contributions in the South Area devolved funds relevant to this third S106 priority-setting round (figures rounded down to the nearest £25,000).

Contribution types	South Area
Community facilities	£100,000
Outdoor sports (incorporating formal open space)	£525,000

- 4.2 Key points to note about these unallocated contributions are:
- devolved funding for projects already prioritised by the South Area Committee in the first two rounds has already been allocated, so the amounts in the table represent what is still available to spend;
 - they do not include contributions with specific conditions about how the funding should be used. For example, whilst some off-site community facilities S106 contributions are expected shortly from the Bell School development, these will be for the improvement of existing facilities or provision of new ones for the benefit of residents of that development;
 - none of the devolved contributions have 'expiry dates' in the next five years (2015-2019);
 - around 60% of the devolved outdoor sports S106 funding relate to contributions from the CB1 development.

- 4.3 **Project proposals likely to come forward in future:** The available devolved funding needs to be placed in the context of the following known project proposals which are yet to emerge fully. This would suggest that there are likely to be significant proposals in the next S106 priority-setting round later this year for the use of devolved outdoor sports contributions. It also highlights the likely stiff competition for the South Area's devolved community facilities S106 fund – and the difficult decisions that the Area Committee will face.

- Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground pavilion:** Whilst the Area Committee (in January '14) allocated £100,000 devolved outdoor sports S106 contributions for this pavilion refurbishment project, the project proposal has been developed to include two additional changing rooms and small functional space. The project is 'on hold' while these proposals are worked up more fully ahead of the next S106 project proposals/grant application process in summer '15. It is understood that a further £80,000 may be needed.

- b. **Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground pavilion:** The Area Committee has already earmarked £200,000 of outdoor sports applications for this pavilion project (on the basis that this could represent around half the costs of a new pavilion). Officers are due to meet the Friends of Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground in late January to discuss options and ideas for both the use of the pavilion and the disused bowls area.
 - i. This is likely to lead to project proposals to be put forward for the next S106 proposals/applications process next summer.
 - ii. Depending on the facilities to be included in a new pavilion and considerations about how it would be managed, there are still questions about from which contribution types the extra S106 funds for this project would come. The greater availability of devolved outdoor sports contributions, compared to those for community facilities, may be an important consideration.
- c. **Cherry Hinton community hub – phases 2 and 3:** Following the first phase of the community hub project (at Cherry Hinton library), which received around £11,000 of devolved S106 funding in 2013, Cherry Hinton Residents Association is developing its proposals and cost estimates for future phases. Whilst it is not yet known how much S106 funding may be requested, it is expected that the costs associated with these project proposals could be significant.

5. CONSIDERATION OF CURRENT GRANT APPLICATIONS

- 5.1 **Memorial and Meeting Hall on Cherry Hinton Road:** The grant application form for this refurbishment can be found in Appendix C. The officer assessment has highlighted that it is not yet clear how much of the proposals would qualify for S106 funding and how far a hall refurbishment would provide additional benefit to the wider local community. The proposals need to be developed in more detail before they are ready to be considered. An updated application for the fourth S106 priority-setting round would be encouraged. Specific comments are as follows.
 - a. Based on the information currently available, officers suspect that the works to the hall roof would not qualify for S106 funding as this could constitute a replacement and/or repairs and maintenance.
 - b. More details would be needed about the types of refurbishments proposed for the hall (more than the installation of heating, toilets and baby-changing facilities). Community Development officers have already highlighted the need to check whether all the funding being sought relates to non-religious purposes and to community facilities that would be open to all sections of the community.

- c. More details would also be needed about what sort of community activities would be run from a refurbished hall and the applicant's assumptions about the levels of increased community use that a refurbished hall would generate. This is important given the proximity of the Memorial and Meeting Hall to Rock Road library, which has been given a £20,000 S106 grant from the council in 2014/15 for its new community room.
- d. The proposals for the hall are at an early stage and it would be helpful for the applicant to develop these further, not least to check the current cost estimates. Without quotes from contractors yet, it remains to be seen whether the building cost estimates might increase. More detailed design proposals would also enable the applicant to gain a clearer understanding of likely timescales.
- e. Crucially, the application needs to be placed in the context of the S106 funding available. The £170,000 requested is beyond the devolved community facilities S106 funding available to South Area, not forgetting that there are other proposals (eg, those mentioned in paragraphs 4.3 and 5.2) seeking the same funding.
 - i. The applicant makes an important point that this facility is on the border of South and East areas: it is, therefore, possible that the proposal could be considered for S106 funding from both areas and/or strategic S106 funds (for projects benefitting more than one area). However, it is also important to be aware that the level of devolved funding available in East Area is not much higher than in South Area and faces similar competition for how it could be used. The community facilities S106 funds in the strategic pot also face the same sorts of pressures.
 - ii. Grant applicants need to remember that, if successful, they will be responsible for the running and maintenance costs of the S106 grant-funded projects. They need to provide confidence that the improved facilities, when operational, will be viable.

5.2 Cherry Hinton Baptist Church Family Centre: The Committee has already approved a grant for the refurbishment of this Family Centre, although this has not been finalised. The applicant has been asked to submit a fresh application, however, as the estimated project costs have risen by 65% (or, possibly, over 90%) in the last six months.

- a. An original application for £63,000 (which, itself included a £5,000 contingency sum), suggested by council officers, to allow for rising costs) was allocated to this project in January 2014.
- b. Last June, the Area Committee received an update that the project costs were likely to increase because the proposals for the Family Centre refurbishment had expanded to enable better use of the

facilities. The report said that, if the actual S106 grant needed was less than £75,000, the project appraisal would be considered by the Committee chair, vice chair and opposition spokes. If the grant needed was more than £75,000, the project appraisal would be reported back to the Area Committee for consideration.

- c. Last summer, the Family Centre secured a grant from Wren. The estimated projects costs were £107,000 (including a £14,000 contingency sum) and the S106 grant requested was £73,000. The expedited project appraisal was then approved and community development officers worked with the applicant on the details of the community use grant agreement.
- d. The council issued a S106 community use grant agreement for signing, but the applicant then received quotes back for the works from contractors, which came in much higher than expected. The community use grant agreement was therefore not completed.
- e. The applicant then enquired about the possibility of further S106 grant-funding (to deliver the same scheme) in November 2014. Given that the overall amount of grant now requested is above £75,000, and in view of other grant applications for devolved community facilities S106 funding (both those received and those being developed), officers are keen for the Area Committee to review the grant allocation and to consider the way forward.

5.3 The fresh grant application for the Family Centre refurbishment can be found in Appendix D. The applicant has identified ways to bring the cost estimates down by £25,000 (removing some items not included in the original design to estimate costs and reducing the specification on some items). It has also applied to AmeyCespa for another £20,000 grant – the bid outcome is expected by the end of January. On this basis, the applicant now seeks £121,000 overall of S106 grant if the AmeyCespa bid is successful (or £141,000, if it is not): that is, £47,000 (or £67,000) more than currently allocated.

5.4 Community Development officers have liaised closely with the applicant, but have become concerned that the estimated project costs have risen so much since the grant offer of £73,000. Not all the information needed to have confidence in this scheme has been forthcoming on time. This means that officers have not yet been able to confirm that all elements of the project (with cost-cutting applied) are suitable for S106 grant-funding. However, on the morning that this report had to go to print, officers have been given a breakdown of the revised costs estimates. An update will be provided at the committee meeting once officers have had an opportunity to consider these new details.

5.5 Prior to the receipt of this new information, a number of options for the way forward have been considered.

- a. Community Development officers have registered strong concerns about meeting the applicant's increased grant request in full or giving a smaller extra amount without further information and assurances about robust project management. The competition for the devolved community facilities S106 contributions available (see paragraph 4.3) is also an important factor here.
- b. The possibility of rescinding the current £73,000 allocation now, so that all bids for devolved S106 community facilities funding can be considered fairly, has been discussed with the applicant. However, given that there are no other applications ready to be considered in the current priority-setting round, this may not be necessary at this stage. However, this option cannot be ruled out for the report in the autumn as part of the next S106 priority-setting round.
- c. It is, therefore, recommended that the current project is put 'on hold' – but with the current £73,000 of devolved community facilities S106 contributions still allocated - until it can be considered alongside other project proposals and/or grant applications in the autumn. (There are no expiry dates attached to the S106 contributions allocated to this project, so that is not an issue.) Officers appreciate that this will delay the Family Centre refurbishment but, without having confidence that the project costs would not increase further, there is not much other option.

6. IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1. **Financial implications:** General funding constraints have been highlighted in paragraph 3.8 and in section 4 of this report.
- 6.2. **Staffing implications:** Community Development officers will keep in contact with the two grant applicants about their proposals.
- 6.3 **Equal opportunities implications:** These have been addressed in paragraphs 3.1c and 3.3 of this report.

7. CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1 It is disappointing not to be able to recommend specific S106 grants in this report. At the same time, it is worth remembering that:
 - a. all local projects from the first S106 priority-setting round have been delivered and a couple from the second round are due to be completed over the next two months (see Appendix A);
 - b. the South Area **is** benefitting from S106 grants in the third priority-setting round, with the city-wide grants to Netherhall Hall School and Sixth Form Centre and Rock Road library;

- c. it is appropriate for the Area Committee to defer making decisions when there are no clear decisions ready to be made at this stage;
- d. it is only four months or so until local residents and community groups will be able to put forward proposals for consideration in the next S106 priority-setting round.

7.2 It is hoped that the issues set out in this report will help to encourage a wider range of robust and realistic project proposals and grant applications for the next round.

8. BACKGROUND PAPERS

These background papers on the S106 devolved decision-making process were used in the preparation of this report:

- “S106 devolved decision-making: taking stock and moving forward”, report to South Area Committee, 13/10/2014.
- Update on local S106 priority projects”, report to South Area Committee, 12/06/2014.
- “S106 priority-setting and small-scale public art grants”, report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee, 15/1/2015.
- “S106 priority-setting and outdoor & indoor sports facilities”, report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee, 15/1/2015.
- “S106 priority-setting and devolved decision-making”, report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee, 16/10/2014.
- Written statement to Parliament by Minister of State for Communities and Local Government (28/11/2014):
<https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/small-scale-developers>

Further information can be found on the council’s Developer Contributions web page (www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106).

9. APPENDICES

- A. Update on local S106 projects: South Area
- B. S106 grant application & assessment process
- C. Grant application for Memorial & Meeting Hall
- D. Grant application for Cherry Hinton Baptist Church Family Centre

10. INSPECTION OF PAPERS

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Author’s Name:	Tim Wetherfield
Author’s Phone Number:	01223 – 457313
Author’s Email:	tim.wetherfield@cambridge.gov.uk

Update on local S106 projects: South Area

CP ref.	Projects completed since 2012/13	£k S106
Projects agreed prior to 1st round S106 priority-setting		
PR25	Grant for Rock Road library community meeting space	<25
-	Bat and vole biodiversity project at Accordia	<15
SC474	Cherry Hinton Hall grounds improvements: phase 1	75
1st S106 priority-setting round		
PR34g	Grant for Centre at St Paul's: phase 3	50
PR32a	Hanover Court/Princess Court meeting space	100
PR32b	Nightingale Avenue Rec trim trail	25-50
PR32c	Cherry Hinton Rec Ground improvements	100-125
PR32d	Grant for Cherry Hinton community hub	<15
2nd S106 priority-setting round		
PR32i	Cherry Hinton war memorial improvement (Nov '14)	<10

CP ref.	On-going S106 projects	£k S106
Projects agreed prior to 1st round S106 priority-setting		
SC548	Southern Connections public art project: <i>long-term project expected to complete in winter 2018/19.</i>	75-100
2nd 106 priority-setting round		
PR34k	Netherhall School cricket nets (grant): <i>works due to start in mid-Feb; due to be completed by end March 15</i>	25
PR32e	Accordia scooter/trim trail: <i>scooter project is due to be delivered in early spring 2015, subject to contractor availability and appropriate weather conditions.</i>	25-50
PR32f	Cherry Hinton Baptist Church family centre: <i>see paragraphs 5.2-5.5 of the main report.</i>	50-75
PR32g	Cherry Hinton Rec pavilion refurbishment: <i>on hold; will bid for further S106 funds in next round. See para 4.3a.</i>	100
PR32h	Trumpington Bowls Club pavilion: <i>awaiting update – an oral update will be given at Area Committee meeting.</i>	50-75
PR32i	Trumpington war memorial improvements: <i>will be largely complete by end January '15. Development of interpretation boards with local history group will follow.</i>	<15

S106 grant-funding application & assessment process

1. Applications for S106 grant funding were invited from local groups and organisations between 7 November and 8 December 2014. This was publicised via:
 - a. area committee briefings;
 - b. local councillors making contact with local groups in their wards;
 - c. information on the Council's website;
 - d. news releases and posts on social media;
 - e. emails to local organisations who have contacted the council in recent months about S106 funding opportunities.
2. The application process involved completing an initial two-page form, supported by guidance notes for applicants. Prospective applicants were invited to ask themselves five questions before filling in the form, in order to minimise the number of applications not be eligible or suitable for S106 grant-funding. See the council's Developer Contributions web page (www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106).
3. The following criteria have been taken into account in assessing each application (as mentioned at the foot of the application form):
 - a. whether it is eligible for S106 funding;
 - b. whether the proposed projects is feasible;
 - c. whether it is ready to be considered;
 - d. whether it is consistent with council policy (eg, the Sports and Physical Activity Strategy and/or the Anti-Poverty Strategy).
4. The assessment of applications has involved relevant officers from Community Funding & Development and Recreation Services, as well as City Development Management.

S106 application for Memorial & Meeting Hall, Cherry Hinton Road

1. Organisation:	GREEK ORTHODOX COMMUNITY OF ST ATHANASIOS AND UNITED REFORMED CHURCH
-------------------------	---

2. Project:	To remove the asbestos roof and refurbish the Memorial and Meeting hall to be used by the Coleridge and Queen Edith's wards as community centre. To install toilets for adults and children and baby changing facilities. Plus to install heating.
--------------------	--

3. Which category does this proposal relate to?	Community Facilities
--	----------------------

4. Where:	154 Cherry Hinton Road, CB1 7AJ
------------------	---------------------------------

5. Project funding:	A. Estimated total capital project costs	B. Funding already secured for project	C. Amount of S106 funding requested
	£200,000	£30,000	£170,000

6. What is the purpose of your project? What works would it involve?
To remove the asbestos roof and refurbish the Memorial and Meeting hall to be used by the Coleridge Ward and Queen Edith's wards as community centre. To install toilets for adults and children and baby changing facilities. Also to install heating.

7. Why is it needed and how would it benefit Cambridge residents?
<p>This resource has been neglected over the years due to the falling numbers of local volunteers. It used to serve up to five hundred local residents from Queen Edith's and Coleridge wards. Unfortunately, since it is located on the border between the two, it has been ignored by various Councillors over the years.</p> <p>The Greek Orthodox community of St Athanasios has decided to purchase the site to be used by about 300 members of this ethnic community and make it available to the existing members of United reformed church as well to the all the residents in the Coleridge and Queen Edith's wards. Once the site is fixed it is estimated that about 500 local people could be using this resource.</p>

8. What preparations are in place? What still needs to be done?		
a.	Project management	Already in place: Preliminary work has been carried out by having a full survey done of the site and an architect carrying out preliminary designs. Next steps: If the funds are secured then a professional project manager will be appointed to oversee the project.
b.	Local/user consultation	-
c.	Land ownership	Yes. URC is selling to the Greek Orthodox Community. Estimated completion date before January 2015
d.	Project design	Have preliminary designs. Final project once funds have been secured
e.	Planning approval	Permitted Development
f.	Funding	Already in place: Only £30k is available

9. Are there any risks or potential negative impacts? How are these being addressed?
No

10. Estimated project timescales		
a.	Anticipated project start date	January 2015
b.	Anticipated project completion date	May 2015

New S106 grant application for Cherry Hinton Baptist Church Family Centre

1. Organisation:	CHERRY HINTON BAPTIST CHURCH (CHBC)
-------------------------	--

2. Project:	REFURBISHMENT OF THE FAMILY CENTRE AT CHERRY HINTON BAPTIST CHURCH
--------------------	---

3. Which category does this proposal relate to?	Community Facilities
--	-----------------------------

4. Where:	Cherry Hinton Baptist Church Family Centre, Fishers Lane, CB1 9HR
------------------	--

5. Project funding:	A. Estimated total capital project costs	B. Funding already secured for project	C. Amount of S106 funding requested
	£177,000	£36,000	£141,000 or £121,000

Update from the applicant: To date we have £109k funding (including £73k from the original S106 grant). This should have been enough to do the project based on an original estimate from a builder. We put the project out to tender and had three replies. The two lowest were similar and put the total cost of the project at £202k, much higher than anticipated. We have asked both builders to do a cost reduction exercise and have also reduced the spec on some items or removed them completely which has resulted in a saving of £25k. So our total cost is now £177k.

It is important to note that we have not reduced the scope of the project. All the aims stated in both the old and new applications can still be met. The remaining increase in cost since the original application is due to (1) the structural engineer finding that extra work needed to be done to the foundations of the extension, the soak away would need to be moved as well as other items that were not included in the original estimate, and (2) a general increase in building costs.

To raise the extra funding we have applied to AmeyCespa for £20k. We will hear at the end of the month (January 2015) if we have been successful. The amount we require from S106 will depend on this outcome. If we are successful then the total S106 funding required is £121k. If we are not successful it will be £141k.

**6. What is the purpose of your project proposal?
What works would it involve?**

Extension and Refurbishment of CHBC Family Centre to provide disabled access and toilets, larger meeting rooms and modern catering facilities.

- a. Installation of a new front door to allow wheelchair access and improve fire safety:** The front door has a high threshold and temporary ramps are used when needed (at least weekly). This is inconvenient, laborious and a safety issue. A wide, low threshold front door will make wheelchair and buggy access much easier.
- b. Installation of disabled toilets and baby change facilities:** There is no disabled toilet. The current toilets are narrow and cannot accommodate a wheelchair. There is also no permanent or private baby changing facility, a major omission given the number of very young children that use the Centre. Every group that uses the Centre would benefit from these improved bathroom and sanitary facilities.
- c. Extend the building to accommodate space needed for the disabled toilet, enlarged meeting room and enlarged kitchen.**
- d. Installation of a modern kitchen to improve the catering facilities:** The kitchen is old and in need of renovation to bring it up to current standards. A modern kitchen would vastly improve the provision of catering services (ranging from refreshments to full meals for 40+ people). A new kitchen would make these events easier, hopefully leading to more being held. Feedback from events where food, and in particular, full meals have been served is always positive from those receiving the meal, but those working in the kitchen require a modern facility. New hatch access to the kitchen from both meeting rooms will allow both meeting rooms to use catering facilities independently, making the use of the Family Centre more flexible.
- e. Improvements to both the main hall and meeting room, including a second fire exit, new hardwearing floor and storage:** The number of people using the Family Centre demands a second fire exit. A hard floor is more suitable for all the activities that currently take place in the main hall (it is currently an old, worn, torn, carpet). The fire door will necessitate some work on the flooring
- f. Installation of a gate across the car park entrance:** To enclose the car park area when used by mother and toddler groups as an outdoor play area.

7. Why is it needed and how would it benefit Cambridge residents?

What the Family Centre provides: The Family Centre at Cherry Hinton Baptist Church is a community hub with meeting rooms and catering facilities. The centre is available to hire for community groups at competitive rates. It is regularly used by Mother and Toddler groups, the village's only youth group, an after school club, senior citizen friendship groups and a credit union, serving over 220 people of all ages every week. The Centre's activities aim to support local people many of whom are trying to cope with problems and disadvantages (e.g. isolation, ageing, bringing up children as a single parent, youth boredom and disaffection, learning disability, physical disability and financial hardship). The groups are run with little or no cost to the users making the centre and its activities accessible to local people.

What we would like to provide: The centre was built in 1971 and no significant renovation work has been carried out since then. The number of people using the centre has grown. It is therefore greatly in need of expansion as well as upgrade and improvement work to bring it into line with current standards and regulations. Disabled access and toilet facilities are desperately required. An extension will allow groups to expand or for two groups to use the Centre at the same time. We would like to offer a modern facility with easy access to all abilities and ages, comfortable and safe play areas and modern catering facilities. All groups that currently use the centre will benefit from the work proposed here. The improved access and facilities will encourage more community groups to make regular use of the centre as well. In addition to this the nearby Royal British Legion Hall is being sold off. Local groups who currently use it will require another meeting venue, a refurbished, larger Family Centre will provide this.

8. What preparations are in place? What still needs to be done?

a.	Project management	<p>Already in place: Project Manager and Committee in place, Architects selected and have produced plans</p> <p>Next steps: Principal Contractor to be appointed.</p> <p>Update from the applicant: You asked about how oversight of the project is done.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Major Decisions and Reports are taken at the monthly church business meeting.• The Refurbishment committee is used to make urgent decisions outside monthly church meetings.• Archangel Architects are to project manage the build.• The church's project manager and the pastor manage day-to-day issues.
----	--------------------	--

		The status of the project (including increase in costs) has been discussed at every church business meeting. The discussion has included whether we should continue with the plans as is or reduce them. Each time, the decision has been to continue as is. The church is fully behind these plans: it is seen as essential in being able to offer the best service & facilities to the community.
b.	Local/user consultation	Already in place: Carried out consultations with all current Family Centre users as plans were drawn up. Next steps: Further consultation as plans get finalised.
c.	Land ownership	Already in place: Land and building owned by Cherry Hinton Baptist Church, and in trust with the Baptist Union
d.	Project design	Already in place: Building plans produced. Tenders have been received for the work Next steps: Refinement of the plans and cost reduction exercise using submissions from the tenderers. Selection of principal contractor.
e.	Planning approval	Already in place: Planning permission granted.
f.	Funding	Already in place: £35,000 from WREN, £1,000 from Lloyds Bank. Next steps: Raise additional funding needed.

**9. Are there any risks or potential negative impacts?
How are these being addressed?**

The building work will take 4 to 6 months to complete. During much of this time, there will be limited or no access to the centre. To avoid as much disruption to groups as possible, it is intended to carry out work over holiday periods when centre usage is lower. During other times the main church building will be used as a temporary meeting space.

10. Estimated project timescales

a.	Anticipated project start date	03/2015
b.	Anticipated project completion date	12/2015

Based on application received in December 2014, with additional updates in January 2015.

This page is intentionally left blank

Environmental Data Report



Cambridge South Area
October to December 2014

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Target setting and recommendations	3
3. Routine activity	4
4. 'Ward Blitz' Update	4
5. Specific issues and actions	6
6. Private realm environmental data	10
Public realm environmental data	11
7. Proactive and community work	14
8. Key contacts	15
9. Resources	16
10. Appendices	19

1. Introduction

This quarterly report provides an overview of City Council Refuse and Environment and Streets and Open Spaces service activity relating to the geographical area served by the South Area Committee. The report identifies the reactive and proactive service actions undertaken in the previous quarter, including the requested priority targets and reports back on the recommended issues and associated actions to be targeted in the following. It also includes key officer contacts for the reporting of waste and refuse and public realm issues.

2. Target setting and recommendations

All those at Committee have an opportunity to suggest issues that they would like to see tackled in the neighbourhood area during the upcoming quarter to help shape the activity to be undertaken within the public realm.

Following suggestions that are received the relevant teams will consider the suggestions, and will prioritise work, responding reactively where appropriate and programming some work for the future. All suggested targets will be reported back on in the following quarter to update members and the public on the status of the issue. Recommendations will also be presented to the committee for consideration and to aid discussion.

Recommendations

The following are suggestions for members on what action could be considered for priority within the South Area for the quarter of January to March 2015.

Continuing priorities

1. Early morning patrols for dog fouling on Bliss Way/Tenby Close, Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground and Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground.
2. Installation of litter and recycling bins to be placed within the South Area, and removal of old litter bin on Cherry Hinton High Street
3. Deep cleanse of the Tins bridge to include removal of graffiti (pending ownership confirmation from the County Council)
4. Investigation of abandoned kebab trailer on Hulatt Road
5. Enforcement targeted approach to areas where Addenbrooke's site joins residential areas such as Hills Road and Red Cross Lane and to work with Addenbrookes to work towards the bus station area being cleaned up

New suggested priorities

6. Enforcement patrols for illegal advertising and to contact estate agents regarding their legal responsibility
7. Enforcement to work with the County Council, against utilities and companies that damage the verge on Mowbray and Fendon Road
8. Park deep cleanse, to include removal of graffiti, flyposting, litter, dog fouling, vegetation cut back and sweeping at Cherry Hinton Hall and Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground

Members are recommended to endorse the above recommendations or to make proposed amendments, and in doing so to consider the community intelligence questions below to help shape the public realm work.

Community intelligence questions

1. What activities should be considered as part of ward blitzes?

2. What geographical locations would benefit from targeted work? (including public realm enforcement activity and clean-up work by the community payback)
3. What locations for new and replacement general waste, recycling and dog bins (in line with resources available) should be considered?
4. Where and when the dog warden service should patrol in order to target dog fouling?.

3. Routine activity

Streets and Open Spaces teams work closely with residents, community and campaign groups to keep Cambridge clean, green and safe. Street cleansing works to clear shop fronts and maintain all residential streets to a good standard of cleaning by sweeping them regularly. The team empties litterbins and dog bins across the city parks and open spaces, as well as removing graffiti and clearing needles and fly tipping.

The grounds maintenance team maintains all council housing and highway grass and shrub beds across the city, and carries out the maintenance of the city's cemeteries and crematoriums as well as the maintenance of all parks across the city. The City Rangers team provide a street-level, face-to-face contact point for people to raise any cleanliness and public safety issues that they might have concerning their neighbourhood.

The dog warden patrols within Cambridge to increase people's awareness of the requirement to clear up after their pets, as well as collecting stray dogs within the city and works alongside animal charities to deliver educational roadshows. Investigation of instances of environmental crime in public places across the city is carried out by the public realm enforcement team. As well as undertaking enforcement action where necessary, the team provide advice for residents and businesses on issues including fly tipping, litter, waste, illegal advertising, abandoned shopping trolleys, verge parking and abandoned, untaxed and nuisance vehicles.

4. 'Ward Blitz' activity

The City Council has embarked on a campaign of monthly 'ward blitzes' across the City, involving the Council's City Rangers, Rapid Response, Public Realm Enforcement and Street Cleansing teams. These teams will undertake coordinated public realm maintenance, enforcement and educational action, targeting one ward every month in rotation. Working within existing budgets, this action is additional to the routine, day-to-day, work undertaken by these services.

In the South Area, the first ward blitz programme took place in Cherry Hinton during January 2015 and will have included a combination of the following actions:

- Deep cleansing of gulley's
- Cleansing of litter and dog bins
- Cleansing of signage
- Cleansing of recycling centres
- Increased dog warden presence
- Increased public realm enforcement presence
- Joint working with Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue, and Cambridgeshire Police
- Free dog microchipping event
- Proactive inspections by pest control in known hotspots

Reports on the results of the Cherry Hinton 'ward blitz' activity during January 2015 will be included as part of the next Environmental Data Report to the Area Committee, who have the

opportunity to recommend specific issues to be considered for targeting in forthcoming 'ward blitzes'.

Future ward blitzes for the South Area are scheduled for Queen Edith's in May 2015 and Trumpington in September 2015.

5. Specific issues and actions

The following specific issues were identified for targeted action in the October to December 2014 quarter. The following tables summarise the action undertaken and current situation, whether ongoing or completed, for each issue.

Priority 1	Enforcement joint working and patrols to deal with illegal camping on green open spaces, including Coe Fen
Action Taken	Officers visited Coe Fen and spent 2 hours dealing with illegal camping. During this time no illegal camps were identified.
Current Situation	Completed

Priority 2	Nuisance vehicles patrols to be carried out at Cherry Hinton High Street and Fulbourn Road layby
Action Taken	Regular checks have been made on the locations where vehicles have been advertised for sale, officers visited known hotspots on over ten occasions. During October to December no vehicles were found to be advertised for sale within the South Area.
Current Situation:	Completed

Priority 3	Jet wash 'local shops' at Wulfstan Way, Anstey Way and Cherry Hinton High Street to remove grime and chewing gum from the shop fronts
Action Taken	The shop areas within the South wards have been cleansed. This involved a team of two operatives and took approximately 6 hours over 2 days.
Current Situation:	Completed

Priority 4	Early morning patrols for dog fouling on Tenby Close, Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground, Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground and Bliss Way
Action Taken	Dog warden patrols have been conducted by both the Enforcement team and Dog Warden Service to address the issues of dog fouling at the above locations, patrol times totalled 11 hours. Educational advice and dog bags have been provided to a number of early morning dog walkers seen at these locations. A verbal warning has been provided to the owner of a dog at Tenby Close and this case is ongoing.
Current Situation:	Ongoing monitoring

Priority 5	<p>a. Requested litter bins for the following areas: Trumpington Ward outside Pavilion and Hall, Mowbray Road outside Acacia Guest House, Shelford/Hauxton Road outside cemetery, Long Road junction with Sedley Taylor and Luard Road junction with Sedley Taylor Road.</p> <p>b. Removal of old litter bin in Cherry Hinton High Street as newer one is nearby. Old bin is cemented in place</p>
Action Taken	A number of the new litter and recycling bins were installed during January; the outstanding work is programmed for January to March 2015.
Current Situation:	Ongoing

Priority 6	Deep cleanse of Snakey Path and the Tins from Burnside to include removal of graffiti, flyposting, litter, dog fouling, vegetation cut back and sweeping (linked to recommendation of East Area Committee on 15 October 2014)
Action Taken	<p>The whole of Snakey Path and the Tins from Burnside into the South area has been cleansed. This involved a team of two operatives and took approximately 30 hours over 5 days.</p> <p>One bridge on the Tins remains uncleansed, and is heavily graffitied. The Operations are currently liaising with the County Council to ascertain ownership. If the bridge belongs to the City Council then the work will be completed in January.</p>
Current Situation:	Ongoing

Priority 7	Investigation of possible abandoned kebab trailer parked outside 71 Hulatt Road, which is an obstruction and has remained in place for some time.
Action Taken	Regular checks have been made on kebab trailer on Hulatt Road, which appears to be the abandoned vehicle. A 7-day notice has been served on the vehicle, and contact will be made with a registered keeper if the DVLA hold details of the owner. An officer will continue to seek removal of this trailer as an abandoned vehicle.
Current Situation:	Ongoing

Priority 8	Deep cleanse of areas around (including passageways between): Godwin Way and Gunhild Close, Hulatt Road and Wulfstan Way, Holbrook Road and Glebe Road and Holbrook Road and Glebe Road.
Action Taken	The alleyways specified above have been cleansed, which involved removal of graffiti, flyposting, litter, dog fouling, vegetation cut back and sweeping. This involved a team of two operatives and took approximately 6 hours over 2 days.
Current Situation:	Completed

Priority 9	Enforcement targeted approach to areas where Addenbrookes site joins residential areas such as Hills Road and Red Cross Lane and to work with Addenbrookes to work towards the bus station area being cleaned up
Action Taken	Patrols have been conducted to address the issues of littering, but to date no individuals have been witnessed littering at the location. Further work is programmed for January to March when enforcement officers can patrol throughout the week and weekend.
Current Situation:	Ongoing monitoring

Other issues:

Issue	Grass cutting at Gunhild Recreation Ground doesn't appear to have been done
Action Taken	A vehicle belonging to one of the local residents has been reported to be causing an obstruction to the park, preventing Council vehicles from accessing the park; officers will work with the local PCSO to address this problem.
Current Situation:	Ongoing

Issue	Enforcement against utilities and companies that damage the verge on Mowbray and Fendon Road
Action Taken	This work has not yet been actioned, and is programmed January to March when enforcement officers can assist with weekend monitoring.
Current Situation:	Ongoing

Issue	Trial of dog fouling dispenser at entrance to Nightingale Recreation Ground
Action Taken	A dog bag dispenser is being purchased, and will be placed at the Recreation Ground for trial during the period January to March 2015.
Current Situation:	Ongoing

6. Environmental Data

Private Realm (South Area)

Activity	Investigations	Treatments Carried out	Informal Action / Written Warnings	Statutory Notices Served	Simple Cautions	Legal Proceedings	Comment
Pest Control	36	38	0	0	0	0	
Noise Complaints	76	na	*	2	0	1 commenced	*All complaints will generally have at least one such action.
Refuse/Waste Complaints	3	0	*	0	0	0	*All complaints will generally have at least one such action.
Other public health complaints ¹	2	0	*	0	0	0	*All complaints will generally have at least one such action.
Private Sector housing standards	22	0	*	0	0	0	*All complaints will generally have at least one such action. Figures include element of proactive work.

¹ Other public health complaints includes odour, smoke, bonfires, filthy and verminous

Public Realm Data

Public Realm Enforcement (South Area)

Activity	Investigations	Written Warnings	Statutory Notices Served	Fixed Penalty Notices Issued	Simple Cautions	Legal Proceedings	Comment
Abandoned vehicles	8	n/a	n/a	1	0	0	Includes 7 abandoned and 1 untaxed vehicle
Nuisance vehicles ²	0	0	n/a	0	0	0	
Verge parking	7	5	n/a	n/a	0	0	Applies to Mowbray and Fendon Road only
Derelict cycles	14	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Domestic waste	6	1	0	0	0	0	
Trade waste	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Litter	2	0	0	1	0	0	
Dog control orders (including fouling)	5	0	n/a	0	0	0	
Illegal camping	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	
Illegal advertising	6	6	n/a	0	0	0	

Stray dogs (South Area)

Activity	Number of cases	Rehomed	Destroyed	Claimed	In Kennels	Comment
Stray dogs	5	1	2	1	1	Two other stray dog calls were received, but the dogs were collected by their owners before the dog warden attended

² Nuisance vehicles includes vehicles displayed for sale or being repaired (other than in an emergency) on the public highway

Summary of public realm enforcement data

- One untaxed vehicles were impounded by the Public Realm Enforcement team from Rutherford Road, to date the vehicle has not been claimed. One abandoned vehicle was seized from Godwin Way, and a fixed penalty issued for abandoning a vehicle.
- Seven cases of verge parking along Mowbray Road and Fendon Road were investigated, owners of five of the cars received written warnings and two cases were withdrawn as incorrect vehicle registrations were supplied to officers.
- Fourteen derelict cycles were removed from across all three wards. Two of the abandoned cycles were removed from Stansgate Avenue. The number of cycles removed as abandoned in the South area varies between 10 to 20 a quarter.
- There were 6 domestic waste investigations conducted in the area between October to December, which resulted in one warning letter. There were no repeat patterns and a few cases are still ongoing.
- One fixed penalty was issued and subsequently paid for littering from a motor vehicle on Brooklands Avenue.
- Five cases of dog fouling were reported to the dog warden, following patrols in the areas no suspects have been identified and to date no formal enforcement action has been taken. Repeat incidents of fouling were reported at Tenby Close/Bliss Way, and this area has been introduced as a regular patrol area to tackle the issue of dog fouling.
- Six incidents of illegal advertising were identified, including five estate agent boards, the majority of the advertising was not removed by those responsible within the specified time period, and these were impounded by the Council.

Operations cleansing data by ward (South Area)

Activity	Total number of incidents	Ward			Comments
		Cherry Hinton	Queen Edith's	Trumpington	
Fly-tipping	27	16	4	7	
Offensive graffiti ³	2	0	0	2	
Detrimental graffiti ⁴	5	2	2	1	
Needles	1	0	0	1	
Shopping trolleys	8	2	0	6	Number of impounded trolleys was 3

Summary of fly-tipping reports:

Page 57

- Of the 27 instances of fly-tipping there were three incidents in St Bedes Crescent, two on Worts Causeway and three on Anstey No particular area / pattern. Highlighted in the report
- The incidents of offensive graffiti were a swastika (at George IV Street garages) and sexual reference (Station Road) sprayed which were referred onto the Council's Community Safety Team.
- Of the cases of detrimental graffiti no patterns or trends were found.
- One used needle was found in Coronation Street.

³ Offensive graffiti includes but is not limited to that which contains swear words, reference to religion, racist, reference to a person / naming a person, drawings of human body parts, words of reference to human body parts and reference to sexual activity. The service aim is to remove this type of graffiti within 1 working day.

⁴ Detrimental graffiti is graffiti that contains but is not limited to general tags, drawings not falling under the above criteria, and words not classified as offensive. The service aim is to remove this type of graffiti within 5 working days.

7. Proactive and community work

During the period October to December the following proactive and community work has been undertaken.

Task	Dog fouling campaign
Action Taken	As part of a Keep Britain Tidy 'We're Watching You' dog fouling campaign, Bliss Way and Tenby Close was monitored for dog fouling during a 4 week period, during which time glow in the dark posters were put up to encourage owners to dispose of dog mess correctly.
Current Situation	Completed

8. Key contacts

Page 50 of 50

9. Area	Contact	Telephone Number	Email
Environmental Health Manager	Yvonne O'Donnell	01223 457951	yvonne.odonnell@cambridge.gov.uk
Pest Control	Refuse and Environment	01223 457900	env.health@cambridge.gov.uk .
Noise			
Cleansing Manager	Don Blair	01223 458575	Don.blair@cambridge.gov.uk
Dog fouling	Customer Service Centre	01223 458282	wasteandstreets@cambridge.gov.uk
Litter			
Fly tipping (Public land)			
Graffiti			
Needles			
Public Realm Manager	Wendy Young	01223 458578	Wendy.young@cambridge.gov.uk
South Ranger	John McAspurn	01223 458282	cityrangers@cambridge.gov.uk
Abandoned bicycles	Customer Service Centre	01223 458282	
Public Realm Enforcement	Enforcement Team	01223 458573 01223 458062	streetenforcement@cambridge.gov.uk
Abandoned, untaxed and nuisance vehicles	Customer Service Centre	01223 458282	
Illegal camping			
Illegal advertising			
Dog Warden	Samantha Dewing (Mon-Wed)	01223 457883	dogwarden@cambridge.gov.uk
Stray and lost dogs	Customer Service Centre	01223 457900	
Out of Hours	Emergency calls	0300 3038389	n/a

10. Resources

The following are suggestions that members of the South Area Committee and residents and businesses may wish to consider or request for the upcoming period:

Recycling and general street litter bins

A small quantity of recycling and general street litter bins are available for each ward, as follows:

Cherry Hinton: 11

Queen Edith's: 8 (2 bins used, 1 programmed for below site)

Trumpington: 7 (3 bins used)

We would like to receive suggestions for where bins should be installed on the street and will investigate the suitability of all suggested locations. We will also be undertaking a review of where bins are currently installed to see how they are used.

At present we are in the second year of a three year replacement project for new and replacement bins on the city's streets and open spaces, and once the second phase is finished we will then run a similar scheme for new bins on the city's parks and open spaces.

Requested bin sites:

Ward	Location	Installation Date	Comments
Trumpington	Outside pavillion	January 2015	
Trumpington	Outside village hall	January 2015	
Trumpington	Shelford / Hauxton Road outside cemetery	January 2015	
Queen Edith's	Long Road junction with Sedley Taylor Road	January 2015	
Queen Edith's	Sedley Taylor Road junction with Luard Road	January 2015	
Queen Edith's	Mowbray Road o/s Acacia Guest House	N/A	Programmed for January to March 2015
Cherry Hinton	Removal of old litter bin in Cherry Hinton High Street as newer one is nearby. Old bin is cemented in place		

Example of bins used within the city:

For the outer city centre streets and shopping areas. Bins are installed wherever space allows with a recycling unit alongside:



For outer city shopping areas where space allows and areas on out city parks (for example Cherry Hinton Hall):



Dog bin provision

A number of dog bins are available for each ward, as follows:

Cherry Hinton: 4
 Queen Edith's: 4
 Trumpington: 3

We would like to receive suggestions for where bins should be installed on the parks and open spaces and will investigate the suitability of all suggested locations. We will also be undertaking a review of where bins are currently installed to see how they are used.

Example of dog waste bins used for all sites:



Pocket ashtray distribution

Locations of where pocket ashtrays should be distributed from are welcomed by the Public Realm Enforcement team.

11. Appendices

Photographs of Kelsey Crescent: Before and after ranger educational visit to property



This page is intentionally left blank