ACTION	LEAD OFFICER/ MEMBER	PROGRESS
	AND TIMESCALE	
Tree roots opposite Co-op Green End Road causing trip hazard. (Issue raised 2014)	Councillor Manning	Update 1 March 2018 Trees had been cut because the roots had come through the pavement but these would be replaced. Clir Manning – this was still in progress. Update 31 May 2018 Clir Manning Work was scheduled to start in June, but the City Deal has recently sent notes to residents pausing the project. I'm not clear if this is Nuffield Road and Green End road and am trying to find out. Update 21 June 2018 Clir Manning The Combined Authority Mayor had paused City deal schemes, questioned if
15/4/NAC Q2 To investigate with property	Councillor Price	applied to Nuffield Road or the whole area. Update 1 March 2018 Concrete ball and plastic grating removed but waiting for yellow lines.
services: area around Tesco's continues to be untidy. There is a large, uncovered, wire cage style litter bin, and rubbish gets blown about. (Issue raised 2015)		Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Price Cllr Price had been contacted by residents and had been provided with photographs which would show to Property Services for action to be taken as issue had been going on too long.
16/19/NAC Meadows Community Centre –	Jackie Hanson / Mike Todd- Jones	Update 1 March 2018 Working through implementation plan of the community centre review feasibility work proposals for linking facilities at

explore potential funding from Histon & Impington Parish Council as their		Buchan Street and Meadows will be reported back to the appropriate committee later on this year. Officers will have contacted H&I parish council to feed into this work and will report back to North AC when further information is available –
residents use the centre (Issue raised June 2016)		Update 26 April 2018 – Jackie Hanson The issue was discussed at a Histon and Impington Parish Council meeting on 23rd April 2018, and the Parish Clerk has written formally to advise that the Parish Council's only revenue fund property they own, so would not be able to consider a request for funding at The Meadows Centre.
		Update 21 June 2018 – Cllr Todd-Jones Confirmed the update provided 26 April 2018 and that the matter could be removed from the action sheet.
16/43/NAC Q1 Milton Road pavement and road surface in need of repair. (Issue raised 2016)		Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Scutt Repairs had been undertaken by
2010)		Update 21 June 2018 – Cllr Scutt Confirmed that the repairs had now been completed to a proper standard at no extra cost to the County Council and therefore the matter could be removed from the action sheet.
16/43/NAC Q5 Pavements to Arbury Road in	Cllr Scutt and Cllr Meschini	
poor state of repair. Raise as priority. (Issue raised 2016)		Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Sheil Local highway improvement decisions would be made on 13 March 2018 so would see after this date whether bid had

		been.
		Update 21 June 2018 – Cllr Scutt County Council officers were working on cycle ways to fix them.
16/49/NAC Open Forum Councillor Todd- Jones to liaise with Ambulance Service to ensure Emergency Services have a comprehensive list of defibulators stored in community buildings across the city. (Issue raised June 2017)	Cllr Todd- Jones	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Todd-Jones A list of defibrillators was still to be put together. A first aid session was due to take place in April all councillors were welcome to attend. Update 21 June 2018 – Cllr Todd-Jones Had attended a training session with other Councillors and officers present in April. Wanted a comprehensive list of defibrillators available at Council meetings.
16/49/NAC Open Forum		Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Price No update to report.
Cllr Scutt to check lighting levels in the Hawks/Campkin Road area in response to residents' concerns (raised by Mrs Harris) (Issue raised June 2017)	Cllr Price	Update 21 June 2018 – Cllr Price Thought that light levels should have been back but residents had confirmed that light levels were still low, Cllr Price to follow up.
16/50/NAC		Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Austin
Police and Safer Neighbourhoods		No further information at the moment.
	Lynda Kilkelly	Update 21 June 2018 - Cllr Tunnacliffe

	Т	
Sgt Misik to clarify reasons for increase in cycle thefts in West Chesterton. (Issue raised December 2016)	Cllr Austin	Confirmed that the item could be removed from the committee action sheet.
17/17/NAC		Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Scutt
Open Forum Cllr Todd-Jones to liaise with Mayfield School and Highways Officers about submitting an LHI bid for double yellow lines on Carisbrooke Road is response to the questions raised. (Issue raised September 2017).	Cllr Scutt	A bid had been put in for double yellow lines should have response in March, it was hoped that the bid would be approved. Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Scutt Confirmed that the LHI bid had not been accepted but Cllr Scutt would reapply in the next LHI bid round not just for Carisbrooke Road but seek to include another road.
/		Undata 17/17/NAC CUr Soutt
17/17/NAC		Update 17/17/NAC CIIr Scutt
Open Forum		Currently there was no start date but
Cllr Scutt to		hopeful that there would be a start date.
report back after		Undete 24 June 2040 Clly Court
the next County	Olla Caratt	Update 21 June 2018 Clir Scutt
Highways	Cllr Scutt	There was no start date for the works to
Committee		French's Road and Cllr Scutt had been
meeting		back in contact with Highways regarding
regarding		potholes but she would press for a start
whether the		date.
second half of		
French's Road		
will be repaired.		
(Issue raised		
September		

0047)		
17/17/NAC Open Forum Cllr Meschini to look into the possibility of applying for a LHI Bid to ban parking on the grass verges on Milton Road. (Issue raised September 2017)	Cllr Meschini	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Price A bid had been submitted would know if successful later in March. Cllr Manning - Submitted a bid at the request of residents, to ban all parking the length of Milton Road where it wasn't banned. Update 31 May 2018 Cllr Manning Neither bid was successful in that funding round. Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Meschini LHI bid was not successful, Cllr Price asked a question at the GCP Joint Assembly meeting regarding verge parking secured agreement from the Joint Assembly and would go through to the Board for approval. Once work started on Milton Road, works would form part of those works.
17/25/NAC Open Forum Councillor Scutt to investigate proposing an LHI Bid and investigate the possibility of funds being allocated for locally led highway improvements of Histon Road from the Highways and Community Infrastucture budget. (Issue raised	Cllr Scutt	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Scutt Confirmed that a bid did not need to be submitted, Officers recognised improvements were required. Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Scutt Confirmed some works had been done on Histon Road but residents were dissatisfied so was going to meet with residents and officers. Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Todd-Jones Repairs had been undertaken on Gilbert Road and Warwick Road.

December		
2017)		
17/25/NAC Open Forum Cllr Scutt to investigate the scope to improve parking provision and safety in the car park on Histon Road /corner of Windsor Road junction. Requested from resident on behalf of HRARA. (Issue raised	Cllr Scutt	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Scutt She was trying to work with shop owners to sort out the parking issue but it was private parking. Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Scutt As the road was private it raised difficulties to assist with the parking issues. Confirmed action could be removed from the action sheet but would try to assist if she could.
December		
2017). 17/25/NAC		Update 14 December 2017 Cllr
Open Forum Cllr Sargeant to chase police to see if they had secured additional resources to tackle vehicles	Cllr Sargeant	Sargeant He had made enquiries, Sgt Misik confirmed that they were unable to secure the additional funding needed to supply extra police resources. The issue was still prevalent across the north of the city but reporting of it had dropped.
racing on a series of roads, e.g. Milton Road. (Issue raised December 2017)		Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Sargeant Asked Cllrs to let him know by email if they had any further concerns and if they had evidence as this would make it easier to chase the Police.
17/26/NAC Cllr Manning to report back on any adjustments made to the parking review.	Cllr Manning	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Scutt Confirmed that she would only speak in relation to County Arbury division. The review would go to formal consultation and it was up to residents to make decision.

//		
(Issue raised December 2017).		Cllr Richards – Confirmed she was working with residents and officers to get the best plan for residents.
		Cllr Manning – Confirmed that he was working with residents of Zone 5 to investigate other options for that zone.
		Update 31 May 2018 Cllr Manning Waiting on legal investigation into potential solution.
		Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Manning Confirmed there was no update as the Monitoring Officer had changed.
17/34/NAC Open Forum Cllr Meschini to make enquiries with County	Cllr Meschini	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Sheil Residents were to apply on an 'as and when' basis for a grit top up. The County Council committed that it would be free to top up your own bucket.
Council officers about providing more salt grit bins in Kings Hedges- In response to Cab		Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Meschini Confirmed the matter could be removed from the action sheet.
Davidson's request. (Issue raised March 2018)		
17/34/NAC Open Forum Cllr Todd-Jones to make enquiries with	Cllr Todd- Jones	G
County Council Officers about signage at the Borrowdale pedestrian crossing. (Issue		Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Todd-Jones Councillor Todd-Jones following up with the Local Highways Project Officer regarding installation of signage and road markings.
raised March		

2018)		
17/34/NAC Open Forum Councillor Manning to make enquiries about putting dustbins near the Fen Road rail crossing. (Issue raised March 2018)	Cllr Manning	Update 1 March 2018 Cllr Manning Hoped that a colleague would request a bin to be moved near the crossing. Update 9 April 2018 Nick Kester Site visit required to find most suitable place for bin. Update 31 May 2018 Cllr Manning Cllr Manning will check whether this is in place or not. Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Manning Did not think that there was a bin in situ and would check with Nick Kester.
18/45/NAC Open Forum To look at locations for dog waste bins along the guided bus way spur.	Nick Kester	Update 9 April 2018 Nick Kester Dog bin to be placed in Discovery Way, Awaiting completion of works in Bramble fields before placing new bins. Not able to place bins on spur as this is not our land and is not part of the public highway, further problems would arise in access to emptying them.
To investigate whether concrete or metal bollards could be installed at St Andrews Recreation Ground. (Issue raised March 2018).		The County Council have this in hand and the bollards are being replaced with concrete posts.
18/45NAC Open Forum To offer support	Cllr Smart	Update 24 April 2018 Cllr Smart Cllr Smart met with Mr Williams and others at a meeting on 24 April 2018 to

to Mr Williams		discuss the Nuffield Road Cycle Scheme.
regarding		
Nuffield Road		Update 21 June 2018 Cllr Smart
Cycle Lane		On-going negotiations, officers were still
Scheme. (Issue		working on possible solutions.
raised March		
2018)		
18/56/NAC Q1	Cllr Manning	Cllr Manning said that he would speak
Open Forum		with the resident during the break and
Resident raised		would follow up.
noise		
disturbance		
issue with		
Cambridge Day		
nursery on		
Milton Road		
18/56/NAC Q6		
Open Forum	Cllr Bird	
Mr Taylor raised		
concerns		
regarding the		
noticeboard at		
the riverside		
and asked that it		
be repaired or		
removed.		
18/57/NAC Q4	Nick Kester	Email response from Nick Kester 28/7/18
Policing and	Enforcement	
Safer	Team	With regards to the issue relating to
<u>Neighbourhoods</u>	Manager	dangerous dogs, this is a responsibility of
report	Managor	the police, Cambridge City Council have a
Mr Taylor asked		statutory duty to deal with abandoned
for a clear		dogs and to enforce the Public Spaces
protocol		Protection Orders which cover: Dog
regarding the		exclusions zones, Dogs on leads Areas,
Council and		Dogs on Leads by direction and Dog
Police powers		Fouling, issues such as dog on dog
with dangerous		attack, can be dealt with by the dog
dogs.		warden service, however our powers are
aogs.		limited to education and advice
		Dog attacks on people are automatically

Under section1 of the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, Possessing, breeding, selling, exchanging or advertising a prohibited dog (There are only 4 types of prohibited breeds: Pit Bull Terrier, Japanese Tosa, Dogo Argentino and Fila Brasileiro). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re- do the crossing			fall within the jurisdiction of the police.
exchanging or advertising a prohibited dog (There are only 4 types of prohibited breeds: Pit Bull Terrier, Japanese Tosa, Dogo Argentino and Fila Brasileiro). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
dog (There are only 4 types of prohibited breeds: Pit Bull Terrier, Japanese Tosa, Dogo Argentino and Fila Brasileiro). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
breeds: Pit Bull Terrier, Japanese Tosa, Dogo Argentino and Fila Brasileiro). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			. .
Dogo Argentino and Fila Brasileiro). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
offence carries a sentence of up to six months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			•
months' imprisonment. Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			, ,
Also allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			•
of control (s3 Dangerous Dogs Act 1991) - This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			•
This offence is wide ranging; it applies to all breeds/types, not just prohibited breeds (a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
(a common misconception) and can be committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			, ,
committed in a public or private space (including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
(including your own home or garden). It also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			• ,
also applies to both the owner and the person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
person in charge of the dog (if different). This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			` ,
This offence carries a sentence of up to six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			• •
six months imprisonment and an unlimited fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
fine, although if it is aggravated then the penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			=
penalties are significantly higher: if death is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years' imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			•
imprisonment; if a person is injured, up to five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
five years' imprisonment; and three years' imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			is caused, the penalty is up to 14 years'
imprisonment for an aggravated attack on an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			•
an assistance dog. this also includes banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
banned breeds (as above)under the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991. 18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			9
18/58/NAC Update from Network Rail representative Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			· ·
Update from Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-	18/58/NIAC	Network Pail	Dangerous Dogs Act 1991.
Network Rail Ask how much it would cost to re-			
Ask how much it would cost to re-		121110011101110	
do the crossing	would cost to re-		
	do the crossing		
18/58/NAC lan Manning		Ian Manning	
Update from	-		
Network Rail To request to			
To request to see if footpath	-		
could be made			
continuous at			
Fen Road			

crossing.	

