

WEST/CENTRAL AREA COMMITTEE MEETING – 5th MARCH 2009
Pre-Committee Amendment Sheet

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: 1 APPLICATION REF: 09/0070/FUL

Location: Radcliffe Court, Rose Crescent

Target Date: 25th March 2009

To Note: The owners/occupiers of the following addresses have made further representations in objection;

- Chairman of the Rose Crescent Association
- Occupier of Radcliffe Court (address withheld)

These representations can be summarised as follows:

- That the proposed frontage does not match existing shop fronts and is out of character and context with the surrounding area.
- That the door is in line with its frontage and does not make provision for a recess.
- That the entry phone is placed on the façade.
- That the window design is over segmented and does not mirror other examples in the Crescent.
- That the proposal retains a rectangular fascia which is obtrusive and unattractive.

Gonville and Caius College have been notified of the application as they own a significant number of buildings in the Crescent. The consultation period was extended for their benefit, ending on 3rd March 2009. No comments have been received.

The owner of 4 Rose Crescent was not notified of the application as the premises fell outside of the public consultation area. They have since seen the application and made representations about the application. The Rose Crescent Association was not notified as the association was not known to the Department. I have notified the Council's Consultation Officer of this Association so that they can be consulted on any future developments.

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: 2 **APPLICATION REF:** 08/1564/FUL

Location: Land rear of 34 Storeys Way

Target Date: 3rd February 2009

To Note: Further to the Inspectors decision to uphold the City Council's refusal of reserved matters application 08/0060/FUL changes have been made by the applicant to address the concerns of the Inspector regarding the relationship of houses to the northern boundary with the Ascension Burial Ground. Letters notifying neighbouring residents and all those who have shown an interest in the development of this site historically were sent dated 17th February 2009.

The owners/occupiers of the following addresses have made further representations in objection;

- 26, Storey's Way, Cambridge CB3 0DT
- 30, Storey's Way, Cambridge CB3 0DT
- 32, Storey's Way, Cambridge CB3 0DT

These representations can be summarised as follows:

- No changes are proposed to the dwelling on Plot 3. It will still overlook No.26. This could be resolved by the positioning of the single storey garage next to the hedge as opposed to the other end of the house;
- Three dwellings will still result in an over development of the site, only two as a maximum should be considered;
- Moving the dwelling on plot 1 away from the common boundary with the Burial Ground is a welcome change but the single storey addition negates this change, similarly the garage remains too close to the boundary and will still result in future requests to prune/remove trees;
- It is requested that a low-noise surface treatment be used on the access given this runs adjacent to the garden of No. 32;
- The dwelling in plot 1 is still too close to the northern boundary;

The owners/occupiers of the following address have made further representations in support:

- 31, Storey's Way, Cambridge CB3 0DT

This representation can be summarised as follows;

- The present amended proposal is sympathetic and complementary to the site,

the retained house and the conservation area in general.

Full details of the representations received can be inspected on the application file.

Amendments To Text:

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:
